

Nitrogen Use and Assimilate Partitioning Ability for Grain Yield in Some Selected Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Inbred Lines Genotypes

ABSTRACT

Breeding for high grain yield in maize under natural soil Nitrogen condition is of high importance to reduce the heavy usage of **nitrogen base fertilizer** to enhance productivity and encourage safety of the environment and consumer health. Nine maize inbred lines viz; 1393, KU1414, 5057, 5012, 9030, 9450, SW1, SW6 and SW4, were characterized in a field experiment under natural soil nitrogen 1.81 g/kg. Data were collected on plant growth parameters (plant height, number of leaves, leaf area and stem girth); phenology (percentage emergence, day to anthesis, day to silking and **days to grain harvest**); total nitrogen uptake and yield (plant wet weight, plant dry matter, cob weight and total seed weight). Parameters were paired and correlated to determine their relationships patterns. Positive relationships were obtained from all paired intra-parameter correlations from plant growth, phenology and yield, and from paired inter-parameter correlations between plant growth and yield. Negative relationships were obtained for paired inter-parameter correlations between phenology and growth and between phenology and yield. Total nitrogen uptake gave positive correlations with all parameters. Relative performance grouping analysis revealed that maize genotype 1393 had high **n-uptake**, high assimilate partitioning and high performance in **Plant wet weight, cob weight and plant dry matter at grain harvest**. KU1414, 5057 and 9030 had high **n-uptake**, low assimilate partitioning and low performance **in plant wet weight, cob weight and plant dry matter at grain harvest** . 5012 had low n-uptake, low partitioning and high performance. 9450 had low **n-uptake**, low assimilate partitioning and low performance; and SW1, SW6 and SW4 had low **n-uptake**, high assimilate partitioning and high performance. Hence, 5012 and SW genotypes expressed efficient Nitrogen-use for high grain yield and better growth and phenological performances.

Key words: Nitrogen uptake, maize, genotype, inbred line, phenology, correlation analysis, performance.

38 INTRODUCTION

39 Crop varieties are grown under a wide range of climatic, weather and edaphic conditions (Kling
40 *et al.*, 1997). Nitrogen nutrient as a component of edaphic factors within an agro-ecology plays a
41 vital role in the growth, development and yield of agricultural plants. Nitrogen nutrient has been
42 reported to be a major limiting factor in maize production and grain yield (Ayodeji *et al.*, 2013).
43 This nutrient shortage reduces crop performance in that it is an essential component of plants
44 nucleic acids (DNA & RNA) and a major component of chlorophyll, the photosynthetic structure
45 for carbohydrate formation and an important factor for dry matter production (Doubley, 1995).
46 Though Nitrogen is relatively abundant in nature, about 78% **is** in the atmosphere and relatively
47 small proportion of this is readily incorporated into the soil through atmospheric and biological
48 fixation. Soil gains more Nitrogen from decaying **materials** and decomposition of domestic and
49 industrial wastes. Nitrogen is deficient within the agro-ecology owing to its instability and rapid
50 loss caused by huge transformations (n-cycle) involving mineralization, nitrification,
51 denitrification, volatilization, run-off and leaching (Below, 2011). N problem is also caused by its
52 limited availability for plant uptake only in form of Ammonium (NH_4^+) and Nitrate (NO_3^-) out of
53 other forms such as nitrogen gas (N_2), ammonia (NH_3) and nitrite (NO_2^-). Research revealed N-
54 nutrient depletion as a serious threat to crop yield and for profitable maize production (Vyn and
55 Stewart, 1994; Vyn, 2001). Therefore optimum yield is hampered, because poor Nitrogen supply
56 decreases dry matter accumulation, distribution and mobilization for economic yield (Ta and
57 Weiland, 1992; Ciampitti *et al.*, 2012, and Ciampitti and Vyn, 2012). However, supplementary
58 use of nitrogen base fertilizers to enhance maize productivity is not environment-friendly and
59 high content residue in maize harvests is not safe for human health. Hence, breeding cultivars
60 with high grain yield under natural soil Nitrogen condition is necessary. Therefore, this study
61 focused on: (i) assessment of selected maize inbred lines on Nitrogen use for growth and
62 development; (ii) characterization of maize lines on source-sink capacity for Nitrogen utilization;
63 (iii) identification of maize lines with good N-utilization efficiency and better assimilates
64 partitioning for high grain yield.

65

66 MATERIALS AND METHODS

67 The research field experiment was carried out on Practical Year Training Programme Farm,
68 Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Ibadan (Lat. $7^\circ 27' 1.7''$ North, $3^\circ 53' 35.8''$ East;
69 average max temp. 33°C and min temp. 28°C ; relative humidity 78 %; annual rainfall >1000
70 mm). Soil samples were collected for total Nitrogen content determination using Kjeldahl method
71 after land clearing at surface and sub-surface at depth range 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm
72 respectively. Nine inbred lines as shown in table 1 were planted out in four replicates **s** in a
73 randomized complete block design. Each line was observed on varietal responses to N-uptake,
74 mobilization and partitioning and yield performance according to the laboratory procedure of
75 Kjeldahl.

Data were collected on parameters of growth (plant height, number of leaves, leaf area and stem girth); phenology (percentage emergence, day to anthesis, day to silking and day to green harvest); total nitrogen uptake and yield (plant wet weight, plant dry matter, cob weight and total seed weight) (Table 3) and subjected to analysis of variance using Statistical Analysis System (SAS, 19.0), the means were separated using Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at 0.05 degree of freedom. The parameters were paired for correlation analysis ($r = 1/n-1 \sum (X-\mu_x)(Y-\mu_y) / \sigma_X \sigma_Y$) to determine their relationship patterns. Relative performance score analysis was used to group inbred lines genotypes based on n -uptake, assimilate partitioning and performance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Anthesis is one of the most important periods for the diagnosis of nutritional status of maize in respect to nitrogen and other mineral nutrients that determines yield generating effect of nitrogen. This came up from the fact that plant nutritional status at this growth stage affects the photosynthetic activity of leaves after anthesis, and consequently, the length of the grain filling stage (Rajcan and Tollenaar, 1999).

From the result of the experiments in Table 2, which revealed that Line L1 (1393) had the highest stem nitrogen percentage (5.23%) at anthesis followed by line L2 (KU1414) with stem nitrogen percentage (4.47 %) and the least percentage value found in line L8 (SW6) (1.65 %). Line L2 had the highest leaf nitrogen percentage (13.50 %) followed by line L1 (11.72 %) while line L9 (SW4) exhibited the least leaf nitrogen percentage (6.45 %). This indicates that high nitrogen is being partitioned and accumulated in the leaf than in the stem at anthesis, and L1, L2, L3 and L5 has the ability to accumulate nitrogen and partitioned it than other lines tested. Hence, leaf serves as high assimilate bank to remobilize for grain filling at plant productive phase, therefore it is more a factor that determine seed yield in maize plant (Ciampitti and Vyn, 2013). Also, plant yield parameters taken during anthesis and at harvest gave a mean value of 168.89g and 122.6g respectively for all the lines. This revealed that the plants had high plant wet weight at anthesis than at harvest; which may be ascribed to high moisture content in the plants due to active physiological processes during anthesis than at harvest stage. Also, plant dry matter at anthesis is relatively low with mean value of 25.00g for all the lines, compared to plant dry matter recorded after harvest with mean value of 56.85g. This was ascribed to high assimilate accumulation in the plants than moisture content at harvest stage, and the trait was expressed in each line with their respective genetic potentials. Plant total seed weight taken after harvesting gave a mean value of 45.99g for the lines, SW4 had highest value of 71.00g and 9450 had least value of 20.66g. This wide gap obtained from the lines indicated a clear cut for individual line genetic capacity to efficiently mobilize assimilates within plant system for grain yield. Correlation analysis as shown in Table 3 revealed that intra-parameter correlations from plant growth, phenology and yield gave positive relationships and significant correlations at $p \leq 0.05$ as indicated between respective plant height and number of leaf with coefficient value $r=0.5185$ (Fig. 1), days to silking and days to anthesis with coefficient value $r=0.7094$ (Fig. 2) and plant wet weight and cob weight with coefficient value $r = 0.7797$ (Fig. 3). Inter-parameter

correlations from plant growth and phenology gave negative relationships as indicated between leaf area and days to silking with coefficient value $r = -0.7819$ (Fig. 4); inter-parameter correlations from plant phenology and yield gave negative relationships as indicated between days to anthesis vs plant dry matter with coefficient value $r = -0.3269$ (Fig. 5), and inter-parameter correlations from plant growth and yield gave positive relationships as indicated between cob weight vs plant height with coefficient value $r = 0.5106$ (Fig. 6). Correlation analyses revealed an increase in value of one paired of intra-parameters from growth, phenology and yield, and between one paired of inter-parameters from growth and yield will lead to proportional increase in the value of the other. Thus, negative and inverse relationships between pairs of inter-parameters from phenology and growth, and from phenology and yield indicated that increase in value of one paired parameters will lead to proportional decrease in the value of the other.

Relative performance score analysis for genotypes characterization (Table 4) revealed that genotype 1393 had high N-uptake, high assimilate partitioning and high performance values. This indicated a disadvantage at high nitrogen demand. KU1414, 5057 and 9030 had high N-uptake, low assimilate partitioning and low performances. This indicated advantage at low nitrogen demand and disadvantage at poor performances. Genotype 9450 had low N-uptake, low partitioning and low performance, this indicated poor performances. Genotype 5012 had low N-uptake, low partitioning and high performance, this indicated advantage at low nitrogen demand and good performance. SW1, SW6 and SW4 had low N-uptake, high partitioning and high performance, this indicates a low nitrogen demand and good performance.

CONCLUSION

Breeding and characterization of genotypes for high grain yield under natural soil nitrogen condition is a feasible procedure and promising development to avert supplementary use of nitrogen base fertilizers to enhance maize productivity and profitable production. This study identified inbred lines 5012, SW1, SW6 and SW6 as good genotypes with efficient Nitrogen-use for high grain yield and good performance. These genotypes are recommended for use at improving farmers cultivated varieties to encourage maize cultivation with zero use of chemical fertilizers in order to preserve our environment and safeguard consumers' health.

REFERENCES

- Ayodeji, A., Adetimirin, V. O., Menkir, A., Stephen, P. M., and Amudalat, B. O (2013): Performance of tropical maize hybrids under conditions of low and optimum levels of nitrogen fertilizer application – grain yield, biomass production and nitrogen accumulation
- Below, M.I (2011). 7 Ways to Push Your Yield Barrier.' Barrie, Ontario, Canada. Jan. 4-6, 2012. XIII Brazilian Congress of Plant Physiology.
- Ciampitti, I.A., and Vyn, T.J. (2013). Grain Nitrogen Sources Over Time In Maize: A Review.

Crop Science Journal. 53: 366-377.

Ciampitti, I. A., Hao, H., Friedemann, P. and Vyn, T.J. (2012): Potential physiological frameworks for mid-season field phenotyping of final plant N uptake, N use efficiency and grain yield in maize. Crop Science 52: 2728-2742.

Ciampitti, I.A., and Vyn, T.J. (2012). Physiological perspectives of changes over time in maize yield dependency on nitrogen uptake and associated nitrogen efficiencies: A review. Field Crops Research. 133: 48-67.
<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378429012001013>

Doebley, J.F., Stec, A., and Gustus, C. (1995) .The molecular genetics of crop domestication. Genetics. 141 (1), 333-461.

Kling, J. G. and Gregory, E. (1997). Morphology and growth of maize. IITA/CIMMYT Research Guide 9.Int'l Institute of Tropical Agriculture.[On-Line}. Available at http://www.iita.org/cms/details/trn_mat/irg9/irg9.htm [URL accessed July2010].

Rajcan, I. and Tollenaar, M. (1999). Source: sink ratio and leaf senescence in maize: II.Nitrogen metabolism during grain filling. Field Crops Research. 60: 255-265.

Stanton, W. R. (1961). Food crop preferences: their significance to plant breeding programs and agricultural research policy. W. Afr. J. Biol. Chemistry 4, 84-89.

Ta, C.T and Weiland, R.T, (1992): Nitrogen partitioning in maize during ear development. Crop Science. 32: 443- 451

Vyn, T.J. (2001). Nutrient placement and high yield management in corn. Info Ag 2001 Conference Proceedings, Aug. 7-9, Indianapolis, IN.

Vyn, T.J. and Stewart. G.A. (1994). Cover crop nitrogen availability to corn with conservation tillage. Proceedings of 13th International Soil Tillage Research Organization Conference, Aalborg, Denmark, 8:13-818

188

189

190

191

192

193 Table 1. List of the maize inbred line seeds and their description

194	Lines	Source	Name	Type
195	L1	IITA	1393	White
196	L2	IITA	KU1414	Yellow
197	L3	IITA	5057	White
198	L4	IITA	5012	White
199	L5	IITA	9030	White
200	L6	IITA	9450	Yellow
201	L7	LAUTECH	SW1	Yellow
202	L8	LAUTECH	SW6	White
203	L9	LAUTECH	SW4	White

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

Table 2. Percentage Nitrogen uptake at anthesis and yield parameters of the maize
Inbred lines taken at anthesis and after grain harvest.

Lines	At Anthesis					At Harvest						
	SN%	LN%	TNU%	PWW	PDM	PWW	PDM	CBW	CBL	CBD	TSW	
L1	5.23	11.72	16.95	159	23.00	208.00	92.00	106.00	16.20	16.20	57.00	
L2	4.47	13.50	17.97	170	25.00	117.60	48.50	65.20	14.30	14.30	41.33	
L3	3.67	10.04	13.71	150	20.00	72.00	34.66	44.00	14.60	14.60	24.66	
L4	3.75	8.26	12.01	155	30.00	88.80	44.66	69.00	14.60	14.60	58.66	
L5	2.54	10.81	13.35	151	18.00	89.30	39.33	21.00	9.10	9.10	31.00	
L6	2.26	9.98	12.24	160	26.00	99.70	34.50	53.30	14.50	14.50	20.66	
L7	1.96	8.68	10.64	200	35.00	158.80	74.00	80.40	16.00	16.00	56.66	
L8	1.65	6.66	8.31	165	24.00	119.50	64.00	97.50	14.30	14.30	52.66	
L9	1.87	6.45	8.33	165	24.00	150.00	80.00	103.6	16.30	16.30	71.33	
Mean	3.04	9.56	12.61	163.89	25.00	122.60	56.85	71.11	14.40	14.40	45.99	

233

234 SN%-Stem nitrogen percentage

LN%-Leaf nitrogen percentage

235 PWW- Plant wet weight

PDM- Plant dry matter

236 CBW- Cob weight

CDM- Cob dry matter

237 CBL-Cob length

TSW-Total seed weight

238

239

240

241

242

Table 3: Correlation matrix of growth, phenology, nitrogen uptake and yield parameters for the lines.

	PHT	LA	LN	CL	DA	DS	DH	PWW	PDM	CW	TN	SW
PHT	-											
LA	0.574	-										
LN	0.519	0.321	-									
CL	0.511	0.351	0.005	-								
DA	-0.527	-0.502	0.003	-0.277	-							
DS	-0.421	-0.782*	-0.158	0.160	0.709*	-						
DH	-0.406	-0.305	0.004	0.025	0.594	0.422	-					
PWW	0.129	0.462	0.227	0.321	-0.017	-0.110	0.002	-				
PDM	0.539	0.406	0.004	0.295	-0.327	-0.036	-0.232	0.070	-			
CW	0.511	0.899*	0.095	0.624	-0.365	-0.390	0.007	0.778*	0.130	-		
TN	0.451	0.476	0.042	0.128	0.760*	0.601	0.695	0.101	0.248	0.271	-	
SW	0.788*	0.927*	0.643	0.530	0.617	0.861*	0.296	0.628	0.428	0.812*	0.353	-

* Correlation was significant at $p \leq 0.05$ level

265

266 Table 4.Relative Performance Score obtained from lines characterization on plant growth,
 267 phenology, Nitrogen content and yield parameters.
 268

Lines	PHT	LN	LA	SGT	DAT	DSK	DGH	EM%	PWA	PDA	PWH	CBW	CBH	SN%			
LN%	TSW	RMS															
L1	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	10*		
L2	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	6
L3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	3
L4	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	9*
L5	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	4
L6	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	5
L7	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	12*	
L8	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	9*
L9	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	12*	

269 **PHT**-Plant height, **LN**-Leaf number, **LA**-Leaf area, **SGT**-Stem girth, **DAT**-Days to anthesis, **DSK**-
 270 Days to silking, **DGH**-Days to green harvest, **EM%**-Percentage emergence, **PWA**-Plant weight
 271 at anthesis, **PDA**-Plant dry matter at anthesis, **PWH**-Plant weight after harvesting, **CBW**-Cob
 272 weight, **CBH**-Cob height, **SN%**-Stem nitrogen percentage, **LN%**-Leaf nitrogen percentage,
 273 **TSW**-Total seed weight

274 + represents values above mean (8.78)

275 - represents values below the mean

276 * represents performance of lines above general average

277

282




Fig. 1: Correlation graph obtained from plant height and leaf number parameters for the lines.

r = correlation coefficient




Fig. 2:Correlation graph obtained from days to anthesis and days to silking parameters for the

lines.**r** = correlation coefficient

286




Fig. 3: Correlation graph obtained from plant wet weight and cob weight parameters for the lines.
r = correlation coefficient




Fig. 4: Correlation graph obtained from leaf area and days to silking parameters for the lines.
r = correlation coefficient




Fig. 5:Correlation graph obtained from day to anthesis and plant dry matter parameters for the lines.
r = correlation coefficient




Fig. 6:Correlation graph obtained from plant height and cob weight parameters for the lines.
r = correlation coefficient