SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_13172**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Transplant and a change in plant density improve sweet maize (Zea mays L.) yield**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work you submitted for publication is interesting, but has several missing elements that should be incorporated in your future efforts, including 1) stating your basis hypothesis; 2) providing "experimental design" section, should clear the area of the experimental plot and replications. Besides these, there're some other questions. a. How was the transplant conducted? By hands or by machines? b. The unit of dry weight accumulation should be g/m^2. c. The language should be improved, as the manuscript was lacing in appropriate terminology and sentence structure, making the manuscript difficult to interpret the meaning of what you were attempting to convey to the reader.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer