SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2013_AJEA_5976**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Haematological Indices and Serum Biochemical Profile of Dwarf Goats Fed Elephant Grass and Vary Levels of Combined Plantain with Mango Peels.**
Type of the Article	**Research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	- There should be no full stop at the end of the titles including tables - The placement of the P-values is inconsistent throughout the write up - The **abstract** needs some revision especially the conclusion **Introduction** Lines 32, 34, 40 needs revision	

	Materials and Methods Line 84- small sizes are not scientific. The measurement in gramme or cm or mm should be specified. Line 85- which processing centre- factory or what. Is it a commercial centre or a convergence of local processors Line 86- drying under shade- for how many days and what was the moisture content of the end product? Line 89- feeding regime should be under experimental animals and management not under diet formulation Line 91-95 the sentence should be rephrased Line 103- the duration of adaptation period be specified Line 105- were the animals fed only once Line 111- after the needlesgirage should be in tracket Line 125- AOAC has an updated version Line 127- is it one-way or two-way ANOVA? Table 3: Values of Final RBC are not correctly compared. The superscripts are not placed correctly. Line 167 needs rephrasing Line 186- 187 should be rewritten Line 227- should be rewritten treatment	

	should be deleted Line 233- the P value should be inserted Lines 245- 247- P values should be included Line 259- should be rephrased Line 281- should be rephrased Line 296- should be rephrased Line 298- should be rephrased	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ojebiyi Olusegun Ojeniyi**
Department, University & Country	**Department Of Animal Nutrition And Biotechnology, ladoke Akintola University Of Technology, P.M.B. 4000, Ogbomoso, Oyo State, Nigeria**