SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_7472**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The effect of pollinators and pollination on fruit set and fruit yield of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench) in the forest region of Ghana**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	As the experimental design was descript, the total plots number was very low (9) and the DF (degrees of freedom) of Error was 4. In the agricultural research is recommended a total of plots > 20 and DF of Error > 10. Furthermore, the arrangement wasn't a split-plot, because the experiment didn't have secondary treatments (subplots) or subsamples. For the reasons considering the statistical procedures as they were done.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer