



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_8596
Title of the Manuscript:	Identified Flow Problems in Adding Value to Cassava Tuber
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Abstract review, proper definitions of terms. The authors need references to support their findings. The finding that angle of repose of cassava mash is dependent on moisture content should be supported with other published works	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Keywords, Grammatical revision. Some of the references are too old. The authors should get more recent ones. Proper use of acronyms and figures.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author needs to translate his/her research findings to development. What is/are the implications of the work to food security, farmers & processors' productivity, product quality and commercialization. In the introduction or justification, he/she needs to tell us the importance of the study.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olapeju O. Phorbee
Department, University & Country	Department of Nutrition & Dietetics, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi. Nigeria