



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10542
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of soyabean oil and garlic-in-water supplementation on performance, carcass trait, organs weight, haematology, and serum cholesterol content of broiler chickens
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In table 1 change "Gross composition" to "Composition". In the second column of this table the total is 102 instead of the correct 100. It is better to merge tables 1 and 2, to avoid repetitions of data presentation. Also, the composition of the vitamin and mineral premix should be given in the table footnotes. Moreover, if the starter diet was not given to the birds during the experimental period, it should not be shown. In tables 3, 4, 5, and 6, some significant differences are reported for soyabean oil (or no), garlic (or no). Unfortunately, the means are not given for these measurements and as a result it is difficult to actually see these differences and understand which was higher or lower. As a result, these tables should be revised to show the values for these data. There is inconsistency between table 4 and conclusions. Abdominal fat deposit is not statistically different in the table, but is reported as different in line 211. The same inconsistency also is true for serum cholesterol in table 6 and conclusions in lines 211-212.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer