



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6197
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of variety on yield and water productivity of fadama-grown rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) in Akure, southwestern Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Scientific paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The author must correct the following item: Improve the presentation of the equations 1 and 2; Format art and improve the presentation of tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5. In addition to figures 1a, 1b, 1c, 2a, 2b, 3a and 3b; Standardize "et al." (The author use italics for some and not others); Standardize the references (check the rules); There is space between the data value and its unit.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The author must correct the following lines: Line 44 (e.g. 1 m); Line 46 (e.g. 30 %); Line 69 (e.g. 33 °C); Line 70 (e.g. 35 °C); Line 72 (e.g. 35 °C; 1 hour); Line 70 (e.g. 39 °C); Line 97 (e.g. 135 million); Line 113 and 114 (e.g. Lat. 7°14' N and Long. 5°14' E); Line 115 (e.g. 30 °C; 85 %); Line 116 (e.g. 60 %); Line 129 (e.g. 90 m); Line 143 (e.g. 48 hours); Line 184 and 187 (e.g. 2 l/h); Line 191 (e.g. 5 %); Line 206 (e.g. 1b and 1c); Line 211 (e.g. 10 cm); Line 228 (e.g. 50 %); Line 248 and 249 (do not use the &, e.g. 2.9 and 7.6 g; 2.0 and 5.1 g; 68 and 96 m ² ; 42 and 52 m ² , beyond the lines 255, 256 and 387); Line 258 (e.g. 223 mm; 0.031 and); Line 343 (e.g. period (growth..)).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is very interesting, presenting a study very relevant and of great agricultural importance. However, by treating it is a scientific paper, the authors must be careful in the way you write, make proper use of the revised standards and improve the art of tables and figures.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer