



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7285
Title of the Manuscript:	A Tomato Inducer of CBF Expression 1 (SlICE1) is Involved in Cold Stress Signaling
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. The Fig. 2 B pattern seems to be error. The lanes are wrong with description in result.</p> <p>2. In Fig. 1C, the degraded GST-SIICE1-CT should be smear, but not a clear band?</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>A lot of errors of grammar and words should be changed, e.g., line 41, "an" should be changed in "a"; line 43, the latin name of tomato should be added before SIICE1, then referred to as SI--; line 47, "STPS" should be changed "SITPS"; line 77, "geneme" should be revised into "genome"; line 85, is different with "in aquistion of" at line 88; line 92, "sawing" should be changed in "sowing"; line 94, "Tomato" changed in "tomato"; line 109-110, "The numbers of cycles were as indicated." Should be deleted. Line 168, protein, 57.6 kDa is not consistent with 52kDa. Line 177 and other places, "EGTA" is "EDTA"? line 219, " 5 h In contrast" is "5 h. In contrast"; line 220 "RT-PCR, Increase of" is " RT-PCR, increase of", etc.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer