SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_10726**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Impact of theFadama II Intervention on Rural Households inKogi and Kwara States, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	**Original research article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**How did the author(s) control for the possibly effect of Fadama III that came on stream within the same time?**	
Minor REVISION comments	Check line 234 Line 380, check table 8 again to show beneficiary from non beneficiary Check the sentences again	
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer