SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_8082**
Title of the Manuscript:	**AQUACULTURAL PRODUCTION AND MANAGEMENT IN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Review Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**This article is not scientifically robust and technically sound. It also failed to contribute to knowledge; The paper is without objective and focus.** **It lacks originality and current aqua cultural issues and development in Nigeria.** **This article requires serious and complete rework** **NOTE;** **All highlighted words in Yellow need attention, red are meant for delete while suggestion are in bracket**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Author(s) can include table/charts and sub headings like: • Nigeria population and fish demand • Introduction of cage culture in Nigeria • Contribution of government and private sector • Problem and prospect of aquaculture in Nigeria, etc	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer