SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_8769**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Fungicide Selection and Application Timing for Management of Peanut Pod Rot**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It is really an excellent work. The only part which needs improvement (before acceptance) is the strengthening of the statements for the novelty of this work, which has to be included in Introduction section.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**George Z. Kyzas**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Petroleum and Natural Gas Technology, Technological Educational Institute of Kavala, Greece**