



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10973
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of cutting type and IBA on rooting and growth of Citron (<i>Citrus medica</i> L).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article has great results, which are very valuable for scientific area. However, needs to be very revised with corrections. In abstract, is missing a short and quick introduction, showing the problem/hypothesis/objective of the work. In the introduction, this is poorly described and missing a short and quick introduction, showing the problem/hypothesis/objective of the work. In material and methods, was well reported, however it is necessary to standarize mg L⁻¹, where L is capitalized. Throughout the text, there are several types (mgl⁻¹; mgl-1; mg/L...). In some parts was quoted results, which was material and methods, it is necessary to review. In the results, it's need to discuss further the large amount of results, showing what was the best the type of stem cuttings of Citron, and cite more references. I find it interesting conclusions section and add acknowledgment (as funding agency, institutions). Review the references. Throughout the article, there are words together, scientific words that are not in italic, and words together with numbers. Review. Please, review the format of all text.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer