



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11955
Title of the Manuscript:	Distribution of imidacloprid residues in two sandy soils of portneuf (Quebec) under potatoes (<i>solanum tuberosum</i>) culture
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. In section 2.2, standard deviation should be included 2. The obtained values of Imidacloprid should be compared with some reference values 3. The use of Internal Standards and Certified Reference Materials (CRM) during extraction and laboratory analysis has not been included in the paper. This could increase the scientific quality of the research. 4. It is essential to provide minimum description of different soil sample characteristics in materials and methods section. The manuscript does not provide any data on pH, organic carbon content. It is hard to evaluate the risk of pesticide contamination without the information of soil properties. competing interest:-YES	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Authors might briefly mention the potential risk to ground water in Introduction section.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please arrange the references in alphabetical order English Grammar should be revised and corrected at many places.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer