



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_8489
Title of the Manuscript:	GROWTH RESPONSE, MEAT YIELD AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF BROILERS FED BENISEED (<i>Sesamum indicum</i>) AND DRUMSTICK (<i>Moringa oleifera</i>) LEAVES AS SOURCES OF LYSINE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. "Introduction Section" is too long and contains unrelated and unnecessary issues out of topic. Subject was not focused on the problem. So, a clear statement of the problem hasn't been provided in this section.. The issues are incomprehensible too. 2. Unlike the Introduction Section, the Results and Discussion Sections are too short. The findings obtained in the current study have not been well-presented with clarity and not interpreted in accordance with the results of past studies. 3. In general, there are a large number of English meaning and grammatical errors in article. These show that this article was written in careless and inattentive way. In this case, the article should be rewritten entirely.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--

Note: Anonymous Reviewer