SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_6585**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ANALY SIS OF MARKET INTEGRATION AND PRICE VARIATION IN RICE AND MAIZE MARKETING IN OSUN STATE, NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) references cited but not in reference section should be corrected and those in the reference section but not cited should also be corrected. 2) Unnecessary use of upper case letters should be avoided 2) sources of formula adopted should be stated with dates 3) The key words should be included	
Minor REVISION comments	The minor corrections have been highlighted in the paper but: 1) The author should read the journal's requirements on the use of 'percentage' and '%' and be consistent with one. 2) .the recommendations are too many and some were not based on the findings but on feelings. 3) The paragraphs should be reorganized to reflect unitness. Ie a particular idea or concept should be exhausted in a paragraph before another paragraph	

Optional/General comments	The author should carefully go through the paper and make sure that there is cohesion and consistency in the write-up	

Note: Anonymous Reviewer