



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10704
Title of the Manuscript:	Participatory on-farm evaluation of some storage methods and grain protectants on quality characteristics of maize (<i>Zea mays L</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 41 – Mycotoxins could not damage grain, but fungus which produces mycotoxins. Line 151 – Grain bio-physical characteristics – please, change sentence into grain characteristics, since bio-physical characteristics are aimed for something else. Line 177-178 - Influence of method of storage and protection on grain characteristics in relation to insect damage.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript have a lot spelling errors, they must be corrected. It would be good if meteorological data (temperature, precipitation and moisture) from different experimental sites could be included in material and methods section. This could be source of differences in results between locations. Discussion must be improved by adding of several references more, which will underline importance of scientific results. Conclusion must be rewritten in accordance with results present in study.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer