SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_10513**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Performance and Carcass Characteristics of Broiler Chicken Fed Soybean and Sesame/Soybean Based Diets Supplemented With or Without Microbial Phytase**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Too many typographical errors throughout the manuscript. Sections 2.2 & 2.3: Very difficult to understand. Please re-write these sections. The author(s) used the word enzymes instead of enzyme in the discussion. Line 242: Please explain what is meant by "positive influence." The author(s) left me wondering if the purpose of this study was to agree or disagree with what is already known. It is difficult to understand what is new in this study. Originality of the study is questionable from the discussion. Please rework the results and discussion to bring out clearly what is new about the study. No consistency in the writing of the references cited.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	ethical issues -: The term "appropriate ethics committee" is not enough. Please provide the name of the committee that approved the protocol.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer