SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2013_AJEA_8489**
Title of the Manuscript:	**GROWTH RESPONSE, MEAT YIELD AND CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF BROILERS FED BENISEED (*Sesamum indicum)* AND DRUMSTICK (*Moringa oleifera)* LEAVES AS SOURCES OF LYSINE**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**In my opinion this paper is not acceptable because of very low number of replicates in each treatment (only 3 replicates per treatment). Such low number of replicates is too low for proper statistical analysis of obtained data. In this kind of experiments with broiler chickens, it should be at least 5 replicates in each treatment.** **[Comment received from mail]**	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Note: Anonymous Reviewer