



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_4412
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF NEEM LEAF (<i>Azardirachta indica</i> L), WOOD ASH AND MODIFIED NEEM LEAF EXTRACTS ON THE SOIL CHEMICAL COMPOSITION, GROWTH AND YIELD OF PLANTAIN (<i>Musa sapientun</i> L)

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Significant improvements have been made to the earlier version of the paper. Now the manuscript is OK. I recommend that the paper be accepted for publication provided that authors attend to corrections to the satisfaction of editors. Abstract is too long (357 words and structured in to several paragraphs). I suggest having a single paragraph. Keywords are not key words; They are too long and are phrases. Can authors revisit these parts? Line 114:.....ranged between 29°C– 32°C per annum. Write as 29 °C-32 °C. Table 2: no need to write as "Total kg/nutrient". Instead write in the table title amount of nutrient applied say 'per ha' or 'per plot' which would be more meaningful.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajashekhar Rao BK
Department, University & Country	Department of Agriculture, PNG University of Technology, PMB, Lae 411, Morobe Province, Papua New Guinea