



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11035
Title of the Manuscript:	Evolution of physicochemical parameters during storage of the inflorescence sap deriving from four Ivorian coconut (Cocos nucifera L.) varieties

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
1. The paper is slightly improved by still the points raised in the first review (given below) are not completely addressed. These need to be addressed before it is finally accepted. 2. The introduction should project the gap in knowledge and requirement for such a study – this comment is not properly addressed. Give knowledge gap not merely stating that no such work is done in specific country. Why such information is required? Justify 3. Sap was sampled twice in a day. What are the variations between harvests? Though it is not your objective, data for both periods provide more information with regards to the nutritional value vs harvest time. This aspect needs a mention 10. The correlation matrix has several auto-correlated parameters PI take help a statistician to resolve the problem 4. No data on different dates and times of sap collection. The comment is for data on sap quality across dates and time of sap collection	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Soora Naresh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Indian agricultural research institute, India