



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10884
Title of the Manuscript:	Host suitability of cut-flowers to <i>Meloidogyne</i> spp. and population dynamics of <i>M. hapla</i> on the rootstock <i>Rosa corymbifera</i> 'Laxa'
Type of the Article	<u>Original Research Article</u>

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Accepted after major revision 1. There are citations absent from the literature and vice versa. 2. The Discussion is too extended and should be condensed. 3. The English style requires revision. 4. In Table 2 the column Nr of nematodes stained in root means the total number or nematodes per g or root? 5. In Table 1 the column Nematodes per g of root is not clear. In this experiment only eggs from root were counted and the sum of egg number and juveniles in soil used to estimate RF.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	E.A. Tzortzakakis
Department, University & Country	Plant Protection Institute, NAGREF, Heraklion, Crete, Greece