



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6129
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of Artemia (Brine shrimp) and Ceriodaphnia (Zooplankton) as foods for catfish larvae

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
ABSTRACT: You are yet to properly give specific name of "Ceriodaphnia" and authority. However, what is the economic benefit deduced from this study ? INTRODUCTION: Question on line 26 yet to be addressed ! Delete red ink as suggested. Change African catfishes in line 42 to Clariid catfish . Remove authorities in lines 77 & 78 (yellow ink). Table 3 is still devoid of critical interest to justify the study ! what is the attraction of this work to farmer(s)? Lines 207 & 208 of the conclusion not discussed in the test, how do you know which food is cheaper ? Nevertheless, SUB-HEAD 5.0 should be written CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION because lines 209-212 were recommendation.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agbabiaka, L .A
Department, University & Country	Fisheries Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Nekede- Owerri, Nigeria