



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10386
Title of the Manuscript:	Market Information and Extent of Agricultural Commercialization: Empirical Evidence from Smallholder Farmers in Effutu Municipality of Ghana.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have to admit that this article is well written in terms of the information it includes. It gives the readers a good idea about the general factors that affect the agricultural commercialization in Ghana. However, there are some grammar mistakes especially in subject-verb agreement and tense agreement. Some sentences are too long and complicated in meaning. It is impossible to understand what is expressed in these sentences. Sometimes wrong words are used, like "off-farm." Moreover, there are some repetitions which should be completely avoided. The author should also avoid starting many sentences with "According to [3], ..." because it is not good and correct to include the references this way. Any type of reference should always be at the very end of the sentence and any sentence that includes extra information should be shown by a footnote at the bottom of the page.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I could not see any information about the variables "age, land ownership and access to credit" in the Results and Discussion even though these were mentioned in the Method of Analysis. Maybe these are forgotten or not so important.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The colors: Red: The corrected form of sentences Blue: To be deleted completely Pink: The editor's comments and corrections	
---	---	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zeynep Ozbilge
Department, University & Country	Msc. in European Union Economics, Marmara University, Istanbul-Turkey.