



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_8631
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>EFFECTS OF GAMMA IRRADIATION ON SEEDS GERMINATION, PLANTLETS GROWTH AND ANTIMALARIAL ACTIVITIES OF PHYLLANTHUS ODONTADENIUS Müll Arg.</i>
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript need to be revised considering the English language. Some phrases are really difficult to understand. For example, lines 56-58, 87-88, 94-96, and several others. Lines 104-111 looks like controversy, please, revise.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	a. The identification of figure 1a and 1b is the same, is it correct ? Please, revise the legend of figure 1 and 2, and all others; b. In figure 3, please, include in the graphics medium $\pm$standard deviation; c. Table 6 need to be revised, for example 1,12 for 1.12;	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is little tiring, especially during the presentation of results. I suggest the reduction of information in this section, since the tables, figures and graphics can tell by themselves. The discussion needs to focus in what is more important.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer