



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10989
Title of the Manuscript:	Dose optimization of Potassium (K+) for yield and quality increment f strawberry (Frageria × ananassa Dutch)
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.Title: -Revise title to reflect content of research -line 2 increment of,.....Dutch or Duch? Correct 2. Abstract: -Capitalize and put contents in text box (see author guideline) -Line 7: Potassium ions? -Briefly indicate the problem: Application rates and time of application -Line13: Potassium ions were applied as (indicate source of K and SI unit) -Methodology is missing in abstract, please supply briefly -Was the experiment field or green house? -Line 15-16: Untreated control plants not mentioned in application rates -Line 16: delete..., all parameters???? -Mentioned agro-climatic conditions.....results Follow text format of this journal,.... Download sample of papers published on the journal website Supply acronyms!!!! 3. Introduction -Do not provide line numbers per page,...should be continuous!!! -Line 3: Italic scientific name -Revise Line 3-5	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	-revise line 3-8 -Sharma and Sharma, 2004 is not same author as Sharma et al., 2004 hence remove the alphabets!!! -Please supply comma in your citations e.g. Sharma and Sharma, 2004 -supply comma after words such as however, therefore, e.t.c. -line 14: less rainfall....please give figures -state why K is a problem in strawberry production,...what this research is to address,.....citations from earlier research needed!!! -THE PROBLEM IS NOT DISCUSSED IN DETAILS!!! -line 29.....and fruit yield (of which crop?) -Provide Citation=line 30.....characteristic of chilli plants, line 31.....disease occurrence -line 33 agro-climatic conditions provide rainfall and temperature figures 4. Materials and Methods 2.1. Experi,..... -Provide Latitude and Longitude, elevation, climatic figure, type of soil, pH for the site 2.2. Soil Analysis -How was the soil sample taken, from what soil levels, what were the Nutrient parameters analysed and how,,.....why was this necessary in the study? -provide table 1 after the text 2.3. Fertilizer and Exp -what grade of SOP, 90 P or P2O5? And why 90 P and 100 kg N (revise also SI units) -what is simple RCB, -revise 3x2m² = (3 x 2)m, what is 05 rows? -How was planting done, weeding, pest and disease control, pesticide used,...field experiment or green house? When was the experiment done, which season,	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	how many seasons? Reorganise your treatment to make it easy to redo the experiment 2.4. Parameters studied -How were the data taken,....frequency of data collection,...e.t.c. please include 3.0 Results and Discussion -line 7 discussion is based on days to flowering while table is soil chemical conditions?? -P value should be written as Italic Capitalize <i>P</i> = .05 check author guideline. -Provide correct SI units for fertilizers -Remove space Line 18-21 -Discuss table 1 separately (lime analysed however, not mentioned anywhere as part of the work) 3.1.2 what is discussed is no. of fruits per plant -line 12-15, the number of fruits per plant do not always translate into high fruit yield take not -see author guidelines for perfect table format Please do this results and discussion as: 1. Soil chemical conditions 2. Days to..... 3. Yield components 4. Quality attributes Conclusion -Revise to reflect complete finding,...see your results and objectives Conflict of Interest -Include conflict of interest declaration statment Reference -In text all reference in number format -A number of reference not cited in text e.g. Amin 1996 line 12, Kumar line 1, McClean EO line 10, Soltanpour line 22, Wojcik line 31	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	-Some citation not in reference list e.g. Varis 1985, Better crop vol,...1998, Singh and Verma 1991, Akhtar,2010, Mengel and Kirby, 1987 -Others have spelling mistakes e.g. Marshner 1995 in text but Marschner, 1995 in reference list, Szczerba 2008 -Use authors guidelines for ALL REFERENCE LIST formatting!!!!	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please consult the services of an English Speaking person for whole document sentence structure	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Go through your materials and methods and clearly provide these as a table	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer