

LEAF EPIDERMAL ANATOMY IN FIVE VARIETIES OF *CAPSICUM ANNUUM* L. SOLANACEAE

ZHIGILA, D. A.¹, ABDULRAHAMAN, A. A.;² ALUKO, T. A.² AND OLADELE, F. A.²

¹BOTANY PROGRAMME, DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, GOMBE STATE UNIVERSITY, GOMBE, GOMBE STATE, NIGERIA

²APPLIED PLANT ANATOMY AND WOOD TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY, DEPARTMENT OF PLANT BIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF ILORIN, ILORIN, NIGERIA

ABSTRACT

~~Leaf epidermal features such as stomata complex types, stomata densities, stomata index, stomata frequencies, stomata sizes, epidermal cell shapes, epidermal cell densities, epidermal cell wall pattern, trichome types, trichome densities and trichome frequencies were studied in five varieties of *Capsicum annum* L.~~ Leaf epidermal micro morphological features were studied in five varieties of *Capsicum annum* L both quantitatively and qualitatively to evaluate the reliability of these characters ~~in the for~~ taxonomic consideration ~~of these varieties.~~ These varieties ~~in most cases are not easily~~ are difficult to be recognized ~~especially by~~ using their dry samples. Varieties studied include var. *abbreviatum*, var. *annuum*, var. *accuminatum*, var. *grossum* and var. *glabriusculum*. Two types of stomata (anisocytic and tetracytic) were identified in ~~the all~~ five varieties and the leaves were amphistomatic. There was high frequency of anisocytic stomata (66.67 – 95.24%) on both surfaces compared to tetracytic stomata (4.76 – 33.33%). Trichomes ~~was were~~ absent on both leaf surfaces of variety *grossum* and var. *abbreviatum*; it was present on both surfaces of variety *accuminatum* while it was epitrichomatic and hypotrichomatic on varieties *glabriusculum* and *annuum* respectively. The shapes of the epidermal cells of the upper and lower surfaces were irregular and undulate in all ~~the taxa studied~~ five varieties. These features were found to be of good and reliable taxonomic use for delimiting the five varieties of *Capsicum C. annum*.

Key words: *Capsicum annum*, varieties, epidermal features, taxonomy, trichomes

INTRODUCTION

Capsicum annuum is a domesticated species of the plant genus *Capsicum* in the family Solanaceae (Night shade), native to southern North America and northern South America (Latham, 2009). Linnaeus originally proposed two species of *Capsicum*—i.e. *C. annuum* and *C. frutescens*. Since that time there has been no agreement as to whether the principal cultivated peppers constitute one or two species. Because of the similarity present in both, ~~the two~~ species, its difficult somewhat to distinguish them are morphologically ~~somewhat difficult to distinguish~~. However, *C. annuum* normally has white corollas and single pedicels, whereas *C. frutescens* has waxy, greenish-white corollas and frequently paired pedicels at the nodes (Nwachukwu *et al.*, 2007). Of the varieties of peppers now in commercial cultivation in Nigeria, all but bell peppers are referred to as *C. annuum*. The species encompasses a wide variety of shapes and sizes of peppers, both mild and hot, ranging from bell peppers to chilli peppers (Zhang *et al.*, 2002). The ripe fruit can be red, yellow, green, orange, white, purple, blue, or brown depending on the specific cultivar (Dave and Paul, 2009). The single flowers are an off-white (sometimes purplish) colour while the stem is densely branched and up to 60 cm tall. The fruit is a berry which may be green, yellow or red when ripe (OECD, 2011). The species is a source of popular sweet peppers and hot chillis with numerous varieties cultivated all around the world. Sweet peppers are very often used as a bulking agent in ready-made meals and take-away food, because they are cheap, have a strong flavour, and are colourful. The colourful aspect of peppers increases the visual appeal of the food, making it more appetizing. Foods containing peppers, especially chilli peppers, often have a strong aftertaste which is due to the presence of capsinoids in peppers (Grubben, and Denton, 2004).

Comment [G1]: Clear the statement

Capsicum terminology is very confusing with pepper, chilli, chile, chili, aji, paprika and capsicum all used interchangeably to describe the plant (Mcmullan and Livsey, 2013). The term “pepper” is the most common terminology used in Nigeria. This species is the most common and extensively cultivated of the five domesticated capsicums. There has been much argument and debate amongst botanists and taxonomists as to number and classification of *Capsicum* species. After much argument and amendment, it is now widely accepted that the genus capsicum consists of five domesticated species and twenty-six wild species. Due to ease in cross pollination and hybridization of new varieties, there is now a wide range of varieties available making classification an increasingly difficult task (Mcmullan and Livsey, 2013). Nwachukwu *et al* (2007) showed annual herb in *C. annum* and perennial herb in *C. frutescens*

The use of leaf micromorphological and epidermal features has been found to be of immense interest in taxonomy and have been used by many authors in plant identification (Sapir *et al.*, 2002; Agbagwa and Ndukwu, 2004; Noraini and Cutler, 2009; Soladoye *et al.*, 2010; Sharma *et al.*, 2010). An excellent review of the application of morphological features in systematic studies is shown in the works of Okwulehi and Okoli, 1999, Chakrabarty and Gupta, 1981 and Olowokudejo, 1990, Edeoga and Eboka 2000, Edeoga and Ikem 2001 and Stern, 2000. Aworinde *et al* (2012) used foliar epidermal features such as stomata and epidermal cells on ten species in the family Sterculiaceae and discovered some stable taxonomic characters that were employed in classification and identification in spite of the remarkable morphological differences. Trichome types, densities and frequencies were used on the other hand provides good taxonomic evidence (AbdulRahaman and Oladele, 2004). Daniel (2005) reported that leaf cuticular study is becoming more important because taxonomists, drug industries, animal nutritionists, animal toxicologists and police department have all found it useful in plant identification.

The present study assessed the relevance of leaf epidermal features in five varieties of *C. annuum* in order to evaluate the reliability of these characters in the taxonomic consideration of the *C. annuum* varieties studied.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fresh materials were used in this study. The leaves of ~~Capsicum~~ *C. annuum* varieties were obtained from plant stands of the Research farm, Department of Biological Sciences, Gombe State University. Photographs of all the specimens collected were taken with digital camera for picture database during the field trips. The specimens were identified and authenticated at the Herbarium in the Department of Plant Biology, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Kwara State and National Center for Genetic Resources and Biotechnology, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

Epidermal peels of the leaf surfaces of the varieties were made using the method of Metcalfe (1960). The abaxial and adaxial surfaces of the leaves surfaces were carefully sectioned with razor blade (free-hand section) and placed on microscope slides. The preparations were stained with 1% safranin and 50% glycerol or Formalin Acetic Acid (FAA) and observed under a light microscope and the leaf anatomical features were then examined.

Using 35 fields of view at X40 objective as quadrats, the numbers of subsidiary cells per stoma was noted to determine the frequency of the different stomatal complex types and was expressed as percentage occurrence of such complex type based on all occurrences (Obiremi and Oladele, 2001). Terminologies for naming stomatal complex types followed Dilcher (1974). The stomatal densities were determined as the number of stomata per square millimeter (Stace, 1965). The stomata observed were viewed with the light microscope and were calculated in unit area using the stomatal index (S.I.) ~~formula~~ formulae as shown below:

$$S.I = \frac{S}{S+E} \times 100;$$

Where S = numbers of stomata and E mean ~~and~~ epidermal cells respectively within the particular area under investigation

The mean stomatal sizes were determined by measuring length and breadth of guard cells using an eye piece micrometer. Samples of 35 stomata were used. The method followed those of Franco (1939) and Wilkinson (1979)

Statistical analysis

All data were processed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Computer software used was SPSS version 20. A probability value of 0.05 was used as bench mark for significant difference between parameters

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study of the epidermal surfaces of varieties of *C. annuum* revealed a number of important ~~micromorphological~~ micro_morphological characters and these characters exhibit interesting interspecific variations that are of diagnostic significance for identification and delimitation. These results were summarized in Table 1 and Figs 1 - 8. The shapes of the epidermal cells of the upper and lower surfaces are irregular and undulate in all the taxa studied. The taxa were amphistomatic having stomata on both the adaxial (upper) and abaxial (lower) surfaces of the leaf. The distribution of stomata on the upper and lower surfaces of the varieties revealed anisocytic and tetracytic types of stomatal types (Figs 1 - 8). Generally, there is high frequency of anisocytic stomata (66.67 – 95.24%) on both

surfaces compared to tetracytic (4.76 – 33.33%). This confirms the intraspecific relationship between *C. annuum* varieties. The stomatal index range from 1.61% - 13.69% in the upper surface of the taxa studied and from 7.81% (lowest) in *C.annuum* var. *abbreviatum*, to 56.60% (highest) in *C. annuum* var. *annuum* in the lower surfaces. This implies that the stomatal index is therefore highest on the lower epidermis and lowest on the upper epidermis in the five varieties. Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) – one-way ANOVA also revealed that there is no significant difference between the stomatal sizes of var. *abbreviatum* and var. *annuum*. The stomatal density is higher on the abaxial surface of var. *abbreviatum* and lower on adaxial surface of var. *accuminatum*. The stomatal density like index is also generally higher on the abaxial surface than in the adaxial surface in the five taxa. The appearance of more stomata on the abaxial surface is an adaptation to water loss (Mbagwuet *al.*, 2008). Furthermore, this appears to be a coping strategy to survive drought (Aworinde and Ogundairo, 2009). Moreover, the stomatal sizes of var. *accuminatum*, var. *grossum* and var. *glabriusculum* show no significant differences. The differences in stomata density and stomata index of the species are often a reflection of physiological responses to combination of environmental factors which could be useful in delimitation at the species level (Adegbite, 2008).

A remarkable distinguishable feature of trichomes was observed in the varieties of *C. annuum* studied. There was absence of trichome on both surfaces of var. *grossum* and *abbreviatum* but present on both surfaces of var. *accuminatum* (Figs 9 and 10). However, trichomes are present on the adaxial surface of var. *annuum* and on the abaxial surface of var. *glabriusculum*. Hence, according to Greulach (1973) abundant dead hairs on leaf surface have been thought to form a boundary layer of moist air, and generally thought to help keep the leaf cool and also to prevent rapid wind current from passing close to the surface and thus removing water vapour from transpiring and so reduce the rate of transpiration and living hairs could be expected to increase the evaporating surface greatly. The absence and presence of trichomes in the taxa are of taxonomic importance and can be used to delimit species and varieties.

The leaf epidermal features observed in these investigations are of systematic value because they are reasonably constant in the taxa studied (Table 2 and Figs 1 - 8). There are continuous epidermal cell shape (irregular) and anticlinal wall pattern (undulate) in all the varieties. The epidermal cell density on the abaxial surface is generally low (10.00 – 39.00 mm²) and high on the adaxial surfaces (38.86 – 103.20 mm²). The results show a close relationship among the varieties. Olowokudejo (1990) made

similar observation in the genus *Anona*. Furthermore, the use of leaf epidermal features (epidermal cell, stomata and trichomes) in Systematics has become popular and distinctive and have been used as a great taxonomic tool at the levels of family, genus and species. Works of Paliwal (1967); Shah and Gopal (1972); Gill (1982); Edeoga (2000); Edeoga and Osawe (1996) are typical examples.

In conclusion, the similarities observed in the stomatal features, trichomes and epidermal cells arrangement of the varieties provide evidence for their genetic and evolutionary relationships and justification for their taxonomic grouping. Also, The striking difference observed in the data and figures of the characters such as stomata sizes, stomata index, stomata density, presence or absence of trichomes and in the size, shape and density of the epidermal cells of the varieties studied are therefore of taxonomic importance.

Table 1: Stomatal parameters in some varieties of *Capsicum annuum*

Taxa	Surface	Stomatal complex type	Frequency (%)	Stomatal Density (mm ²)	Stomatal size (μm)	Stomatal index (%)
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>abbreviatum</i>	Abaxial	Tetracytic	25.42	1.80±0.20	53.25±4.35 ^a	7.81±0.93 ^a
		Anisocytic	74.58	25.50±0.51		54.39±1.82 ^d
	Adaxial	Tetracytic	29.00	1.63±0.32	91.36±8.02 ^c	2.23±0.48 ^c
		Anisocytic	71.05	4.00±0.80		5.46±0.58 ^c
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>annuum</i>	Abaxial	Tetracytic	24.71	4.20±1.24	56.88±2.45 ^a	31.23±4.43
		Anisocytic	75.29	12.80±1.01		56.60±3.75 ^d
	Adaxial	Tetracytic	33.33	1.00±0.24	51.19±1.04 ^a	2.08±0.43 ^c
		Anisocytic	66.67	2.00±0.55		1.94±0.54
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>accuminatum</i>	Abaxial	Tetracytic	25.00	3.40±0.93	90.38±4.01 ^b	15.75±2.06 ^b
		Anisocytic	75.00	9.00±1.12		21.13±1.74
	Adaxial	Tetracytic	4.76	0.50±0.02	77.89±6.62 ^c	2.73±0.19 ^c
		Anisocytic	95.24	2.20±0.32		6.21±0.14 ^c
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>grossum</i>	Abaxial	Tetracytic	11.40	1.73±0.37	75.37±4.10 ^b	10.83±1.77 ^{ab}
		Anisocytic	88.60	13.44±0.51		42.72±1.74
	Adaxial	Tetracytic	18.84	1.30±0.24	81.37±4.36 ^c	5.03±0.91
		Anisocytic	81.16	5.60±0.20		13.09±0.99
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>glabriusculum</i>	Abaxial	Tetracytic	14.89	2.71±0.20	84.06±9.94 ^b	16.22±0.84 ^b
		Anisocytic	85.16	15.50±1.44		51.54±4.94 ^d
	Adaxial	Tetracytic	23.81	1.00±0.00	55.30±5.23 ^d	1.61±0.02 ^c
		Anisocytic	76.19	3.20±0.37		13.69±0.99 ^e

Means with similar indices in each column are not significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$)

Table 2: Epidermal cells, anticlinal cell-wall patterns and multiseriate unicellular trichomes in varieties of *Capsicum annuum*

Taxa	Leaf Surface	Epidermal Cell Density (Range and Mean)	Epidermal Cell Shape	Anticlinal Cell wall pattern	Trichome
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>abbreviatum</i>	Abaxial	18(26.40)25	Irregular	Undulate	Absent
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>annuum</i>	Adaxial	70(77.86)88	Irregular	Undulate	Absent
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>annuum</i>	Abaxial	7(10.00)14	Irregular	Undulate	Absent
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>annuum</i>	Adaxial	89(103.20)112	Irregular	Undulate	Present
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>accuminatum</i>	Abaxial	23(39.00)58	Irregular	Undulate	Present
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>accuminatum</i>	Adaxial	32(39.67)44	Irregular	Undulate	Present
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>grossum</i>	Abaxial	16(17.75)20	Irregular	Undulate	Absent
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>grossum</i>	Adaxial	28(38.86)48	Irregular	Undulate	Absent
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>Glabriusculum</i>	Abaxial	11(16.50)19	Irregular	Undulate	Present
<i>C. annuum</i> var. <i>Glabriusculum</i>	Adaxial	55(61.60)68	Irregular	Undulate	Absent




Figure 1: Abaxial surface of var. *abbreviatum* showing anisocytic (an) stomata x600




Figure 2: Adaxial surface of var. *abbreviatum* showing anisocytic stomata x600




Figure 3: Abaxial surface of var. *annuum* showing anisocytic stomata x600




Figure 4: Adaxial surface of var. *annuum* showing anisocytic and tetracytic (te) stomata x600





Figure 5: Abaxial surface of var. *accuminatum* showing anisocytic and tetracytic




Figure 6: Adaxial surface of var. *accuminatum* showing anisocytic and tetracytic




Figure 7: Abaxial surface of var. *grossum* showing anisocytic and tetracytic stomata x600



Figure 8: Adaxial surface of var. *grossum* showing anisocytic stomata x600




Figure 9: Multiseriate unicellular trichomes (MUT) var. *annuum* x400





Figure 10: Multiseriate unicellular trichomes (MUT) var. *accuminatum* x400



REFERENCES

- AbdulRahaman, A.A. and Oladele, F.A. (2004). Types, densities and frequencies of trichomes in some Nigerian vegetable species. *Nigerian Journal of Pure and Applied Science*, 19(2004)1653 – 1658.
- Agbagwa, I.O. and Ndukwu, B. (2004). The value of morpho-anatomical features in the systematics of *Cucurbita* L. (Cucurbitaceae) species in Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 3(10): 541-546.
- Adegbite, A.E. (2008): Leaf anatomical studies in some species of the tribe Cichorieae (Asteraceae) in Nigeria. *Comparative Newsletter*, 46: 49 – 58.
- Aworinde, D.O. and Ogundairo, B.O. (2009): Leaf epidermal micromorphology in some members of *Solanum* L. (Solanaceae) in Nigeria. *University of Zambia Journal of Science and Technology*, 13(2): 29 – 40.
- Aworinde, D.O., Ogundairo, B.O., Osuntuyinbo, K.F. and Olanloye, O.A. (2012). Foliar epidermal characters of some Sterculiaceae species in Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 5(1): 48 – 56
- Chakrabarty, T. and Gupta, (1981). Morphological studies on three herbaceous species of railway tract. *Proc. International Academic Science Plants*, 90:305-315.
- Daniel, M. (2005). Herbal technology-concept and scope. *Current Science*, 88 (9)1369-1370.
- Dave, D. and Paul W. B. (2009). *The Complete Chile Pepper Book: A Gardener's Guide to Choosing, Growing, Preserving, and Cooking*. Timber Press. ISBN 978-0881929201.
- Dilcher, D.L. (1974). Approaches to the identification of angiosperm remains. *Botanical Review*, 40:1 – 157
- Edeoga, H.O. (2000). Taxonomic studies on certain of *Dioscorea* L. (Dioscoreaceae) in Eastern Nigeria. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Port-Harcourt, Nigeria.
- Edeoga, H.O. and Eboka A.U. (2000). Morphology of the leaf epidermis and systematics in some *Dissotis* species Benth (Melastomataceae). *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Science*, 6:371-374.
- Edeoga, H.O. and Ikem, C.L. (2001). Comparative morphology of the leaf epidermis in three species of *Boerhaavia* L. Nyctaginaceae. *Journal of Plant Anatomy and Morphology*, 1:14-21.

- Edeoga, H.O. and Osawe, P.I.(1996).Cuticular studies on some Nigerian species of *Senna*Tourn. Ex Mill (Syn cassia ex.L) Leguminosae-Caesalpinoideae. *Acta Phyto tax Geobot.*, 47:41-46.
- Esua, K. (1977). Anatomy of Seed Plants (2nd Edition).John Wiley and Sons, London, Pg 83-97.
- Franco, C. (1939). Relation between chromosome number and stomata in *Coffea*. Botanical Gazette, 100: 817 - 818
- Gill, L.S. and Karatela, Y.Y.(1982). Epidermal structure and stomatal ontogeny in some Nigerian Cucurbitaceae. *Willdenowia*, 12:303-310.
- Greulach, V.A. (1973). Plant Function and Structure. Macmillan Publishing Company; Lincoln, United Kingdom. Pg 432.
- GRIN, (2010). Germplasm Resources Information Network. United States Department of Agriculture. 1997-01-22. <http://www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/taxon.pl?8904>. Retrieved 2010-07-29.
- Grubben, G.J.H. and Denton, O.A. (2004). Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 2. Vegetables. PROTA Foundation, Wageningen; Backhuys, Leiden; CTA, Wageningen
- Katzer, G.(2008).Paprika (*Capsicum annuum* L.http://www.uni-graz.at/~katzer/engl/Caps_ann.html. Retrieved February 21, 2011.
- Latham, E. (2009). The colourful world of chillies. *Stuff.co.nz*. <http://www.stuff.co.nz/life-style/food-wine/1756288>. Retrieved 2009-03-08.
- Mbagwu, F.N., Nwachukwu, C.U. and Okoro, O.O. (2008): Comparative leaf epidermal studies on *S. marocarpum* and *S. nigrum*, Research Journal of Botany, 3(1): 45 – 48.
- Mcmullan, M. and Livsey, J. (2013). The Chileman. BOLVIAN GOLD (PI 260579): Baccatum. Bolivia.
- Metcalf, C.R. and Chalk,L.(1950). Anatomy of dicotyledons. Oxford: Clarendon press, pp 1067 – 1074.
- Noraini, T.And Cutler, D.F. (2009). Leaf Anatomical micromorphologicalcharacters of some Malaysian *Parashorea* (Dipterocarpaceae). Journal of Tropical Forest Science, 21(2): 156-167
- Nwachukwu, C.U., Mbagwu, F.N., Onyeji, A. N. (2007). Morphological and Leaf epidermal features of *Capsicum annuum* and *Capsicum frutescens* Solanaceae. *Nature and Science*, 5(3); 54-60

- OECD, (2011). Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. *Safety assessment of transgenic organisms: OECD consensus documents*. OECD Publishing. pp. 299–304.
- Obiremi, E.O. and Oladele, F.A. (2001). Water conserving stomatal system in selected *Citrus* species. *South African Journal of Botany*, 67:258 – 260
- Okwulehi, I.C. and Okoli, B.E.(1999). Morphological and palynological studies in some species of *Corchorus* L. Tiliaceae, *New Botanist*, 25:87 – 101.
- Olorode, O. (1984). *Taxonomy of West African Flowering Plants*. Longman Group Limited. The Book Project, ObafemiAwolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
- Olowokudejo, J.D.(1990). Comparative Morphology of leaf epidermis in the genus *Annona* (Annonaceae) in West Africa.*Phytomorphology*, 40:407 – 422.
- Paliwal, G.S.(1967). Structure and ontogeny of stomata in some Coryphylliaceae. *Phytomorphology*, 16:533-532.
- Pandey, S.N. and Misra, S.P. (2009). *Taxonomy of Angiosperms*. Ane Books Pvt. Ltd. ParwanaBhawa, Darya Ganj, New Delhi, India.
- Sapir, Y., Shmid, A., Fragman, O., Comes, H.P. (2002). Morphological variation of the *Oncocylus irises* (Iris: Iridaceae) in the southern Levant. *Botanical Journal of Linnean Society*, 139: 369-382.
- Shah, G.L. and Gopal, B.V.(1972). Some observation on the diversity of stomata and trichomes in some species of *Dioscorea*. *Annals of Botany* 36:997 – 1004.
- Sharma A, Sehwai S.K., Singhrot R.S. and Tele A. (2010). Morphological chemical characterization of *Psidium* species. *NotulaeBoanicaeHortiAgrobotanici Cluj-Napoca* 38(1): 28-32.
- Smith, P. G. and Heiser, Jr., C. B. (2000). Taxonomic and genetic studies on the cultivated peppers, *Capsicum annuum* L. and *C. frutescens* L. *American Journal of Botany*, 38: 362-368.
- Soladoye, M.Sonibare, M.A.and Chukwuma, E.C. (2010). Morphometric study of the genus *Indigofera* Linn. (Leguminosae- Papilionoideae) in South-Western Nigeria. *International Journal of Botany*. 6(3): 227-234.
- Stace, C.A. (1965). *Cuticular Studies as an Aid to Plant Taxonomy*. Bulletin of British Museum (Natural).
- Website1 en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/capsicum-annuum
- http://www.efloras.org/florataxon.aspx?flora_id=2&taxon_id=200020513.

- Wilkinson, H. P. (1979). The Plant Surface (Mainly Leaf), Part 1: Stomata. In Metcalfe, C.F. and Chalk, L. (eds.). *Anatomy of Dicotyledons*, Vol. 1 (2nd ed.), Clarendon Press, Oxford. Chapter 10. P. 113
- Zhang, Z., Lu, A and D'Arcy, W. G. (2002). *Capsicum annuum* Linnaeus, Sp. Pl. 1: 188. 1753. *Flora of China*. **17**. pp. 313–313.