SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_10726**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Impact of theFadama II Intervention on Rural Households inKogi and Kwara States, Nigeria**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**. Abstract should be summarized.** **. The format need to be modified, e.g Kwarastates—Kwara state, and Dayinkogi. AfDB…….** **. Correct acronym of Africa Development Bank Should be checked.** **. Specific sampling technique should be stated, e.g after stratified sampling, how the respondents are selected?** **. Previous research should be compared.** **. The result included in the abstract should tally with the findings in the chapter on result.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Objective should be specifically stated. Problems and significance of the research should be stated. More literatures should be reviewed.	
Optional/General comments	The paper will be suitable for publication, if modified.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer