SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_10066**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Identification of Hematological Markers Suitable for Improving Productivity of Helmeted Guinea Fowl Numida meleagris**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Only minor revisions are required before publication of this interesting manuscript. It should be mentioned though that the figure legends should be explained more thoroughly and some grammar adjustments are necessary before publication of this manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	I am wondering if there was an ethical committee approval for this study.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer