



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14109
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of silicon and nano-silicon on germination, growth and yield of faba bean (<i>Vicia faba</i> L.) under salt stress conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The interactive effect between salt stress and silicon (Si and NSi) on germination, growth and yield should take into account. Line 138 Why did Mg not determined?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 8 at Study design: "were used" should change to "used". The "were" should be deleted. Line 100 "as ppm" should change to "mg/kg". Line 103 in the Table (1): "Ec(dsm ⁻¹)" should change to "ES (dSm ⁻¹)". Line 143 "18000 × g" should change to "18000 g". Line 238 and 252 "reported by [40]" change to "reported by the name of author". Line 419, 420, 449 "K%" change to "K(%) or K"; line 431-434 "N%" change to "N(%) or N"; line 462 Na%. Line 466 "potassium: sodium ratio" and line 469, 481, 484 "K:Na ratio" should change to "K/Na ratio"	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The description of the paper was very good.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Institute of Agricultural Environment and Resources, China