

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Nitrogen ^{of olive orchards} Olive Fertilization under Rainfed Mediterranean Conditions

Abstract

Aims: This work has the objective of studying the effect of nitrogen fertilization on olive yield, oil content and quality.

Study Design: Randomized complete block design with ^{four nitrogen fertilizer treatments and} eight replications, ~~where the variable~~
~~factor was nitrogen dose.~~

Place and Duration of study: The experiment was conducted during 2011 in farmers' fields at three sites: Taza (S1), Taounate (S2) and Taoudjat (S3) in Morocco.

Methodology: Four nitrogen rates (0, 0.25, 0.5 and 1 kg N/tree/year) were ^{applied to} tested on the ~~varieties~~ Moroccan Picholine ~~variety~~ (S1 and S2) and the Arbequina ~~variety~~ (S3). Nitrogen ^{was} split into two contributions: ^{1/2} in March and half in May. Phosphorus (0.5 kg P₂O₅/tree/year) and potassium (2 kg K₂O/tree/year) were ^{applied} made in March. Each individual plot consisted of four trees.

Result and discussion: At S2, (35 years old), nitrogen fertilizer improved yield as well, the yield efficiency ^{of trees} that the olive oil content. At S1 (9 years old) and S3 (7 years old), the ^{nitrogen applications} contribution of nitrogen had no effect on the olive yield and ~~has~~ negatively affected the olive oil quality in particular in S3.

Conclusion: The effect of nitrogen fertilization on the olive tree ^{yield was dependent of the olive orchard,} was variable depending on the ^{some trees responded to applied N and others did not.} environment where it is grown (climate and soil of the site), the variety and age of the orchard.

Key words: Olive, Fertilization, Trials, Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Potassium, Morocco.

Introduction

Olive is one of the main crops in the Mediterranean basin with a cultivated area of about 8.2 M ha [7] Cited by [23]. In Morocco, olive orchard occupies an area of about 790000 ha of which 60% grown under rainfed conditions [4]. It occupies a prominent place in the national arboreal sector. The diagnosis of the ~~technical conduct~~ ^{management} of the olive orchard has focused the constraints of development of olive production to pruning and nitrogen nutrition [27]. A wrong pruning combined with low nitrogen application reduces the production of fruiting branches which

^{English of insufficient quality}

much, much caution in conclusions due to the short-term of the experiment.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

28 affects fruiting trees. Fertilizer ^{made in} Moroccan olive orchards is, in most cases, random
29 whether quantitative and qualitative point of views. The lack of standards for olive fertilization
30 adapted to each agro-climatic region let Moroccan growers obliged to follow the traditional
31 practices of fertilization.

abbreviate the first time the word appears.

32 Field trials are the most reliable for the determination of these standards means. However, few
33 studies have been developed to determine the response of olive to nitrogen fertilization [13].
34 Fertilization, especially nitrogen (N), is a cultivation technique that greatly affects the olive tree
35 productivity. Hartman [21] observed that trees grown on infertile soils in California responded to
36 N inputs, showing a high level of nitrogen in leaves, fruit set and yield. Unlike grown on more
37 fertile soils trees that showed no response to fruit set and yield to N inputs. In an experiment
38 conducted with long-term rainfed olive orchards in several locations in Spain, Ferreira ^{et al.} and al.
39 [17] found that only trees with productivity lower than 35 kg/tree showed a positive response to
40 the N application. Fernandez-Escobar and al. [13] found that nitrogen fertilization had no
41 significant effect on yield, fruit characteristics and growth of trees in both typical orchards of the
42 Mediterranean region for 13 years of testing period of while the leaf nitrogen concentration
43 increased with the nitrogen fertilizer quantities. They also found that even when the N content of
44 the leaves was below the threshold established deficiency of 1.4%, we did not notice any
45 reduction in yield or growth. A survey across the Mediterranean basin, where about 98% of the
46 10 million ha of existing olive groves in the world are located [8] showed that nitrogen is present
47 in most fertilizers, even when potassium is the element that can causes most severe nutritional
48 disorders [15] Cited by [13]. Rodrigues and al. [29] reported a gradual and significant decrease
49 in the olive yield when nitrogen was removed from the fertilization plan for four years,
50 compared with treatments where nitrogen was brought annually. Jasrotia and al. [22] also found
51 a significant increase in olive productivity with increasing nitrogen doses. In a study for five
52 years in the olive orchards in southern Spain, Fernandez-Escobar and al. [16] found no
53 significant differences in performance between the olive trees subjected to a fertilization
54 program based on foliar diagnosis, and those receiving the current fertilization ^{regime} in the region [500
55 kg / ha of fertilizer NPK (15: 15: 15) and three foliar sprays of trace elements and amino acid].

56 Phosphorus deficiency limits the absorption of nitrogen, magnesium, calcium and boron and
57 correspondingly reduced growth plant [18]. Oil content and quality are also influenced by the
58 addition of fertilizers. Indeed, nitrogen promotes higher levels of oleic and stearic acid the drupe
59 and its deficiency is associated of elevated levels of palmitic and linoleic acid [6]. Excess

English language should be improved

UNDER PEER REVIEW

60 nitrogen can causes environmental degradation [20] and negatively affect olive oil [11] ; Quoted
61 by [13] and the flower quality [15]. Good nitrogen fertilization can, in fact, sustain soil fertility
62 management, avoiding unnecessary waste of fertilizer and improves the level of crop yield.

63 The objectives of this work are to study the effect of nitrogen application on olive yield, on the
64 olive oil content and quality.

65 Materials and methods

66 The experiment was conducted during 2010/2011. Three trials were conducted in farmers
67 fields at three sites: S1 (N: 34 ° 11.994 'W: 004 ° 17.613'), S2 (N: 34 ° 29.771 'W: 004 ° 40.482')
68 and S3 (N: 33 ° 56,440 'W: 005 ° 14.035') belonging respectively to the following three areas:
69 Taza, Taounate and Fez. The siting of experiments was done taking into the account the
70 prominence of olive cultivation in these regions. Indeed, the region of Taza-Al Hoceima-
71 Taounate and the region of Fez-Boulemane occupy, respectively, the second (318 500 ha) and
72 third (120 000 ha) positions, in terms of area covered by olive trees in Morocco. Table 1
73 presents the main characteristics of these orchards.

74 Table 1. Olive orchards characteristics.

Experimentation sites	Variety	Age of orchard (year)	Plantation structure (m ²)
Taza (Oued Amlil)	Picholine marocaine	9	10 * 10
Taounate (Ain aicha)	Picholine marocaine	35	9 * 9
Fès (Taoujdat)	Arbequine	7	3 * 5

75 Soil physical and chemical characteristics are indicated in table 2. Analysis were performed by
76 the following methods: particle size by the particle method pipette Robinson [9], the electrical
77 conductivity of the saturated paste extract, organic matter by the Walkley and Black method [1],
78 the total calcium by calcimeter Bernard [2], the active limestone by Drouineau method [10],
79 nitrates by chromotropic acid [30], available phosphorus by Olsen method [26] and
80 exchangeable potassium by ammonium acetate [5].
81 The studied soils have alkaline pH, low organic matter, non-saline for olives and moderately to
82 strongly calcareous (Table 2).

83 Table 2. Physical and chemical characteristics of the experimentation soils sites.

the information of
this table was
already provided.
Delete this table
and put these
values in the
Text.

the English should be
improved.

that ugly thing!!!

UNDER PEER REVIEW


Remove vertical lines
Remove most of the horizontal lines,...

that only the table must be properly formatted. see similar tables in other scientific papers.

Site	OuedAmlil (S1)		Taounate (S2)		Taoudjdate (S3)	
Depth (cm)	0-30	30-60	0-30	30-60	0-30	30-60
Texture	Loam	Loam	siltyclay	silty	silty	siltyclay
Organicmatter (%)	1,5	2,2	2,3	1,8	2,3	1,4
Nitrates (mg/kg)	17,1	40,7	9,5	8,4	47,2	16,4
Availablephosphorus (mg/kg)	5,7	5,2	6,7	1,5	33,6	29,1
ExchangeablePotassium(mg/kg)	541,3	319,2	408,6	233,6	318,5	142,4
pH	7,9	7,6	7,6	7,8	7,5	7,9
ElectricalConductivity(dS/m)	0,687	2,95	0,248	0,24	1,745	1,365
Total limestone(%)	20,9	24,7	2,2	1,6	19,6	42,2
active limestone (%)	10,3	9,9	-	-	9,81	16,75

84 During 2011 year, ^{precipitation} rainfall reached 580.1 mm in Site 1 with a maximum of 218.5 mm recorded
85 during November (harvest period) (Figure 1). In site 2 cumulative rainfalls was 499.6 mm with
86 a maximum of 132.7 mm registered in November. At Site 3, the rains this season reached 797.4
87 mm with a maximum of 194 mm in May. A lack of rain marked the period from June to
88 September in the three sites.

English
that only think!!!



put always the same number of decimal places.

89

- what's T°_moy?
- use only the first three letters of each month.
- put bars in less dark colours and also the symbols
- precipitation instead of rain
- use an adequate size of letter





Figure 1. Monthly rainfall and temperature recorded during the 2011 campaign in the three sites.

The experimental design adopted for these tests was randomized complete block with eight replications. Four levels of nitrogen: 0, 0.25, 0.5 and 1 kg N / tree / year have been split into two contributions: 1/2 in March as ammonium sulfate and half in May as ammonium nitrate. Phosphorus (0.5 kg P₂O₅/tree/year) as triple super phosphate and potassium (2 kg K₂O/tree/year) as potassium sulfate were made in March. Each individual plot consisted of four trees. The leaves were collected (100 leaves per tree) during May to determine their nitrogen and total phosphorus content. The nitrogen content was determined by the Kjeldahl method and phosphorus by colorimetry after mineralization with concentrated sulfuric acid. The olive

I think you should know that the standard sampling dates for leaf collection in olive are the resting period in winter and endocarp sclerotization in summer.

figures should take up less space.
for example: remove the x-axis intersection in the first and second figures.
put the legend within the graph.

explain better
fertilizer application
more precise?

UNDER PEER REVIEW

explains better the index and how it was determined.

102 yield per tree was estimated at maturity in November in each of the three sites. Yield efficiency
103 expressed in terms of olive yield per unit of the transversal section of the trunk was also
104 determined for every treatment.

105 Olive samples were also collected at harvest (about 2 kg of olives per tree) and were used to
106 determine the oil olive content and quality (index of peroxide, acidity). The oil olive content was
107 measured by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).

108 The statistical analysis was performed by SAS software (SAS Institute Inc. (2004). The error
109 probability considered is 5%. Significance levels used are: Significant, Highly significant and
110 Very highly significant, respectively, for an error probability of 5%, 1% and 0.1%.

111 Results and Discussions

112 Yield and yield efficiency

113 The mean olive yields obtained were 60.6, 21, 57.5 kg / tree, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3
114 (Figure 2). The difference appears between S2 and the other two sites could be due to the age of
115 the orchard which is older compared to orchards at S1 and S3. At the latter, yields were
116 statistically equal even the varieties are different. Fernandez-Escobar et al. [13] in Spain reported
117 mean yields achieved in thirteen years of trials, ranging from 28 to 30.5 kg / tree. While in
118 Morocco, Razouk et al. [28] reported yields ranging from 30 to 55.3 kg / tree.

119 Nitrogen had no effect on the olive yield in sites 1 and 3. This could be due to the availability of
120 mineral nitrogen in the soil of these orchards (Table 1). The same result was found in Portugal
121 by Marcelo et al. [24] which, for two experiments years, found no significant differences
122 between the olive yields after the addition of nitrogen and magnesium in comparison with the
123 control. A very highly significant difference was revealed between the different nitrogen
124 treatments provided at the site 2. Indeed, it is the dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year which gave the
125 maximum yield (53.8 kg / tree) in this site, followed by three additional doses 0, 0.25 and 1 kg N
126 / tree / year; Control gave the lowest yield (Figure 2). This says that nitrogen supply was needed
127 at this site. However, the amount of nitrogen should not exceed the dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year, if
128 it induces a fall in olive yield.

with that kind of English is this!


You consider that an orchard of 35 years old is too old to produce?? This sentence does not make sense.

English


English

UNDER PEER REVIEW

129 The crop response to nitrogen could be explained by the initial content of soil mineral nitrogen
130 (nitrate) which is lower in comparison with the other two sites (Table 1). In Spain, Garcia [19]
131 recommended 0.5 - 1 kg N / tree, with a maximum of 150 kg N / ha, based mainly on exports of
132 olive nutrients. Rodrigues et al. [29] suggested that more conservation ^{fine notes} should take place to the ^{be applied}
133 soil and plant fertilizer applications generally recommended by the analytical laboratories, since
134 according to their study in Portugal, the annual exports of olive tree nutrients were relatively
135 low. They recommended dose not exceeding 20 kg N / ha / year for a young orchard (10 years) ^{which}
136 whose objective yield was 2500 kg of fruit / ha. ^{main goal is}




137



138

- See comments on previous figures.
- You must use figures that occupy less space
- kg and tree (homogeneous)
- Olive yield instead of yield



139

140 **Figure 2. Olive response to nitrogen fertilizer in the three sites.**

141 The yield efficiency expressed in terms of yield per unit of the transversal section of the trunk of
 142 the olive tree was on average 0.10, 0.01, 0.78 kg/cm², respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3 (Table 3).
 143 These differences between the three sites could be explained by the olive variety and age. Indeed,
 144 the best efficiency was obtained by the Arbequina variety, while for the two orchards Moroccan
 145 Picholine, the best efficiency was marked (0.10 kg/cm²) by the young orchard (S1). As for yield,
 146 the effect of nitrogen on the yield efficiency does not appear in sites 1 and 3. By cons, this effect
 147 was very highly significant in Site 2, where the N impact was the same as for the yield; it is the
 148 dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year which gave the best yield efficiency.


149 **Table 3. Yield efficiency variation with nitrogen applications in the three sites.**

N (Kg/tree/year)	Yield efficiency (Kg/cm ²)		
	Site 1	Site 2	Site 3
0	0,09a(*)	0,01b	0,85a
0,25	0,10a	0,01b	0,74a
0,5	0,11a	0,03a	0,79a
1	0,09a	0,01b	0,76a

150 (*): for each column, number followed by the same letter are not significantly different at
 151 p=5%).

152 Leaf nitrogen and phosphorus contents


153 The mean levels of leaf nitrogen were 0.826, 0.644, 0.840%, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3
 154 (Figure 3). They ranged from 0.703% to 0.977%, 0.617% to 0.674% and 0.743% to 0.888%,
 155 respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3. These values are low compared to those found by Lopez-
 156 Granados et al. [23] in Spain and ranged from 1.26% to 1.76%.



157

158 **Figure 3. Leaf nitrogen content variation with nitrogen applications in the three sites.**

159 The nitrogen *concentration* had no significant effect on the leaves nitrogen *concentration* of the olive
 160 tree in the three experimental sites. In other words, an increase in nitrogen supply did not induce
 161 an increase in its absorption by the leaves while the leaf nitrogen concentrations measured at the
 162 three sites (Figure 3) were lower than the critical value of N leaves (1.5%) defined by Marin and
 163 Fernandez-Escobar [25] and Fernandez-Escobar [14]. Fernandez-Escobar and al. [12] reported
 164 an excess of nitrogen fertilization by annual contributions of nitrogen to the soil, when the leaf
 165 nitrogen content equal to or greater than 1.5%, not only negatively affects the oil olive quality of
 166 due to a decrease in polyphenol content (quoted by Lopez-Granados et al. 23], but also affects
 167 the groundwater quality and agricultural economy. *enough!!*



168

You should look carefully to figures of other scientists.

169 **Figure 4. Leaf phosphorus content variation with nitrogen applications in the three sites.**

170 The mean phosphorus content of the leaves were 0.056, 0.077, 0.091%, respectively, at sites 1, 2
 171 and 3 (Figure 4). They ranged from 0.049% to 0.069%, 0.067% to 0.093% and 0.078% to
 172 0.108%, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3. These values are similar to those found by Lopez-
 173 Granados and al. [23] and ranged from 0.06% to 0.11%. All these levels are below the threshold
 174 (0.1%) of the olive leaves content in phosphorus. The site 3 has recorded high levels of leaf P
 175 content (Figure 4) may be due to the initial richness of the soil available phosphorus in
 176 comparison with other sites where it was low (Table 1).
 177 Nitrogen had a significant effect on the leaves phosphorus content only at site 3 (Figure 4). This
 178 is the dose 0.25 kg N / tree / year which gave the highest total phosphorus content in leaves,
 179 followed by doses 0 and 0.5 kg N / tree / year. The dose 1 kg N / tree / year gave the lowest value
 180 of the measured variable. Therefore a nitrogen contribution greater than 0.25 kg N / tree / year
 181 resulted in a decrease in phosphorus absorption by the plant at this site.

182 *Olive oil content and quality*

183 The mean levels of olive oil content were 28.24, 21.57, 39.39%, respectively, at sites 1, 2 and 3
 184 (Table 4). This difference between sites could be explained by the variety and / or by the age of
 185 the orchard. Indeed, we see that it is the Arbequina variety (Site 3) which presented the high oil
 186 content. When for the other two orchards Moroccan Picholine, the site 1 (9 years old) which
 187 presented high content compared to Site 2 (35 years old). Nitrogen fertilizer effect on oil olive
 188 content was not significant in Site 1, very highly significant in site 2, and highly significant in the
 189 site 3. For site 2, we note that olive oil content increases with the addition of nitrogen while at the
 190 site 3 where the Arbequina variety is used, we see that the control had the maximum oil content.

191 **Table 4. Nitrogen effect on olive oil content and quality in the three sites.**

Nitrogen dose (Kg/tree/year)	Site 1			Site 2			Site 3		
	Oil content	Acidity	Peroxide	Oil content	Acidity	Peroxide	Oil content	Acidity	Peroxide
	%	%	Meq O ₂ /kg	%	%	Meq O ₂ /kg	%	%	Meq O ₂ /kg
0	25,6a (*)	0.81a	3.54b	16c	ND	ND	41a	4.16a	7.78b
0,25	31.2a	0.74a	13.37ab	15,4c	ND	ND	37.6b	3.11ab	7.10b
0,5	28,7a	0.76a	17.33a	24,3b	ND	ND	39.1ab	2.51b	14.81ab
1	27.4a	1.68a	6.45ab	30,6a	ND	ND	39.9a	3.04ab	22.58a

192 ND. Analysis of olive oil has not been conducted for the site 2.

Oh my God, the aspect !!
 Please, search some good papers and learn
 how to make tables and figures.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

193 (*) : for each column, number followed by the same letter are not significantly different at
194 $p=5\%$).

195 The average index of peroxide was 9.96 and 13.07 meq O_2/kg respectively in sites 1 and 3. This
196 is an important indicator of the olive oil quality since it expresses the degree of oil oxidation.
197 Nitrogen had a significant effect on the peroxide at both sites 1 and 3. In fact, it increases with
198 increasing the nitrogen supply. In Site 1 dose 0.5 kg N / tree / year gave the maximum value of
199 this index, a contribution of more than N has reduced the peroxide. At the site 3 the dose 1 kg N
200 ~~N~~ tree¹/year gave the maximum value of the index. We note that an increase in this index implies
201 a decrease in the olive oil quality. So for the Arbequina variety (Site 3), nitrogen negatively
202 affected the olive oil quality. For Moroccan Picholine (site 1), application of more than 0.5 kg N /
203 tree¹/year did not increase the degree of olive oil oxidation.

204 The average measured acidity was 0.998% and 3.21%, respectively, at sites 1 and 3. The
205 measured acidity decreased with increased intake of nitrogen fertilizer. So nitrogen positively
206 affects the olive oil quality in terms of acidity. The effect of N on the olive oil acidity was not
207 significant in Site 1 and significant in the site 3. According to standards set by the International
208 Olive Oil Council in 1994, oil in Site 1 (with the Moroccan Picholine variety) is classified as
209 extra virgin virgin, while in the site 3 (with the Arbequina variety) it is classified as virgin
210 common to lampante virgin.

211 Conclusions

212 Nitrogen had no significant effect on the olive yield or the yield efficiency in both sites 1 and 3.
213 This could be explained by the soil initial nitrogen (nitrates) richness at these orchards which
214 was available for cultivation (Table 1). In site 2, the nitrogen effect was very highly significant
215 on yield and yield efficiency. The dose 0.5 kg N tree¹/year gave the best value for these two
216 variables. This response to nitrogen could be explained by the low soil initial mineral nitrogen
217 content in the orchard (Table 1), which has required the addition of nitrogen. The Arbequina
218 variety (S3) recorded the best yield efficiency (0.78 kg// cm^2). At both orchards Moroccan
219 Picholine (S1 and S2), the young orchard (9 years old) scored higher efficiency (0.10 kg/ cm^2)
220 compared to older (35 years old) orchard (0.01 kg/ cm^2). The Arbequina variety (Site 3) showed
221 the highest olive oil content (39.39%). For the other two orchards Moroccan Picholine, the site 1
222 (9 years old) presented the high content (28.24%) compared to Site 2 (35 years old) (21.57%).

You should know that olive presents a pronounced alternate-bearing habit which reduce to nil the results of one year of experiment.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

223 The effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the olive oil content was not significant in Site 1, very highly
224 significant in site 2, and highly significant in the site 3. Indeed, the olive oil content has
225 increased with the nitrogen addition in the site 2 while in Site 3 the control presented the
226 maximum olive oil content.

227 It can be concluded that in S1 (9 years old) and S3 (7 years old), the nitrogen contribution was
228 not necessary since the effect was not improve productivity parameters of olive orchards and has
229 negatively affected the olive oil quality specially at site 3. At site 2 (35 years old), the nitrogen
230 fertilizer contribution has been beneficial because it has improved the yield as well, the yield
231 efficiency that the olive oil content. In this site, the olive tree nitrogen requirement is relatively
232 high considering its age in comparison with the other two young orchards. This need for high
233 nitrogen combined with low initial soil nitrogen available to the crop may explain this response
234 to nitrogen in this site. The nitrogen fertilization effect on the olive tree has been variable
235 depending on the environment where it is grown (climate and soil at the site), the olive variety
236 and age. The contribution of nitrogen fertilizer is determined by the combination of all these
237 parameters.

References

- 238
- 239
- 240 1. Allison LE. Organic Carbon. Pages 1372-1376 dans C. A. Black, ed. Methods of soil
241 analysis. Part II, chap. 90. Am. Soc. Agron., Inc., Madison, Wis. 1965.
- 242 2. Allison LE, Moodie CD. Carbonate. Book: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical
243 and Microbiological Properties. American Society of Agronomy. 1965.
- 244 3. Angelo Rodrigues M, Isabel Q, Ferreira, Ana Marilia Claro, Margarida Arrobas.
245 Fertilizer recommendations for olive based upon nutrients removed in crop and pruning.
246 Scientia Horticulturae. 2012 ; 142, 205-211.
- 247 4. Anonymous. Les aides financières de l'état pour l'encouragement des investissements
248 agricoles. Rabat, Morocco: Ministry of Agriculture and Maritime Fisheries. 2011.
249 French.
- 250 5. Chapman HD. Cation-exchange capacity. Pages 891-903 dans C. A. Black, ed. Methods
251 of soil analysis. Part II, Chap. 57 and 58. Am. Soc. Agron., Inc., Madison, Wis. 1965.
- 252 6. Cimato. La qualité de l'huile d'olive vierge et les facteurs agronomiques. Olivae. 1990 ;
253 31 : 20-31. French.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 254 7. Civantos D. La olivicultura en el mundo y en Espana. In : Barranco, D., Fernandez-
255 Escobar, R., Rallo, L., (Eds), El cultivodelolivo. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, pp. 19-33. 1999.
256 Spanich.
- 257 8. Civantos D. La olivicultura en el mundo y en Espana. In: Barranco, D., Fernandez-
258 Escobar, R., Rallo, L. (Eds), El cultivodelolivo. Mundi-Prensa, Madrid, 2008 ; pp. 17-35.
259 Spanich.
- 260 9. Day, Paul R. Particle Fractionation and Particle-Size Analysis. P. 546-566. In: C. A.
261 Black (ed.) Methods of Soil Analysis, Agron. N°. 9. Part 1. Physical and Mineralogical
262 Properties. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisc. USA. 1965.
- 263 10. Drouineau G. Dosage rapide du calcaire actif du sol. Nouvelles données sur la reportation
264 de la nature des fractions calcaires. Ann. Agron. 1942 ; 12, 441-450. French
- 265 11. Fernandez-Escobar R, Beltran G, Sanchez-Zamora MA, Garcia-Novelo J, Aguilera MP,
266 Uceda M. Olive oil quality decreases with nitrogen over-fertilization. HortScience.
267 2006 ; 41 (1), 215-219.
- 268 12. Fernandez-Escobar R, Garcia-Novelo JM, Sanchez-Zamora MA, Uceda M, Beltran G,
269 Aguilera MP. Efectodelabonadonitrogenado en la produccion y la calidaddelaceite de
270 olive.Direccion General de Investigacion y FormacionAgraria y Pesquera (Ed.), Jornadas
271 de Investigacion y Transferencia de Tecnologia al SectorOleicola, Cordoba (Spain).
272 2002 ; pp. 299-302. Spanich.
- 273 13. Fernandez-Escobar R, Marin L, Sanchez-Zamora MA, Garcia-Novelo JM, Molina-Soria
274 C, Parra MA. Long term effects of N fertilization on cropping and growth of olive trees
275 and on N accumulation in soil profile. Eur. J. Agron. 2009a ; 31, 223-232.
- 276 14. Fernandez-Escobar R, Moreno R, Garcia-Creus M. Seasonal changes of mineral
277 nutrients in olive leaves during the alternate-bearing cycle. ScientiaHorticulturae 82.
278 1999 ; 25-45.
- 279 15. Fernandez-Escobar R, Ortiz-Urquiza A, Prado M, Rapoport HF. Nitrogen status
280 influence on olive tree flower quality and ovule longevity. Environ Exp Bot 64. 2008 ;
281 113-119. Doi : 10.1016/04.007.
- 282 16. Fernandez-Escobar R, Parra MA, Navarro C, Arquero O. Foliar diagnosis as a guide to
283 olive fertilization. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2009b ; 7(1), 212-223.
- 284 17. Ferreira J, Garcia-Ortiz A, Frias L, Fernandez A. Los nutrientes N, P, K en la
285 fertilizaciodelolivar. X AniversarioRedCooperativaEuropea de Investigacion en
286 oleicultura. Cordoba. 1984. Spanish.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 287 18. Fontanazza G. Comment cultiver en vue de la qualité de l'huile. *Olivae*. 1988 ; 24 : 31-
288 39. French.
- 289 19. Garcia CG. Abonado Delolivar. In : *GuiaPractica de la fertilizacionRacional de los*
290 *cultivos en Espana*. Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino, Madrid,
291 Espana, pp. 223-233 ; 2009. Spanich.
- 292 20. Giménez C, E Diaz, F Rosado, A Garcia-Ferrer, M Sanchez, MA Parra, M Diaz, and P
293 Pena. Characterization of current management practices with high risk of nitrate
294 contamination in agricultural areas of southern Spain. *Acta Hort*. 2001 ; 563, 73-80.
- 295 21. Hartman HT. Some responses of the olive to nitrogen fertilizers. *Proc. Am. Soc. Hort.*
296 *Sci*. 1958; 72, 2578-266.
- 297 22. Jasrotia A, Singh RP, Singh JM, Bhutami VP. Response of olive trees to varying levels
298 of N and K fertilizers. *Acta Hort*. 1999 ; 474, 337-340.
- 299 23. Lopez-Granados F, Jurado-Exposito M, Alamo S, Garcia-Torres L. Leaf nutrient spatial
300 variability and site-specific fertilization maps within olive (*Oleaeuropaea* L.) orchards.
301 *Europ. J. Agronomy* 21. 2004; 209-222.
- 302 24. Marcelon ME, Jordao PV, Soveral-Dias JC, Matias H, Rogado B. Effect of nitrogen
303 and magnesium application on yield and leaf N and Mg concentrations of olive trees
304 cv. Picual. *Acta Hort*. 2002; 586, 329-332.
- 305 25. Marin L and Fernandez-Escobar R. Optimization of nitrogen fertilization in olive
306 orchards. In: Val, J., Montanés, L., Monge, E. (Eds), *Proceeding of the third*
307 *International symposium on Mineral Nutrition of Deciduous Fruit Trees*, Zaragoza,
308 Spain. 1997. pp. 411-414.
- 309 26. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils
310 by extraction with bicarbonate. U.S. Dep. Agric. Circ. 939, USA. 1954.
- 311 27. Oukabli A, Mamouni A, Badraoui M. Diagnostic de la conduite technique du verger
312 oléicole dans les périmètres du projet MCC et propositions d'amélioration. *Revue*
313 *annuelle des activités de recherche*. Axe II : Variétés et leurs conduites techniques.
314 *Projet Arboriculture Fruitière (MCC)*. 2011.
- 315 28. Razouk R, Ibijbjen J, Kajji A. Optimal time of supplemental irrigation during fruit
316 development of rainfed olive tree (*Oleaeuropoea*, cv. Picholine marocaine) in Morocco.
317 *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*. 3 (4): 685-697, 2013.
- 318 29. Rodrigues MA, Pavao F, Lopes JI, Gomes V, Arrobas M, Moutinho-Pereira J, Ruivo S,
319 Cabanas JE, Correia CM. Olive yields and tree nutritional status during a four year period

UNDER PEER REVIEW

320 without nitrogen and boron fertilization. Commun. Soil Si. Plant Anal. 2011; 42 (7), 803-
321 814.

322 30. Sims, James R and Jackson, Grant D. Rapid Analysis of Soil Nitrate with Chromotropic
323 Acid. Soil Science Society of America. 1971.

324

325