

With reference to our previous correspondence, I send my opinion concerning the manuscript entitled “**Some Flow Properties of Cassava Mash in Handling**” (2013 AJEA 8596).

In my opinion this manuscript cannot be published in AJEA in current form.

I agree with the reviewer PF that “The results could be further explored, many details have been omitted in the discussion, which is not based on scientific references. This item does not support the conclusions.”

In my opinion before acceptance of this manuscript for publication in AJEA some further amendments are needed.

1. Formula 5 is incorrect. It should be: $H = 100 / (100 - C)$.
2. If Hausner ratio was calculated on the basis of an incorrect formula it must be recalculated and the relevant amendments in the text must be done.
3. In the methodology, several formulas for calculating: bulk density, tapped density, Carr's index have been given. Thus an additional table should be included and all of these parameters should be clearly presented.
4. Discussion of the result must be improved based on scientific papers.
5. Photos 1-3 should be prepared using better background. In current forms are not acceptable.
6. The results presented in the manuscript concern only TMS 4(2)1425 variety. To be generalized for the entire species, it is necessary to test some other varieties of Cassava.
7. Some other suggestions are pointed in the attached manuscript.

Authors' Response:

1. Formula 5 is incorrect. It should be: $H = 100 / (100 - C)$. **Error corrected**
2. If Hausner ratio was calculated on the basis of an incorrect formula it must be

recalculated and the relevant amendments in the text must be done. Hausner ratio was calculated error free base on equation 1 and 2 with correct formula.

3. In the methodology, several formulas for calculating: bulk density, tapped density, carr's index has been given. Thus additional table should be included and all of these parameters should be clearly presented. Included now

4 Discussion of the result must be improved based on scientific papers. Changes has been made

5. Photos 1-3 should be prepared using better background. In current forms are not acceptable. Taken when the experiment was going on 1-3 as figure 1 and 2 now.

6 The results presented in the manuscript concern only TMS 4(2)1425 variety. To be generalized for the entire species, it is necessary to test some other varieties of Cassava. That range was good enough for engineers design