

Editor's Comment:

Authors have adequately replied all the reviser's comments. The quality of the manuscript have raised during reviewing process and the overall mark is enough to consider the manuscript publishable in the journal.

Nevertheless I would like to introduce some (minor) additional comments that authors must amend prior to the final acceptance.

- Line 51: Include year.

- Table 1. Revise the figures because the sum of Ash content. Fat, Crude fiber, Crude protein, Carbohydrate, Sugar and Starch must be 100%, and in their first columns is 104.97 and the second one is 100.4%. Indication of basis (wet or dry basis would be also convenient)..

My final consideration is: Minor revisions.

Author's Feedback

I have included the year in line 51

Dry basis had been included in Table 1.

Moisture content of white species was 8.12%, red was 11.31%. The dry matters were 91.88% and 88.69% respectively. I did not write moisture content because 100-dry matter = moisture content.

Addition of carbohydrate, Fat, ash, crude fibre and protein gives 100%.

Sugar and starch are part of carbohydrate content determined.

Out of the total carbohydrate contents 55.65% for white cultivar and 52.93% for red cultivar, their starch and sugar values were determined. Other carbohydrates include cellulose, etc.

The Table below showed the explanation on the data. I have not included it in the manuscript.

Table 1: Chemical composition of *Cola acuminata* powder varieties

Parameter	White	Red
Dry matter (%)	91.88±0.21	88.69±0.15
Moisture (%)	8.12±0.18	11.31±0.32
Ash content (%)	3.67±0.10	4.71±0.08
Fat (%)	11.56±0.11	11.80±0.10
Crude fiber (%)	10.08±0.36	9.42±0.24
Crude protein (%)	10.92±0.82	9.83±0.53
Carbohydrate (%)	42.56±0.30	41.22±0.27
Sugar (%)	2.29±0.69	2.20±0.44
Starch (%)	10.80±0.17	9.51±0.20
Carotenoid (mg/100g)	22.12±0.23	25.90±0.16
Mean ± standard deviation		