



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_10726
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of theFadama II Intervention on Rural Households inKogi and Kwara States, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	- Abstract is too long and need to be summarised and condensed - Detailed methodological explanation is required- specially how the researcher treated the spill over effect of the intervention on the control group as both groups are from the same target area. - How the matching exercise was made is not clear- hence clear & step by step explanation on how the researcher arrived at the selected matching algorism & subsequently on the result must be provided. - Citation is required for every quotations in the body of the article	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Serious rechecking is required throughout the paper as citation is missing in some cases. Example methodology and discussion part.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wole Kinati
Department, University & Country	Adami Tulu Agricultural Research Center, P. O. Box 35, Batu, Ethiopia