



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_7285
Title of the Manuscript:	A Tomato Inducer of CBF Expression 1 (SlICE1) is Involved in Cold Stress Signaling
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Stockinger E. J., Gilmour S. J., & Thomashow M. F. (1997).can be cited in this work Two abiotic stresses (cold and salinity) were studied but the title reflects only cold stress. Why? Even if much was not done on salt stress I think the title should heed of it. Where did you grow your tomato plants?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In material and methods (line 92) the authors should write sowing instead of sawing. In results and discussions Wan et al. (2007, Martin and Busconi (2001)(line 183) were cited but not written in the references. In Line 210, Chinnusamy et al. (2010) was cited but not written in the reference section. Tomikubo et al. (2007) [line 213] was cited but not in the references. Increase of line 220 should not be in capital letter. In figure 2 (B) it should be in response to cold stress instead of chold stress. It is 25 KDa not K252a In line 413 it should be "Introduced restriction sites are showed (instead of show) by underlines From line 179 to line 181 the results obtained and analyzed were from figure 2B and Fig 2C respectively not from Figure 2A and 2B as written by the authors. In fig 2A you wrote IIICE1, do you mean SLICE1 to be checked over? ICE1 should not be confounded to SLICE1</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	It Is OK with the introduction Methodologies described in this manuscript are sufficient The authors need to work on Results and discussion to correct some mistakes. The conclusion is supported and based on the data obtained	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vincent Ishola Awe Ezin
Department, University & Country	Laboratoire de Biologie et de Typage Moléculaire en Microbiologie, Département de biochimie et biologie cellulaire, Faculté des sciences et Techniques (FAST), Université d'Abomey-Calavi (UAC-Benin), 05PB1604 Cotonou, Benin