



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7254
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Dietary Levels of Rice Husk Supplemented with or without Commercial Enzymes on Performance, Nutrient Retention and Gastro-intestinal Tract of Broilers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There is no major adverse comment on the article. The introduction, methodology and design employed all met standard requirements. The result and discussion aspects were also adequately handled	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 33 should highlight the reasons cited by the Ref 6. Line 42; experimental diets Line 111: diluents Line 293: insert "and" Line 321: Veg. Change to vegetable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research work effectively addressed an issue that is relevant to poultry feeds and feeding.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Odunsi Adeyinka
Department, University & Country	Department of Animal Nutrition and Biotechnology, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria