SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_12018**
Title of the Manuscript:	**RESOURCE USE AND TECHNICAL EFFICIENCY IN VALUE ADDITION TO CASSAVA: A CASE STUDY ON GARI AND FUFU PROCESSING IN OGUN STATE, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **The authors should use 'Past Tense' for the results in the manuscript.** 2. **All of the table titles should be in the same format. Also no need to cite reference for each table unless the authors use the results from others.** 3. **Check and edit English which were indicated by underlining in the attached manuscript.**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer