

1 Nitrogen Olive Fertilization under Rainfed Mediterranean 2 Conditions

3 Abstract

4 **Aims:** This work has the objective of studying the effect of nitrogen fertilization on olive yield,
5 oil content and quality.

6 **Study Design:** Randomized complete block design with eightreplications where the variable
7 factor was nitrogen dose.

8 **Place and Duration of study:** The experiment was conducted during 2011 in farmers' fields at
9 three sites: Taza (S1), Taounate (S2) and Taoujdat (S3) in Morocco.

10 **Methodology:** Four nitrogen rates (0, 0.25, 0.5 and 1kg N/tree/year) were tested on the
11 Moroccan Picholine variety (S1 and S2) and the Arbequina variety (S3). Nitrogen has been split
12 into two contributions: 1/2 in March and half in May. Phosphorus (0.5 kg P₂O₅/tree/year) and
13 potassium (2 kg K₂O/tree/year) were made in March. Each individual plot consisted of four trees.

14 **Result and discussion:** At S2, (35 years old), nitrogen fertilizer improved yield as well, the yield
15 efficiency that the olive oil content. At S1 (9 years old) and S3 (7 years old), the contribution of
16 nitrogen had no effect on the olive yield and has negatively affected the olive oil quality in
17 particular in S3.

18 **Conclusion:** The effect of nitrogen fertilization on the olive tree was variable depending on the
19 environment where it is grown (climate and soil of the site), the variety and age of the orchard.

20 **Key words:** Olive, Fertilization, Trials, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Morocco.

21 Introduction

22 Olive is one of the main crops in the Mediterranean basin with a cultivated area of about 8.2 M
23 ha [7] Cited by [23]. In Morocco, olive orchard occupies an area of about 790000 ha of which
24 60% grown under rainfed conditions [4]. It occupies a prominent place in the national arboreal
25 sector. The diagnosis of the technical conduct of the olive orchard has focused the constraints of
26 development of olive production to pruning and nitrogen nutrition [27]. A wrong pruning
27 combined with low nitrogen application reduces the production of fruiting branches which

affects fruiting trees. Fertilizer made in Moroccan olive orchards is, in most cases, random whether quantitative and qualitative point of views. The lack of standards for olive fertilization adapted to each agro-climatic region let Moroccan growers obliged to follow the traditional practices of fertilization.

Field trials are the most reliable for the determination of these standards means. However, few studies have been developed to determine the response of olive to nitrogen fertilization [13]. Fertilization, especially nitrogen (N), is a cultivation technique that greatly affects the olive tree productivity. Hartman [21] observed that trees grown on infertile soils in California responded to N inputs, showing a high level of nitrogen in leaves, fruit set and yield. Unlike grown on more fertile soils trees that showed no response to fruit set and yield to N inputs. In an experiment conducted with long-term rainfed olive orchards in several locations in Spain, Ferreira and al. [17] found that only trees with productivity lower than 35 kg/tree showed a positive response to the N application. Fernandez-Escobar and al. [13] found that nitrogen fertilization had no significant effect on yield, fruit characteristics and growth of trees in both typical orchards of the Mediterranean region for 13 years of testing period of while the leaf nitrogen concentration increased with the nitrogen fertilizer quantities. They also found that even when the N content of the leaves was below the threshold established deficiency of 1.4%, we did not notice any reduction in yield or growth. A survey across the Mediterranean basin, where about 98% of the 10 million ha of existing olive groves in the world are located [8] showed that nitrogen is present in most fertilizers, even when potassium is the element that can causes most severe nutritional disorders [15] Cited by [13]. Rodrigues and al. [29] reported a gradual and significant decrease in the olive yield when nitrogen was removed from the fertilization plan for four years, compared with treatments where nitrogen was brought annually. Jasrotia and al. [22] also found a significant increase in olive productivity with increasing nitrogen doses. In a study for five years in the olive orchards in southern Spain, Fernandez-Escobar and al. [16] found no significant differences in performance between the olive trees subjected to a fertilization program based on foliar diagnosis, and those receiving the current fertilization in the region (500 kg / ha of fertilizer NPK (15: 15: 15) and three foliar sprays of trace elements and amino acid.

Phosphorus deficiency limits the absorption of nitrogen, magnesium, calcium and boron and correspondingly reduced growth plant [18]. Oil content and quality are also influenced by the addition of fertilizers. Indeed, nitrogen promotes higher levels of oleic and stearic acid the drupe and its deficiency is associated of elevated levels of palmitic and linoleic acid [6]. Excess

nitrogen can causes environmental degradation [20] and negatively affect olive oil [11] ; Quoted by [13] and the flower quality [15]. Good nitrogen fertilization can, in fact, sustain soil fertility management, avoiding unnecessary waste of fertilizer and improves the level of crop yield.

The objectives of this work are to study the effect of nitrogen application on olive yield, on the olive oil content and quality.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted during 2010/2011. Three trials were conducted in farmers' fields at three sites: S1 (N: 34 ° 11.994 'W: 004 ° 17.613'), S2 (N: 34 ° 29.771 'W: 004 ° 40.482') and S3 (N: 33 ° 56,440 'W: 005 ° 14.035') belonging respectively to the following three areas: Taza, Taounate and Fez. The siting of experiments was done taking into the account the prominence of olive cultivation in these regions. Indeed, the region of Taza-Al Hoceima-Taounate and the region of Fez-Boulemane occupy, respectively, the second (318 500 ha) and third (120 000 ha) positions, in terms of area covered by olive trees in Morocco. Table 1 presents the main characteristics of these orchards.

Table 1. Olive orchards characteristics.


Expérimentation sites	Variety	Age of orchard (year)	Plantation structure (m ²)
Taza (Oued Amlil)	Picholine marocaine	9	10 * 10
Taounate (Ain aicha)	Picholine marocaine	35	9 * 9
Fès (Taoujdat)	Arbequine	7	3 * 5

Soil physical and chemical characteristics are indicated in table 2. . analysis were performed by the following methods: particle size by the particle method pipette Robinson [9], the electrical conductivity of the saturated paste extract, organic matter by the Walkley and Black method [1], the total calcium by calcimeter Bernard [2], the active limestone by Drouineau method [10], nitrates by chromotropic acid [30], available phosphorus by Olsen method [26] and exchangeable potassium by ammonium acetate [5]. The studied soils have alkaline pH, low organic matter, non-saline for olives and moderately to strongly calcareous (Table 2).

Table 2. Physical and chemical characteristics of the experimentation soils sites.

Site	OuedAmlil (S1)		Taounate (S2)		Taoujdate (S3)	
Depth (cm)	0-30	30-60	0-30	30-60	0-30	30-60
Texture	Loam	Loam	siltyclay	silty	silty	siltyclay
Organicmatter (%)	1,5	2,2	2,3	1,8	2,3	1,4
Nitrates (mg/kg)	17,1	40,7	9,5	8,4	47,2	16,4
Availablephosphorus (mg/kg)	5,7	5,2	6,7	1,5	33,6	29,1
ExchangeablePotassium(mgK/kg)	541,3	319,2	408,6	233,6	318,5	142,4
pH	7,9	7,6	7,6	7,8	7,5	7,9
ElectricalConductivity(dS/m)	0,687	2,95	0,248	0,24	1,745	1,365
Total limestone(%)	20,9	24,7	2,2	1,6	19,6	42,2
active limestone (%)	10,3	9,9	-	-	9,81	16,75

84 During 2011 year, rainfall reached 580.1 mm in Site 1 with a maximum of 218.5 mm recorded
85 during November (harvest period) (Figure 1). In site 2 cumulative rainfalls was 499.6 mm with
86 a maximum of 132.7 mm registered in November. At Site 3, the rains this season reached 797.4
87 mm with a maximum of 194 mm in May. A lack of rain marked the period from June to
88 September in the three sites.







Figure 1. Monthly rainfall and temperature recorded during the 2011 campaign in the three sites.

The experimental design adopted for these tests was randomized complete block with eight replications. Four levels of nitrogen: 0, 0.25, 0.5 and 1 kg N / tree / year have been split into two contributions: 1/2 in March as ammonium sulfate and half in May as ammonium nitrate. Phosphorus (0.5 kg P₂O₅/tree/year) as triple super phosphate and potassium (2 kg K₂O/tree/year) as potassium sulfate were made in March. Each individual plot consisted of four trees. The leaves were collected (100 leaves per tree) during May to determine their nitrogen and total phosphorus content. The nitrogen content was determined by the Kjeldahl method and phosphorus by colorimetry after mineralization with concentrated sulfuric acid. The olive

yield per tree was estimated at maturity in November in each of the three sites. Yield efficiency expressed in terms of olive yield per unit of the transversal section of the trunk was also determined for every treatment.

Olive samples were also collected at harvest (about 2 kg of olives per tree) and were used to determine the oil olive content and quality (index of peroxide, acidity). The oil olive content was measured by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).

The statistical analysis was performed by SAS software (SAS Institute Inc. (2004). The error probability considered is 5%. Significance levels used are: Significant, Highly significant and Very highly significant, respectively, for an error probability of 5%, 1% and 0.1%.



Results and Discussions

Yield and yield efficiency

The mean olive yields obtained were 60.6, 21, 57.5 kg / tree, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3 (Figure 2). The difference appears between S2 and the other two sites could be due to the age of the orchard which is older compared to orchards at S1 and S3. At the latter, yields were statistically equal even the varieties are different. Fernandez-Escobar et al. [13] in Spain reported mean yields achieved in thirteen years of trials, ranging from 28 to 30.5 kg / tree. While in Morocco, Razouk et al. [28] reported yields ranging from 30 to 55.3 kg / tree.

Nitrogen had no effect on the olive yield in sites 1 and 3. This could be due to the availability of mineral nitrogen in the soil of these orchards (Table 1). The same result was found in Portugal by Marcelo and al. [24] which, for two experiments years, found no significant differences between the olive yields after the addition of nitrogen and magnesium in comparison with the control. A very highly significant difference was revealed between the different nitrogen treatments provided at the site 2. Indeed, it is the dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year which gave the maximum yield (53.8 kg / tree) in this site, followed by three additional doses 0, 0.25 and 1 kg N / tree / year; Control gave the lowest yield (Figure 2). This says that nitrogen supply was needed at this site. However, the amount of nitrogen should not exceed the dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year, if it induces a fall in olive yield.

The crop response to nitrogen could be explained by the initial content of soil mineral nitrogen (nitrate) which is lower in comparison with the other two sites (Table 1). In Spain, Garcia [19] recommended 0.5 - 1 kg N / tree, with a maximum of 150 kg N / ha, based mainly on exports of olive nutrients. Rodrigues et al. [29] suggested that more conservation should take place to the soil and plant fertilizer applications generally recommended by the analytical laboratories, since according to their study in Portugal, the annual exports of olive tree nutrients were relatively low. They recommended dose not exceeding 20 kg N / ha / year for a young orchard (10 years) whose objective yield was 2500 kg of fruit / ha.






Figure 2. Olive response to nitrogen fertilizer in the three sites.

The yield efficiency expressed in terms of yield per unit of the transversal section of the trunk of the olive tree was on average 0.10, 0.01, 0.78 kg/cm², respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3 (Table 3). These differences between the three sites could be explained by the olive variety and age. Indeed, the best efficiency was obtained by the Arbequina variety, while for the two orchards Moroccan Picholine, the best efficiency was marked (0.10 kg/cm²) by the young orchard (S1). As for yield, the effect of nitrogen on the yield efficiency does not appear in sites 1 and 3. By cons, this effect was very highly significant in Site 2, where the N impact was the same as for the yield; it is the dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year which gave the best yield efficiency.

Table 3. Yield efficiency variation with nitrogen applications in the three sites.

N (Kg/tree/year)	Yield efficiency (Kg/cm ²)		
	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
0	0,09a(*)	0,01b	0,85a
0,25	0,10a	0,01b	0,74a
0,5	0,11a	0,03a	0,79a
1	0,09a	0,01b	0,76a

(*): for each column, number followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=5%).

Leave nitrogen and phosphorus contents

The mean levels of leaf nitrogen were 0.826; 0.644; 0.840%, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3 (Figure 3). They ranged from 0.703% to 0.977%, 0.617% to 0.674% and 0.743% to 0.888%, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3. These values are low compared to those found by Lopez-Granados et al. [23] in Spain and ranged from 1.26% to 1.76%.




Figure 3. Leaf nitrogen content variation with nitrogen applications in the three sites.

The nitrogen contribution had no significant effect on the leaves nitrogen content of the olive tree in the three experimental sites. In other words, an increase in nitrogen supply did not induce an increase in its absorption by the leaves while the leaf nitrogen concentrations measured at the three sites (Figure 3) were lower than the critical value of N leaves (1.5%) defined by Marin and Fernandez-Escobar [25] and Fernandez-Escobar [14]. Fernandez-Escobar and al. [12] reported an excess of nitrogen fertilization by annual contributions of nitrogen to the soil, when the leaf nitrogen content equal to or greater than 1.5%, not only negatively affects the oil olive quality of due to a decrease in polyphenol content (quoted by Lopez-Granados et al. 23], but also affects the groundwater quality and agricultural economy.




Figure 4. Leaf phosphorus content variation with nitrogen applications in the three sites.

The mean phosphorus content of the leaves were 0.056, 0.077, 0.091%, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3 (Figure 4). They ranged from 0.049% to 0.069%, 0.067% to 0.093% and 0.078% to 0.108%, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3. These values are similar to those found by Lopez-Granados and al. [23] and ranged from 0.06% to 0.11%. All these levels are below the threshold (0.1%) of the olive leaves content in phosphorus. The site 3 has recorded high levels of leaf P content (Figure 4) may be due to the initial richness of the soil available phosphorus in comparison with other sites where it was low (Table 1). Nitrogen had a significant effect on the leaves phosphorus content only at site 3 (Figure 4). This is the dose 0.25 kg N / tree / year which gave the highest total phosphorus content in leaves, followed by doses 0 and 0.5 kg N / tree / year. The dose 1 kg N / tree / year gave the lowest value of the measured variable. Therefore a nitrogen contribution greater than 0.25 kg N / tree / year resulted in a decrease in phosphorus absorption by the plant at this site.

Olive oil content and quality

The mean levels of olive oil content were 28.24, 21.57, 39.39%, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3 (Table 4). This difference between sites could be explained by the variety and / or by the age of the orchard. Indeed, we see that it is the Arbequina variety (Site 3) which presented the high oil content. When for the other two orchards Moroccan Picholine, the site 1 (9 years old) which presented high content compared to Site 2 (35 years old). Nitrogen fertilizer effect on oil olive content was not significant in Site 1, very highly significant in site 2, and highly significant in the site 3. For site 2, we note that olive oil content increases with the addition of nitrogen while at the site 3 where the Arbequina variety is used, we see that the control had the maximum oil content.

Table 4. Nitrogen effect on olive oil content and quality in the three sites.

Nitrogen dose	Site 1			Site 2			Site 3		
	Oil content	Acidity	Peroxide	Oil content	Acidity	Peroxide	Oil content	Acidity	Peroxide
(Kg/tree/year)	%	%	Meq O ₂ /kg	%	%	Meq O ₂ /kg	%	%	Meq O ₂ /kg
0	25.6a (*)	0.81a	3.54b	16c	ND	ND	41a	4.16a	7.78b
0,25	31.2a	0.74a	13.37ab	15,4c	ND	ND	37.6b	3.11ab	7.10b
0,5	28,7a	0.76a	17.33a	24,3b	ND	ND	39.1ab	2.51b	14.81ab
1	27.4a	1.68a	6.45ab	30,6a	ND	ND	39.9a	3.04ab	22.58a

ND. Analysis of olive oil has not been conducted for the site 2.

(*): for each column, number followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $p=5\%$).

The average index of peroxide was 9.96 and 13.07 meq O_2 /kg respectively in sites 1 and 3. This is an important indicator of the olive oil quality since it expresses the degree of oil oxidation. Nitrogen had a significant effect on the peroxide at both sites 1 and 3. In fact, it increases with increasing the nitrogen supply. In Site 1 dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year gave the maximum value of this index, a contribution of more than N has reduced the peroxide. At the site 3 the dose 1 kg N / tree / year gave the maximum value of the index. We note that an increase in this index implies a decrease in the olive oil quality. So for the Arbequina variety (Site 3), nitrogen negatively affected the olive oil quality. For Moroccan Picholine (site 1), application of more than 0.5kg N / tree / year did not increase the degree of olive oil oxidation.

The average measured acidity was 0.998% and 3.21%, respectively, at sites 1 and 3. The measured acidity decreased with increased intake of nitrogen fertilizer. So nitrogen positively affects the olive oil quality in terms of acidity. The effect of N on the olive oil acidity was not significant in Site 1 and significant in the site 3. According to standards set by the International Olive Oil Council in 1994, oil in Site 1 (with the Moroccan Picholine variety) is classified as extra virgin virgin, while in the site 3 (with the Arbequina variety) it is classified as virgin common to lampante virgin.

Conclusions

Nitrogen had no significant effect on the olive yield or the yield efficiency in both sites 1 and 3. This could be explained by the soil initial nitrogen (nitrates) richness at these orchards which was available for cultivation (Table 1). In site 2, the nitrogen effect was very highly significant on yield and yield efficiency. The dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year gave the best value for these two variables. This response to nitrogen could be explained by the low soil initial mineral nitrogen content in the orchard (Table 1), which has required the addition of nitrogen. The Arbequina variety (S3) recorded the best yield efficiency (0.78 kg / cm^2). At both orchards Moroccan Picholine (S1 and S2), the young orchard (9 years old) scored higher efficiency (0.10 kg/ cm^2) compared to older (35 years old) orchard (0.01 kg/ cm^2). The Arbequina variety (Site 3) showed the highest olive oil content (39.39%). For the other two orchards Moroccan Picholine, the site 1 (9 years old) presented the high content (28.24%) compared to Site 2 (35 years old) (21.57%).

The effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the olive oil content was not significant in Site 1, very highly significant in site 2, and highly significant in the site 3. Indeed, the olive oil content has increased with the nitrogen addition in the site 2 while in Site 3 the control presented the maximum olive oil content.

It can be concluded that in S1 (9 years old) and S3 (7 years old), the nitrogen contribution was not necessary since the effect was not improve productivity parameters of olive orchards and has negatively affected the olive oil quality specially at site 3. At site 2 (35 years old), the nitrogen fertilizer contribution has been beneficial because it has improved the yield as well, the yield efficiency that the olive oil content. In this site, the olive tree nitrogen requirement is relatively high considering its age in comparison with the other two young orchards. This need for high nitrogen combined with low initial soil nitrogen available to the crop may explain this response to nitrogen in this site. The nitrogen fertilization effect on the olive tree has been variable depending on the environment where it is grown (climate and soil at the site), the olive variety and age. The contribution of nitrogen fertilizer is determined by the combination of all these parameters.

References

1. Allison LE. Organic Carbon. Pages 1372-1376 dans C. A. Black, ed. Methods of soil analysis. Part II, chap. 90. Am. Soc. Agron., Inc., Madison, Wis. 1965.
2. Allison LE, Moodie CD. Carbonate. Book: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy. 1965.
3. Angelo Rodrigues M, Isabel Q, Ferreira, Ana Marilia Claro, Margarida Arrobas. Fertilizer recommendations for olive based upon nutrients removed in crop and pruning. Scientia Horticulturae. 2012 ; 142, 205-211.
4. Anonymous. Les aides financières de l'état pour l'encouragement des investissements agricoles. Rabat, Morocco: Ministry of Agriculture and Maritime Fisheries. 2011. French.
5. Chapman HD. Cation-exchange capacity. Pages 891-903 dans C. A. Black, ed. Methods of soil analysis. Part II, Chap. 57 and 58. Am. Soc. Agron., Inc., Madison, Wis. 1965.
6. Cimato. La qualité de l'huile d'olive vierge et les facteurs agronomiques. Olivae. 1990 ; 31 : 20-31. French.

- 254 7. Civantos D. La olivicultura en el mundo y en Espana. In : Barranco, D., Fernandez-
255 Escobar, R., Rallo, L., (Eds), El cultivo del olivo. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, pp. 19-33. 1999.
256 Spanich.
- 257 8. Civantos D. La olivicultura en el mundo y en Espana. In: Barranco, D., Fernandez-
258 Escobar, R., Rallo, L. (Eds), El cultivo del olivo. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, 2008 ; pp. 17-35.
259 Spanich.
- 260 9. Day, Paul R. Particle Fractionation and Particle-Size Analysis. P. 546-566. In: C. A.
261 Black (ed.) Methods of Soil Analysis, Agron. N°. 9. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical
262 Properties, American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisc. USA. 1965.
- 263 10. Drouineau G. Dosage rapide du calcaire actif du sol. Nouvelles données sur la reportation
264 de la nature des fractions calcaires. Ann. Agron. 1942 ; 12, 441-450. French
- 265 11. Fernandez-Escobar R, Beltran G, Sanchez-Zamora MA, Garcia-Novelo J, Aguilera MP,
266 Uceda M. Olive oil quality decreases with nitrogen over-fertilization. HortScience.
267 2006 ; 41 (1), 215-219.
- 268 12. Fernandez-Escobar R, Garcia-Novelo JM, Sanchez-Zamora MA, Uceda M, Beltran G,
269 Aguilera MP. Efecto del abono nitrogenado en la producción y la calidad del aceite de
270 olive. Direccion General de Investigacion y Formacion Agraria y Pesquera (Ed.), Jornadas
271 de Investigacion y Transferencia de Tecnologia al Sector Oleicola, Cordoba (Spain).
272 2002 ; pp. 299-302. Spanich.
- 273 13. Fernandez-Escobar R, Marin L, Sanchez-Zamora MA, Garcia-Novelo JM, Molina-Soria
274 C, Parra MA. Long term effects of N fertilization on cropping and growth of olive trees
275 and on N accumulation in soil profile. Eur. J. Agron. 2009a ; 31, 223-232.
- 276 14. Fernandez-Escobar R, Moreno R, Garcia-Creus M. Seasonal changes of mineral
277 nutrients in olive leaves during the alternate-bearing cycle. Scientia Horticulturae 82.
278 1999 ; 25-45.
- 279 15. Fernandez-Escobar R, Ortiz-Urquiza A, Prado M, Rapoport HF. Nitrogen status
280 influence on olive tree flower quality and ovule longevity. Environ Exp Bot 64. 2008 ;
281 113-119. Doi : 10.1016/04.007.
- 282 16. Fernandez-Escobar R, Parra MA, Navarro C, Arquero O. Foliar diagnosis as a guide to
283 olive fertilization. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2009b ; 7(1), 212-223.
- 284 17. Ferreira J, Garcia-Ortiz A, Frias L, Fernandez A. Los nutrientes N, P, K en la
285 fertilización del olivar. X Aniversario Red Cooperativa Europea de Investigacion en
286 oleicultura. Cordoba. 1984. Spanish.

- 287 18. Fontanazza G. Comment cultiver en vue de la qualité de l'huile. *Olivae*. 1988 ; 24 : 31-
288 39. French.
- 289 19. Garcia CG. Abonado Delolivar. In : GuiaPractica de la fertilizacionRacional de los
290 cultivos en Espana. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino, Madrid,
291 Espana, pp. 223-233 ; 2009. Spanish.
- 292 20. Giménez C, E Diaz, F Rosado, A Garcia-Ferrer, M Sanchez, MA Parra, M Diaz, and P
293 Pena. Characterization of current management practices with high risk of nitrate
294 contamination in agricultural areas of southern Spain. *Acta Hort*. 2001 ; 563, 73-80.
- 295 21. Hartman HT. Some responses of the olive to nitrogen fertilizers. *Proc. Am. Soc. Hort.*
296 *Sci*. 1958; 72, 2578-266.
- 297 22. Jasrotia A, Singh RP, Singh JM, Bhutami VP. Response of olive trees to varying levels
298 of N and K fertilizers. *Acta Hort*. 1999 ; 474, 337-340.
- 299 23. Lopez-Granados F, Jurado-Exposito M, Alamo S, Garcia-Torres L. Leaf nutrient spatial
300 variability and site-specific fertilization maps within olive (*Olea europaea* L.) orchards.
301 *Europ. J. Agronomy* 21. 2004; 209-222.
- 302 24. Marcelon ME, Jordao PV, Soveral-Dias JC, Matias H, Rogado B. Effect of nitrogen
303 and magnesium application on yield and leaf N and Mg concentrations of olive trees
304 cv. Picual. *Acta Hort*. 2002; 586, 329-332.
- 305 25. Marin L and Fernandez-Escobar R. Optimization of nitrogen fertilization in olive
306 orchards. In: Val, J., Montanés, L., Monge, E. (Eds), *Proceeding of the third*
307 *International symposium on Mineral Nutrition of Deciduous Fruit Trees*, Zaragoza,
308 Spain. 1997. pp. 411-414.
- 309 26. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils
310 by extraction with bicarbonate. *U.S. Dep. Agric. Circ.* 939, USA. 1954.
- 311 27. Oukabli A, Mamouni A, Badraoui M. Diagnostic de la conduite technique du verger
312 oléicole dans les périmètres du projet MCC et propositions d'amélioration. *Revue*
313 *annuelle des activités de recherche. Axe II : Variétés et leurs conduites techniques.*
314 *Projet Arboriculture Fruitière (MCC)*. 2011.
- 315 28. Razouk R, Ibjibijien J, Kajji A. Optimal time of supplemental irrigation during fruit
316 development of rainfed olive tree (*Olea europaea*, cv. Picholine marocaine) in Morocco.
317 *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*. 3 (4): 685-697, 2013.
- 318 29. Rodrigues MA, Pavao F, Lopes JI, Gomes V, Arrobas M, Moutinho-Pereira J, Ruivo S,
319 Cabanas JE, Correia CM. Olive yields and tree nutritional status during a four year period

320 without nitrogen and boron fertilization. Commun. Soil Si. Plant Anal. 2011; 42 (7), 803-
321 814.

322 30. Sims, James R and Jackson, Grant D. Rapid Analysis of Soil Nitrate with Chromotropic
323 Acid. Soil Science Society of America. 1971.

324

325