



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2012/AJEA/1082
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>EVALUATION OF SELECTED PHYTOCHEMICALS AND BIOACTIVE COMPONENTS IN FLUTED PUMPKIN (<i>Telfairia Occidentalis</i>) AND AMARANTH (<i>Amaranthus caudatus</i>) LEAVES</i>
Manuscript received on (Date)	
Review comment submitted (Date)	



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
General comment:	The paper is very interesting, quite well written with adequate references.	
Specific comments:	The material part is too weak. Indeed you used only one assay without replication of the measures, so that your conclusions are not valid enough to be published at this stage.	
Title and abstract	You have done your work in Crude extracts only. Not in bioactive compounds. So, you should be changing your title.	
Introduction	There is no details about the selected plants Why did you choose these plants? Is any specific features.	
Review of literature (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	-	
Materials & methods (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	Add some more antioxidant assays. For Eg. Reducing power assay, Hydrogen peroxide assay etc. It will be strength and helpful for publish your article. Include qualitative phytochemical screening in your methodology part. Try to separate or extract the crude phytocompounds from fresh plant materials	
Results & discussion (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)	Needs some latest references	
Conclusion	-	
References	-	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer