SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_11158**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Effects of induced mutation on agronomic traits in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*L).**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	i) Discussion section should be more elaborated. Some latest references should be included in support of the discussion. ii) The introduction not provide sufficient background information. It should be written again.	
Minor REVISION comments	i) The text should be arranged according to the Guidelines for Authors. (margins, font. Ref. etc.) ii) References must be written again following the rules of the Journal.	
Optional/General comments	i) The abstract is informative and it reflects the body of the paper. ii) The text is well arranged and the logic clear without grammatical errors in this article. The related concepts are introduced clearly and the readability is sufficient. iv) All tables are clear enough to summarize the results for presentation to the readers and all tables are well referred to in the text. v)The manuscript is well written, addresses an important topic, and should be of interest to readers of the journal. The approach used is appropriate and data support conclusions drawn by the authors.	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer