

Yield traits and water productivity in rice varieties (*Oryza sativa* L.) responses to Fadama-habitat in Akure, southwestern Nigeria

Effects of variety on yield and water productivity of fadama-grown rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in Akure, southwestern Nigeria.

Abstract

Drought is the most important factor limiting rice productivity under rainfed system of the humid tropics. Field experiments were conducted to determine the effects of soil water management strategies (growth on residual soil moisture at the vegetative stage supplemented with irrigation at the reproductive stage and growth under full irrigation throughout) on water use, yield and yield components of four varieties of rice grown in the dry season in an inland valley swamp (fadama). The four ~~selected~~ varieties ~~selected~~ were two upland (Ekpoma local and upland Nerica 4) and two lowland (lowland Nerica 1 and 2). The first planting (December, 2010) adequacy of soil moisture from planting to date of first flowering was assumed, thereafter irrigation was imposed during reproductive growth. In the second sowing (January, 2011), rice plants were drip-irrigated from planting to seed harvest. The results indicated that differences between the December and January sowing dates were found significant for most of the traits measured (root and shoot weight, leaf area, plant height, number of tillers, weights of panicle and 100-seed and harvest index). Total seed yield and harvest indices (3.19 t ha⁻¹:10.1) was higher in January over December (1.24 t ha⁻¹: 6.3) sowing in addition to higher water use efficiencies (0.053 and 0.031 t ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹). The seed yield reduction was associated with reductions in plant height (103.4:76.8 cm), leaf area (51.9: 76.1 m²) and shoot weight (103.7: 76.8 g) across all tested lines. Seasonal soil moisture storage ranged from 186 to 223 mm for the respective first (December) and second (January) sowing dates. The two best lines (Upland ~~cultivars~~; Ekpoma and Nerica 4), had similar yield performance when grown under irrigation from planting to maturity (harvest) but differed significantly in their trait combinations. Over other varieties, upland Ekpoma and Nerica 4 exhibited superior ability to produce tillers, panicle and seed weights in both first and second sowing dates. Functional relationships between some weather variables and growth and yield characteristics of rice which gave high regression coefficients (R²) showed that, the differences in shoot biomass and seed yield production between the sowing dates ~~be were~~ explained by a combination of weather parameters. The evaluated varietal yield potential and related traits measured under variable soil moisture regimes were discussed in relation to genotypic adaptation (drought tolerance) among the tested rice varieties. It is concluded that, in a cultivar, higher yield potential may be related to ability to tolerate multiple and concurrent abiotic stresses of soil and air moisture deficit and temperature stresses.

Keywords: *Fadama, inland flood plain, -rice, water management, drought, adaptation-*

INTRODUCTION

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is a major staple cereal grown worldwide especially in rainfed and irrigated cropping systems. Rice is one of the most common of all domesticated cereals, a tropical C₃ species, carries an odd ~~behaviour~~ behavior of tolerance and susceptibility to abiotic

Comment [S1]: It should be input the data to GGE biplot software as data analysis in term of G x E interaction. You can use the demo version, free down loading from internet.

Formatted: Superscript

stresses as compared to other field crops (Tuong et al., 1999). Rice thrives well in water logged soil and can tolerate submergence at levels that would kill other crops, also is moderately tolerant of salinity and soil acidity, but highly sensitive to drought and cold (Narciso et al., 2000). In the lowland ecosystem, rice is subjected to intermittent submergence (water depth of 0.5 to 1 m that cover the foliage), to salinity stress in coastal regions and drought in upland conditions. The rainfed areas occupy about 30-% of the total world rice growing areas where high yielding semi-dwarf rice varieties are not widely grown because of their poor adaptability to the more stressful rainfed conditions (Liu et al., 2005). Among the abiotic stresses in the rainfed systems, drought is the most important factor limiting rice productivity (Lafitte et al., 2007; Ali et al., 2008; Venuprasad et al., 2008).

Tuong and Bauman (2003) presented a review of water productivity in rice and surveyed the irrigation and associated technologies available given a water limited future. The author concluded that periodic surface irrigation or flush irrigation methods are viable water management strategies for rice production. Water limited condition is related to insufficient soil moisture available to support average crop production, this situation affects 23 million hectares of rice yearly (Pandey et al., 2007). Rice is particularly sensitive to drought stress and even mild drought stress can result in significant yield reduction in rice (O'Toole, 1982; Centritto et al., 2009). –Drought stress particularly during ~~flowering~~flower developmental stage can result in severe yield losses. The physiological processes during the sensitive ~~flowering~~reproduction stage, negatively affecting spikelet fertility under water stress anther dehiscence and pollen germination (Ekanayake et al., 1990; Liu et al., 2005) were similar to high-temperature stress (Yoshida, 1981; Jagadish et al., 2010). Additionally, panicle ~~exsertion~~exertion (O'Toole and Namuco, 1983), and peduncle length (He et al., 2009) may be partly responsible for increased sterility under water stress. Genotypic attributes such as stature (plant height and ~~vigour~~vigor), tillering habits, root size, root morphology and density and other physiological attributes such as

68 stomatal closure and osmotic adjustment had been implicated as possible mechanisms for
69 drought tolerance (Cutler et al., 1980; Liu et al., 2005).

70 The rice growing agroecologies are characterized by maximum day-time temperatures either
71 close to or higher than the critical threshold ranging between 33°C (Nakagawa et al., 2002) and
72 35°C (Yoshida, 1981). High-temperature stress can cause irreversible damage to plant growth
73 and development (Wahid et al., 2007). Temperatures greater than 35°C at anthesis and lasting
74 for more than 1 h can lead to high sterility in rice (Jagadish et al., 2007). A high-temperature
75 stress-induced increase in spikelet sterility is attributable to abnormal anther dehiscence (Matsui
76 and Omasa, 2002), impaired pollination (Matsui et al., 2005), and pollen germination (Jagadish
77 et al., 2010). Moreover, high temperature of 39°C given a day before flowering resulted in poor
78 anther dehiscence during subsequent anthesis (Matsui and Omasa, 2002). High temperatures
79 stress given a day prior to anthesis affected the normal functioning of the pollen sac dehiscence
80 and pollen viability (Matsui and Omasa, 2002). The reproductive stage in rice is affected
81 irreversibly by high temperatures (Prasad et al., 2006) and soil moisture deficit stress than the
82 vegetative stage. Water deficit during reproductive stage significantly reduces pollen viability,
83 spikelet fertility (Praba et al., 2009) and grain yield (Boonjung and Fukai, 1996). The response of
84 plants to water and temperature stresses depends on the duration and severity of the stress (Araus
85 et al., 2002; Bartels and Souer, 2004) and the developmental stage (Zhu et al., 2005).

86 In circumstance of the changing climates of the future, rice could more subjected to simultaneous
87 high temperature and water stress during sensitive developmental stages such as heading and
88 seed filling. -As the global climate changes continue, water shortage and drought have become
89 an increasingly serious constraint limiting rice production worldwide (Wassmann et al., 2009).
90 Hence, overcoming the effects of high temperature and water stress on rice production is
91 essential in the attainment of food security now and in the future. Rice varieties are different

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

among regions and locality, and their response to ecological variations is different. The high yielding varieties of rice that had been developed will respond differently at different locations and ecosystem (agroecologies). There is need to investigate varietal suitability of rice especially the newly improved lines to the soil and weather condition in rice growing agroecologies of Nigeria.

In sub-Saharan Africa, inland wetlands constitutes about 135 million ha of land (IWMI, 2002). Extensive land areas in Nigeria are characterized by shallow water tables fed by streams and river courses. These ecosystems which are seasonally flooded, are called inland valley swamps or inland flood plains (the *fadama* in Hausa). The inland valley swamps are characterized by seasonal flooding at the peak of the rainy season, high residual moisture regimes in the dry season and variable but shallow ground water table depths. The agricultural potentials of tropical inland valley swamps or flood plains can be harnessed via the effective management of its soil and water resources. Field experiments were conducted in order to examine pattern of water use, growth and yield of four varieties of rice grown in the dry season in an inland flood plain (fadama) under irrigation management strategies. The aim was to evaluate varietal yield potential and related traits under variable soil moisture regimes, and to examine the value of the measured traits to yield potentials and genotypic adaptation among the tested rice varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS.

Description of Study Area.

The study was conducted at the Teaching and Research Farm of the Federal University of Technology, Akure, – a humid rainforest zone of southwest Nigeria (Lat. $7^{\circ}14'N$ and $5^{\circ}08'E$) and at 35 m above sea level. The mean annual rainfall range

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

116 | between 1,300-1,600 mm and with average temperature of 30^o±0.9C. The relative humidity ranges
117 | between 85-% during the rainy season and less than 60-% during the dry season.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

118 | Two experiments were carried out between December, 2010 and May, 2011, to determine
119 | the effects of soil water management strategies (growth on residual soil moisture at the
120 | vegetative stage supplemented with irrigation at the reproductive stage and growth under full
121 | irrigation throughout) on water use, yield and yield components of four varieties of rice grown in
122 | the dry season in an inland valley swamp (fadama). -The varieties evaluated are (i) Ekpoma local
123 | (ii) Upland Nerica (iii) Lowland Nerica 1, and (iv) Lowland Nerica 2. Rice seeds were planted
124 | on soil water reserve until peak vegetative growth (date of first flowering) after which irrigation
125 | was imposed during reproductive growth (flowering to crop maturity), and between January and
126 | May 2010). -Seeds of four varieties of rice consisting of two upland (Ekpoma local and upland
127 | Nerica 4) and two lowland (Nerica 1 and 2) were obtained from the African Rice Centre, Ibadan
128 | and Edo State Agricultural Development project, Benin City.- Rice seeds were sown on the field
129 | at 90 by 20 cm spacing along the row and at three row-replications per treatment. The
130 | experimental site consisted of two blocks each of which measures 13.50 by 90 m. The land was
131 | manually cleared, packed, and Force-Up herbicide was applied at the rate of 3 ~~liters~~ liters per
132 | hectare to further prevent weed competition on the plots.

Comment [S2]: What does the real meaning of two experiments? In my view, it is only one experiment to compare the seed sowing periods (December or January).

Comment [S3]: Please provide soil properties, i.e. pH, EC, soil type, NPK, %OM, etc.

133 | At maturity, six uniform plants of 0.3 m² in each plot were measured for plant height and
134 | sampled by cutting the plants from the bottom (right above the soil surface). The plants sampled
135 | from each plot were put into a paper bag and were then oven-dried at 75-°C for 3 days. The dried
136 | samples were then measured for total grain yield and its components, including biomass, 100-
137 | seed weight and panicle and spikelet weights.

138 | **Plant Measurements**

139 | Data were collected on root and shoot biomass, leaf area development, flowering and fruiting
140 | characters and grain yield of rice. Photographs of various operations were also taken on the field.
141 | Data were collected on pattern of soil moisture storage and depletion, and agronomic parameters

142 of root and shoot biomass, leaf area and fruit yield characters of rice. Agronomic characters of
 143 root and shoot biomass, leaf area, seed yield and yield components were monitored from ten
 144 plants per row. Root and shoot biomass were oven-dried at 80-°C for 48 h and dry weights were
 145 recorded.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

146 **Soil physical and chemical properties**

Comment [S4]: Where is the data???

147 Soil moisture was estimated by gravimetric method (oven-dried moist soil samples at 105-°C for
 148 24 h). Core samples were taken at surface soil (10 cm depth) while bulk density determined for
 149 the samples were employed in the conversion of gravimetric soil moisture content to volumetric
 150 (cm³. cm⁻³). -From the experimental field, ten points were sampled weekly starting from planting
 151 to crop physiological maturity. -Soil moisture depletion (SWD) ~~were~~was obtained from the
 152 differences in soil moisture contents measured at the beginning and at the end of weekly cycle.

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

153 Soil properties (chemical compositions) would be included???

154 Accumulated heat units (thermal time) was calculated from temperature coefficient for
 155 individual crops.- Thermal time (TT°Cd) for the phenological phases were calculated from the
 156 daily maximum (T_{max}) and minimum (T_{min}) temperatures measured at the Meteorological
 157 Observatory of the Department of Meteorology, FUT, Akure. Average daily temperature was
 158 used to calculate thermal time (TT) for each growth phase.- Cardinal temperatures (Nakagawa et
 159 al., 2002; Jagadish et al., 2010), namely base temperature (T_b 8-°C), optimum temperature (T_{opt}
 160 32-°C), and maximum temperature (T_{max} 42-°C), were assumed in the calculation of heat unit
 161 accumulation measured as growing degree days (GDD) using equation of McMaster and
 162 Wilhelm (1997).

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

$$164 \quad GDD = \frac{T_{\max} + T_{\min}}{2} - T_{\text{base}} \dots\dots\dots 1$$

$$ThermalTime(TT) = \frac{T_{max} - T_o}{T_o - T_b} \dots\dots\dots 2$$

167

168 | T_{max} is the maximum temperature, T_o is optimum temperature and T_b is the base temperature,

169 | 1-x represents the time interval during which measurements were made (day one to the last day).

170 | Thermal time requirements ($TT^{\circ}Cd$) for the different growth phases can also be obtained from
 171 | the sum of the calculated growing degree days summed over the growing season length (days).

Formatted: Not Superscript/ Subscript

172 | Weather variables at the site of experiment during crop growth cycle (soil and air temperatures,

173 | ~~vapour~~vapor pressure deficit (vpd), solar radiation, wind speed were monitored from

174 | Meteorological observatory, 500 meters from site of experiment.

175 | **Irrigation strategies**

176 | In the first experiment (December, 2010), adequacy of soil moisture from planting to date of first

177 | flowering was assumed. Rice seedlings were therefore grown on residual soil water (soil water

178 | reserve) until date of first flowering (2 to 7 weeks after planting, WAP). Thereafter irrigation

179 | was imposed weekly during reproductive growth (flowering to crop maturity: 8 to 16 WAP). -In

180 | another set, second planting (January, 2011), rice seedlings were drip-irrigated weekly from

181 | planting to harvest.- For both experiments, irrigation regimes consisted of water application at

182 | weekly intervals using drip irrigation system. In both experiments, irrigation was imposed using

183 | low-head (gravity) drip system weekly and fortnightly and 1.38 ~~litres~~liters of water per plant at

184 | each irrigation. Irrigation water was applied using the gravity-drip irrigation system while water

185 | was delivered to plants via point source emitters of 2 ~~L h⁻¹~~ discharge rate. The emitters were

Formatted: Superscript

186 | installed on laterals per row of crop and were spaced 1 by 1 m apart. Irrigation buckets were

187 | suspended on 1 – 1.5 m high stakes to provide the required hydraulic heads. Drip irrigation was

188 | applied using point source emitters and the emitters (2 ~~L h⁻¹~~ discharge rate) were installed on

Formatted: Superscript

189 | laterals per row of crop. Irrigation buckets were suspended on 1 – 1.5 m (hydraulic heads) high

190 | stakes (IWMI, 2002; Olufayo, personal communication).

191 Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) while significant
192 treatment means were separated using the Least Significance Difference (LSD) test at 5% level
193 of probability. Simple correlation and regression analysis was performed between some of the
194 measured growth and seed yield characters of rice and weather factors -during rice growth. Three
195 functional forms of regression models (exponential, power, logarithmic) were fitted to into these
196 relationships.

197
198 The second year experiments (December, 2011 and May, 2012) were set up following the
199 identical treatments and procedure of December, 2010 and May, 2011 experiments. There were
200 no significant differences in the results obtained from year to year, and due to the similar yearly
201 pattern of response of pepper to the soil moisture management strategies, the data for the 2 years
202 of study were pooled and a 2-factor analysis of variance was carried out. Therefore, data
203 collected o for the two-years of study (2010 -2012) were averaged and means are presented in
204 tables and figures.

205

206 RESULTS

207 **Weather conditions of the site of study during rice growth-**

208 Experiments were carried out during the dry season (December 2010 and May, 2011). The
209 growing environmental condition of the dry season is characterized by high solar radiation
210 intensities and temperatures (air and soil) and low relative humidity and high ~~vapour~~vapor
211 pressure deficits and open water evaporation (Fig. 1a). The trends weather conditions during
212 period of study (December 2010 and May, 2011) is presented in Fig. 1b and 1c. The figures
213 showed that during the course of rice growth and at different stages in the respective sowing
214 dates December 2010 and January, 2011), rice plants were subjected to concurrent stresses of
215 soil moisture and ~~vapour~~vapor pressure deficits and high air temperatures.

216 | ***Soil moisture storage (replenishment) and depletion-***

217 | The values of soil moisture taken at 10 cm depth differed for the first (residual soil moisture plus
218 | supplementary irrigation at reproductive growth) and second (irrigated crop from planting to
219 | harvest) sowing dates (Fig. 2a and 3a). For the December sown crop, the initial soil moisture
220 | contents were high possibly from the late rains of the second modal rainfall pattern which
221 | terminates about November and residual effects of the receding flood. The sequence of depletion
222 | and replenishment of soil moisture under -first and second sowing dates (supplementary and full
223 | irrigation) are shown in Fig 2b and 3b. During the establishment and vegetative growth stages,
224 | differences in soil moisture storage (replenishment via irrigation) were found. ~~A-~~In general, high
225 | soil moisture storage was observed within the first to the five weeks after sowing before the
226 | attainment of anthesis/heading dates. Thereafter, lower moisture was stored in the soil at
227 | reproductive phase (heading and seed filling period). -The site of the experiment is a flood plain
228 | (fadama) characterized by shallow water table depths, capillary rise from the water table in
229 | addition to the residual moisture from the rainfall-enhanced floods can have influenced the soil
230 | moisture content (storage) within the rice plant root zone.

231 | ***Growth, yield and yield components of rice-***

232 | Significant differences were observed for growth and yield parameters of cowpea in the different
233 | sowing dates (December and January). Among the rice varieties, differences were obtained in
234 | other growth phases such as 50 % flowering date to seed filling and physiological maturity of
235 | seeds (Table 1 and 2). The selected rice varieties also had different maturity dates in particular,
236 | differences in the -duration of reproductive growth phase.

237 | Based on the parameters measured in this study, the Ekpoma (upland) variety is superior to the
238 | others (Upland Nerica 4 and lowland Nerica 1 and 2). Over other the Nerica varieties,
239 | significantly higher values of root dry weight, number of roots, root length, shoot dry weight,
240 | length and breadth of the flag leaf, flag leaf, number of tillers, panicle and seed weight were
241 | produced by the Ekpoma variety. However, the lowland Nerica varieties produced similar (non-

242 significant differences) in plant height, number of leaves, spikes / panicle and spikelets / panicle,
243 panicle and seed weight / panicle and harvest index (HI). The inherent potentials of the four
244 varieties appeared to be remarkably expressed under full irrigation (January sowing date) than
245 growth on residual soil moisture plus supplementary irrigation during reproductive growth phase
246 (December sowing date). In the January sowing when rice plants were irrigated from planting to
247 maturity, significant higher ($P \leq 0.05$) values of most of the parameters considered in this study
248 were obtained.

249 There was considerable variation between the two groups of rice tested for growth and seed yield
250 characters (Table 3). For example, the two best lines (Upland Ekpoma and Nerica 4), had similar
251 yield performance when grown under irrigation from planting to maturity (harvest) but differed
252 significantly in their trait combinations. The significantly improved performance of upland
253 varieties (Ekpoma and Nerica 4) for the respective first and second sowing dates (Table 3), was
254 associated with for example higher seed weight (2.9 and 7.6 g compared with 2.0 and 5.1 g) and
255 leaf area (68 and 96 m² compared with 42 and 52 m²). Genotypic potential can explain the
256 variation for most of the measured traits (root and shoot, panicle and seed weights and harvest
257 index). The results indicate that for most of the traits measured (root and shoot weight, leaf area,
258 plant height, number of tillers, panicle and seed weight, 100 seed weight and harvest index),
259 these parameters were significantly different between December (first) and January (second)
260 sowing dates (Table 4). Over December sowing, the yield advantage of the January sowing under
261 irrigation throughout growth is associated with taller plants (103.4 and 76.8 cm), leaf area (76
262 and 52 m²), and shoot biomass (103.7 and 79 g), panicle weight (0.30 and 0.20 kg) and harvest
263 index (8.9 and 6.7). In addition, the seasonal sum of soil moisture depletion within crop root
264 zone and efficiencies of water use (186 and 223 mm; 0.031 and 0.053 t/ha⁻¹/mm⁻¹) were higher
265 for the January sown crop (Table 4).

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Some of the measured growth and seed yield characters of rice were related with the prevailing weather conditions of the respective sowing (December and January) and regression equations (exponential, power, logarithmic) were fitted to into these relationships. The regression coefficients (R^2) which were mostly negative for these relationships differed for the two sowing dates differed, R^2 values were higher for the December sowing date for and higher for January sown rice for minimum temperatures (Table 5). Shoot biomass (tiller number and leaf area) correlated strongly with crop water satisfaction/stress index ($CWSI; 1 - ET_a / (ET_a + ET_0)$), accumulated heat units/thermal time and evaporative demand and minimum temperatures. Similarly, seed yield were negatively and highly associated with evaporative demand and thermal time and minimum temperatures. Exponential and power functions which had highest coefficients ($R^2 > 0.80$) were the lead equations on the basis of statistical properties. These equations best described the relationships between weather factors and measured growth and seed yield characters of rice for the two sowing dates.

281

282 | **DISCUSSION:**

Among four rice varieties, genotypic tolerance of the soil and air temperatures, soil and air moisture deficits of the dry season was evaluated and the value of genotypic tolerance attributes to seed yield production was discussed. The soil moisture management strategies adopted (soil moisture replenishment via supplementary and full irrigation regimes) produced changes in soil moisture storage, plant water extraction/depletion in the root zone. Panicle weight and panicle contribution to seed yield (productive spikes and spikelets) appeared to be affected by root zone soil moisture status and growing weather conditions at heading (anthesis) and seed filling period.

290 |

Over supplementary irrigation, full irrigation throughout crop growth (January sowing) enhanced soil moisture status and the growth and yield characters of rice. Irrigation is known to ameliorate

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

the hydrothermal regimes of soil. Plant biomass (root and shoot dry weight) and leaf area were better under full drip irrigation strategy and the improved growth was accompanied by high grain yield and water use efficiency (WUE) (Agele et al., 2010; Jagadish et al., 2010). In addition, the tested rice varieties responded differently to supplementary and full irrigation strategies, which is attributable to genetic characteristics. The dry season is characterized by negligible rainfall, low relative humidity, and high soil water evaporation and solar radiation (high climatic demand). These environmental conditions are known to affect biomass accumulation and seed setting and yield of rice (He et al., 2009; Jagadish et al., 2010).

Drought is the most important factor limiting rice productivity under rainfed system worldwide and especially in the humid tropics. In this study, four varieties of rice were field evaluated their yield performance and related traits under variable soil moisture regimes (residual soil moisture at the vegetative stage supplemented with irrigation at the reproductive stage and full irrigation schemes throughout growth phases in the dry season in an inland flood plain (fadama). The study established the relationship between drought tolerance and yield potential among the tested rice varieties and possible mechanisms that functioned together to contribute to their improved drought tolerance and seed yield potentials. When compared with upland varieties, lowland rice had significantly lower biomass and seed yields in addition to lower harvest indices. However, differences were not significant between the groups in terms of biomass and seed yields under full irrigated conditions. A possible mechanism is dehydration avoidance, characterized by significantly higher growth attributes and biomass accumulation across varieties and groups under stress and profound biomass accumulation under full irrigated conditions. Under full irrigated conditions all varieties were characterized by improved harvest index signifying efficient biomass partitioning, resulting primarily from heavier panicle and seed weight. These ascertions were true and can explain the high biomass accumulation and seed yield production in the Ekpoma variety, the best performing line. Considerable variation in the

318 measured traits among the lowland and upland varieties implies differences in the degree of
319 drought tolerance and yield potential.

320 The most important mechanism contributing to drought tolerance of the improved upland and
321 lowland Nerica lines was inferred to be dehydration avoidance, characterized by their
322 significantly higher biomass under stress. This mechanism was reflected by their better abilities
323 to maintain a high biomass accumulation under stress. The measured drought tolerant-related
324 traits in the tested rice varieties which presumably are the underlying mechanisms functioned
325 together and contribute to their improved drought tolerance and seed yield potentials. However,
326 the contributions of these traits to drought tolerance and seed yield production in the upland and
327 lowland rice varieties appeared to vary depending on specific period (growth phase) during
328 growth which drought stress was experienced.

329 The measured drought tolerant-related traits in the tested rice varieties which presumably are the
330 underlying mechanisms functioned together and contribute to their improved drought tolerance
331 and seed yield potentials. However, the contributions of these traits to drought tolerance and
332 seed yield production in the upland and lowland rice varieties appeared to vary depending on
333 specific period (growth phase) during growth which drought stress was experienced.

334 Efficient biomass partitioning characterized by high harvest index (HI) can be attributed as the
335 mechanism that contributed to drought tolerance and yield potential among rice varieties
336 characterized by higher harvest index (HI). This was consistent with previous reports that the
337 maintenance or improvement of HI is of critical importance for drought tolerance (for grain
338 yield) under terminal drought stress (Fischer et al., 2003; White and Wilson, 2006; Monneveux
339 et al., 2008; Fukai et al., 2009). The increase in HI under drought condition was most probably
340 associated with a higher remobilization of assimilates to fill the grains. Previous studies showed
341 that the contribution of dry matter partitioning from stems and leaves to grain filling increased

Field Code Changed

342 with the severity of drought stress (Atlin et al., 2004). The full irrigation applied in this study had
343 greater effects on shoot biomass size (source supply) traits (plant height, leaf area, number of
344 tillers), whereas supplementary irrigation greatly affected traits related to sink size and
345 partitioning (panicle and seed weight, total number of seeds and harvest index).

346 The environmental conditions after the initiation of reproductive growth can change floral
347 development, alter pollination, or prevent seed filling and ultimately seed yield in crops
348 (Zinselmeier et al., 1999; Pressman et al., 2002; Sato et al., 2002). In crops cereals in particular,
349 unfavourable growing environment condition such as drought imposes assimilate limitation,
350 restricts pollination and decreases kernel set (Uhart and Andrade, 1995; Zinselmeier et al., 1999;
351 Agele, 2006). -In addition to the prevention of pollination presumably by low water potentials
352 during grain filling can arrest ovary growth and cause embryo abortion (Pressman et al., 2002).

353
354 Traits such as biomass accumulation, leaf area development (duration of canopy), capacity for
355 assimilate reserve and ~~mobilisation~~mobilization to reproductive structures (grain) are important
356 to crop yield under variable soil water and thermal regimes (Timsina et al., 1993; San Jose et al.,
357 2003). Plants possess traits which are important to the survival and productivity parameters,
358 these traits are also involved in setting tolerance limit to and confer increased productivity under
359 variable weather conditions

360 (He et al., 2009; Jagadish et al., 2010). The identification and understanding of the values of
361 these traits is important in the strategies to improve genotypic adaptation of crops in areas and
362 seasons when varying degrees of soil moisture deficits and temperature extremes are encountered
363 at some stages of crop growth cycle. The tested varieties exhibited differences in their sensitivity
364 to the growing season environmental conditions. The greatest sensitivity occurred in the lowland
365 Nerica varieties.

366

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Regression equations were worked out between some growth parameters of cowpea and some weather variables. These relationships were characterized by variable regression coefficients (R^2) in the different sowing dates. The regression coefficients (R^2) show that on the average, about 40% of shoot biomass and seed yield production in rice is determined by minimum temperatures, open water evaporation, atmospheric dryness (~~vapour~~vapor pressure deficit) and accumulated thermal time requirements during the growing period.

Conclusion

Four varieties of rice were evaluated for beneficial responses to multiple abiotic stress factors (soil and air moisture deficit and temperature stresses) via phenotyping cultivar attributes. The results of this study demonstrated that the tested -rice varieties (upland and lowland) differed for most of the traits (parameters) measured between the December and January sowing dates. Total seed yield (3.2 t ha^{-1}) was higher in January over December (1.24 t ha^{-1}) sowing in addition to higher water use efficiencies (0.053 & $0.031 \text{ t ha}^{-1} \text{ mm}^{-1}$). The reductions in yield for December sowing is associated with reductions in leaf area, shoot weight panicle and 100 seed weight and harvest index across all tested lines. The two groups of rice tested differed in their growth and seed yield characters. For example, the two best lines (Upland Ekpoma and Nerica 4), had similar yield performance in both sowing dates) but differed in their trait combinations. The improved performance of upland over the lowland varieties for the respective first and second sowing was associated with an increased shoot biomass (6.5 and 4.5 g), increased seed weight (5.5 and 3.5 g) and -HI (8.5 and 7.5) . Over the lowland varieties, upland Ekpoma and Nerica 4 exhibited superior ability to produce tillers, panicle and seed weights. Some of the measured growth and seed yield characters of rice were related with the prevailing weather conditions of the respective sowing (December and January). The regression coefficients (R^2) which were mostly negative for these relationships differed for the two sowing dates differed. The strong negative association of CWSI with seed yield indicates the inability of soil moisture storage to

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

satisfy rice water requirements (ET_a). The indigenous or land races of rice from the tropics have wide adaptability and excellent grain quality, but moderate yield potential (low productivity). The growth and yield potential (adaptation) of these indigenous varieties may be related to ability to tolerate multiple and concurrent abiotic stresses of soil and air -moisture deficits.

Formatted: Subscript

References

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Agele, S.O., Cohen, S. and Assouline, S. 2006. Hydraulic characteristics and water relations of net-house grown bell pepper as affected by irrigation regimes in a Mediterranean climate. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 57: 226-235.

Agele, S.O., Iremiren, G.O. and Ojeniyi, S.O. 2011. Evapotranspiration, water use efficiency and yield of rainfed and irrigated tomato in the dry season in a humid rainforest zone of Nigeria. *International Journal of Biology & Agricultural Sciences* 13: 469-476.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: English (United States)

Ali, M. A., Nawab, N. N. Rasool, G. and Saleem, M. 2008. Estimates of variability and correlations for quantitative traits in *Cicer arietum* L. *Journal of Agricultural and Social Sciences* 4(4): 177-179.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D. and Smith, M. 1998. Crop evapotranspiration: Guidelines for computing water requirements, FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper. 55, FAO, Rome.

Araus J.L., Slafer, G.A., Reynolds M.P. and Royo, C. 2000. Plant breeding and drought in C_3 cereals: what should we breed for? *Annals of Botany* 89: 925-940.

Formatted: Subscript

Bartels, D. and Souer, E. 2004. Molecular responses of higher plants to dehydration. In *Plant Responses to Abiotic Stress* (eds Hirt, H. and Shinozak, K.) pp 9-38 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Boonjung, H. and Fukai, S. 1996. Effects of soil water deficit at different growth stages on rice growth and yield under upland conditions. 2. Phenology, biomass production and yield. *Field Crops Research* 48: 47-55.

Centritto, M., Lauteri, M., Monteverdi, M.C. and Serraj, R. 2009. Leaf gas exchange, carbon isotope discrimination, and grain yield in contrasting rice genotypes subjected to water deficits during the reproductive stage. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60: 2325-2339.

Cutler, J.M., Shahan, K.W. and Steponkus, P.L. 1980. Dynamics of osmotic adjustment in rice. *Crop Science* 20: 310-314.

Edmeades, G.O., Bolanos, J., Chapman, S.C., Banziger, M. and Lafitte, H.R. 1999. *Selection* improves water stress tolerance to mid/late season water stress in tropical maize population. I. Grains in biomass, grain yield, and harvest index. *Crop Science* 39: 1306-1315.

Ekanayake, I.J., Steponkus, P. and Dedatta, S.K. 1990. Sensitivity of pollination to water deficits at anthesis in upland rice. *Crop Science* 30: 310-315.

429 Fischer, K.S., Lafitte, R., Fukai, S., Atlin, G. and Hardy, B. 2003. Breeding rice for drought
430 prone environment. Los Banos, Philippines: IRRI.

431 Fukai, S., Basnayake, J. and Makara, O. 2009. Drought resistance characters and variety
432 development for rainfed lowland rice in South ~~east~~ East Asia. In: Serrj, J., Bennett, J., Hardy,
433 ~~improvement~~ Improvement for ~~increased~~ Increased rainfed ~~Rainfed~~ production Production.
434 Singapore: World Scientific Publishing. Ppp. 75-89.

435 Hall, A.E., Mutters, R.G. and Farquhar, G.D. 1992. Genotypic and drought induced differences
436 in carbon isotope discrimination and gas exchange in cowpea. Crop Science 32:1-6.

437 He, Y., Wetterhall, F., Cloke, H.L., Pappenberger, F., Wilson, M., Freer, J., ~~and~~ -Mc Gregor, G.
438 2009. Tracking the uncertainty in flood alerts driven by grand ensemble weather prediction.
439 Meteorological Applications: Special issue: Flood Forecasting and Warming, 16: 91-101.

440 International Water Management Institute (IWMI), 2002. Annual Reports. IWMI Thailand.
441 Pp135pp. 135.

442 Jagadish, S.V.K., Craufurd, P.Q. and Wheeler, T.R. 2007. High temperature stress spikelet
443 fertility in rice. Journal of Experimental Botany 58: 1627–1635.

444 Jagadish, S.V.K., Craufurd, P.Q. and Wheeler, T.R. 2008. Phenotyping parents of mapping
445 populations of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) for heat tolerance during anthesis. Crop Science 48: 1140–
446 1146.

447 Jagadish, S.V.K., Muthurajan, R., Oane, R., Wheeler, T.R., Heuer, S., Bennett ~~and~~, Craufurd,
448 P.Q., 2010. Physiological and Proteomic approaches to address heat tolerance during anthesis in
449 rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Journal of Experimental Botany- 61: 143–156.

450 Kumar, A., Bernier, J., Verulkar, S., Lafitte, H.R. and Atlin, G.N. 2008. Breeding for drought
451 tolerance direct selection for yield, response to selection and use of drought tolerance donors in
452 upland and inland-adapted populations. Field Crops Research 107: 221-231.

453 Lafitte, H.R. Yongsheng, G. and Yans-Li, Z.K. 2007. Whole plant responses, key processes and
454 adaptation to drought stress: the case of rice. Journal of Experimental Botany 58: 169-175.

455 Liu, J.X., Liano, D.Q., Oane, R., Estenor, L., Yang, X.E, Li, Z.C. and Benneth, J. 2005. Genetic
456 variation in the sensitivity of anther dehiscence to drought stress in rice. Field Crops Research 97:
457 87-100.

458 Matsui, T. and Omasa, K. 2002. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars tolerance to high temperature at
459 flowering: anther characteristics. Annals of Botany 89: 683-687.

460 McMaster, G.S. and Wilhem, W.W. 1997. Growing degree days: one equation, two
461 interpretations. Journal of Agriculture & Forest Meteorology 87: 291-300.

462 Monneveux, P., Sanchez, C. and Tiessen, A. 2008. Future progress in drought tolerance in maize
463 needs new secondary traits and cross combinations. Journal of Agricultural Science 146 (3): 287-
464 300.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

467 Nakagawa, H., Horie, T. and Matsui, T. 2002. Effects of climate change on rice production and
 468 adaptive technologies. In: Mew, T.W., Brar, D.S., Peng, S., Dawe, D., Hardy B. editors. Rice
 469 Science: ~~innovations~~Innovations and ~~impact~~Impact for ~~livelihood~~Livelihood. China;
 470 International Rice Research Institute. ~~Pp~~pp. 635-657.

471 Narciso, J. and Hossain, M. 2002. ~~IRRI~~IRRI World Statistic. <http://www.irri.org/science/ricestat>.

472 O'Toole, J.C. 1982. Drought resistance in crops with emphasis on rice. Los Banos, Philippines:
 473 IRRI; Adaptation of ~~rice~~Rice to ~~drought~~Drought ~~prone~~Prone ~~environment~~Environment. ~~Pp~~pp.
 474 195-213.

475 O'Toole, J.C. and Namuco, O.S. 1983. Role of panicle ~~exsertion~~exsertion in water sterility. Crop
 476 Science 23: 1093-1097.

477 Pandey, S., Bhandari, H. and Hardy, B. 2007. Economic Costs of Drought and Rice Farmers'
 478 Coping Mechanisms: A Cross-country Comparative Analysis. International Rice Research
 479 Institute, Manila. 203pp.

480 Praba, M.L., Cairns, J.E., Babu, R.C. and Lafitte, H.R., 2009. Identification of physiological
 481 traits underlying cultivar differences in drought tolerance in rice and wheat. Journal of
 482 Agronomy and Crop Science 195: 30-46.

483 Prasad, P.V.V., Boote, K.J., Allen Jr, L.H., Sheehy, J.E. and Thomsa, J.M.G. 2006. Species,
 484 ecotype and cultivar differences in spikelet fertility and harvest index of rice in response to high
 485 temperature stress. Field Crops Research 95: 398-411.

486 Pressman, E., Peet, M.M. and Pharr, D.M. 2002. The effect of heat stress on tomato pollen
 487 characteristics associated with changes in carbohydrate concentration in the developing anther.
 488 Annals of Botany 90(5): 631-636.

489 Rang, Z.W. Jagadish, S.V.K. Zhou, Q.M. -and Craufurd, P.Q. 2011. Effect of high temperature
 490 and water stress on pollen germination and spikelet fertility in rice. Environmental and
 491 Experimental Botany 70: 58-65.

492 Saini, H.S. and Westgate, M.E. 2000. Reproductive development in grain crops during drought.
 493 Advances in Agronomy 68: 55-96.

494 Sato, S., Peet, M.M. and Thomas, J.F. 2002. Determining critical pre and post anthesis period
 495 and physiological processes in *Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill, exposed to moderately elevated
 496 temperatures. Journal of Experimental Botany 53: 1187-1195.

497 Satake, T., Yoshida, S., 1978. High temperature-induced sterility in *indica* rice at flowering.
 498 Japanese ~~Journal of~~Journal of Crop Science 47: 6-17.

499 Savin, R. ~~and~~and Nicolas, M.E., 1996. Effects of short periods of drought and high temperature on
 500 grain growth and starch accumulation of two malting barley cultivars. Australian Journal of Plant
 501 Physiology 23: 201-210.

502 Shah, N.H. and Paulsen, G.M., 2003. Interaction of drought and high temperature on
 503 photosynthesis and grain-filling of wheat. Plant ~~and~~and Soil 257: 219-226.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

508 Stiller, V., Lafitt, H.R. and Sperry, J.S. 2003. Hydraulic properties of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and
509 the response of gas exchange to water stress. *Plant Physiology* 132: 1698-1706.

510 Timsina, J., Garrity, D.P. and Pandey, R.K. 1993. Cowpea relations and growth response on a
511 toposequence water table gradient. *Agronomy Journal* 85: 368-378.

512 Tuong T.P and Bouman, B.A.M. 1999. Rice Production in water-scarce Environments.
513 International Rice Research Institute, Manila Philippines 53-67
514 <http://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/publications/CABI>.

515 Tuong T.P. and Bowman, B.A.M. 2003. Enhancing water productivity in ~~Irrigated~~ irrigated
516 ~~Rice~~rice. *Crop Science* 1(4): 23-31.

517 Turner, B. 1977. The Fadama Land of Central Northern Nigeria, their classification,
518 ~~spatial~~spatial variation, present and potential use. Ph.D. Thesis (unpublished). University of
519 London. ~~Vol.~~ pp 297.

520 Venuprasad, R., Cruz, M.T.S., Amante, M., Magbunual, R., Kumar, A. and Atlin, G. 2008.
521 Response to two cycles of divergent selection for grain yield under drought stress in four rice
522 breeding populations. *Field Crops Research* 107: 232-244.
523

524 Wahid, A., Gelani, S., Ashraf, M. and Foolad, M. R. 2007. Heat tolerance in plants: an
525 overview. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 61: 199-223.
526

527 Wang, Z. and Huang, B. 2004. Physiological recovery of Kentucky bluegrass from simultaneous
528 drought and heat stress. *Crop Science* 44: 1729-1736.

529 Wassmann, R., Jagadish, S.V.K., Heuer, S., Ismail, A., Redona, E., Serray, R., Singh, R.K.
530 Howell, G., Pathak, H. and Sunfleth, K., 2009. ~~climate~~Climate change affecting rice production.
531 The physiological and agronomic basis for possible adaptation strategies. *Advances in*
532 *Agronomy* 101: 52-122.

533 White, E.M. and Wilson, F.E.A. 2006. Responses of grain yield, biomass and harvest index and
534 their rates of genetic progress to nitrogen availability in ten winter wheat varieties. *Irish Journal*
535 *of Agriculture and Food Research* 45: 85-101.

536 Yoshida, S. 1981. Fundamentals of rice crop science. Los Banos, Philippines, IRRI, pp. 269.
537

538 Zhu, J., Kaeppler, S.M. and Lynch, T.P. 2005. Topsoil foraging and phosphorus acquisition
539 efficiency in maize (*Zea mays*). *Functional Plant Biology* 32: 749-762.

540 Zinsemeier, C. Joeng, B.R and Boyer, J.S. (1999). Starch and the control of kernel number in
541 maize at low water potential. *Plant Physiology* 121: 25-36.

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

Formatted: Justified

549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557

558
559
560
561
562

563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585

Table 1. Growth and yield parameters of rice varieties grown in residual soil moisture in the dry season in an inland valley swamp (December 2010 - May 2011)

Parameters	Rice varieties				LSD (0.05)
	Ekpoma (upland)	Nerica 4 (upland)	Nerica 1 (lowland)	Nerica 2 (lowland)	
Root dry weight (g)	3.07	0.94	0.74	0.57	0.40
Total No of roots	145.50	100.41	73.76	71.41	15.21
Total root length (cm)	723.04	291.17	302.22	288.18	43.24
Shoot dry weight (g)	4.17	1.55	1.20	1.08	0.54
Total plant fresh biomass (g)	18.13	13.99	17.59	14.86	4.60
Plant height (cm)	80.24	75.17	77.05	74.91	3.62
Stem girth (cm)	0.45	0.37	0.32	0.30	0.02
No of leaves@ 50% flowering	6.17	4.60	5.55	5.02	1.42
Leaf area / plant (cm ²)	70.25	53.88	41.01	42.30	24.62
Length of flag leaf (cm)	34.08	28.51	25.64	25.23	7.63
Width of flag leaf (cm)	1.82	1.53	1.39	1.26	0.08
No of productive tillers / plant	4.09	3.64	2.71	2.57	0.21
Heading date (50% flowering)	100	65	65	65	----
No of spikes / panicle	10.7	10.4	10.5	8.9	1.52
No of spikelets / panicle	111.55	83.45	67.55	63.50	18.7
Panicle weight / plant (g)	173	157	163	141	21.03
Seed weight / panicle (g)	3.40	2.46	1.91	2.10	0.36
Seed yield (t/ha)	1.70	1.23	0.96	1.05	0.21
100 seed weight / plant (g)	3.55	2.99	3.41	3.31	0.06
Harvest index (HI)	5.3	5.7	8.2	7.6	2.03

Comment [S5]: Please make a real table. All tables would be corrected.

586

587

588

589 Table 2. Growth and yield parameters of four rice varieties under full irrigation in the dry season
 590 in an inland valley swamp (January 2011 – May 2011).
 591

592

Rice varieties

593

Ekpoma Nerica 4 Nerica 1 Nerica 2 LSD (0.05)

594

(upland) (upland) (lowland) (lowland)

595 Parameters

596

Parameters	Ekpoma (upland)	Nerica 4 (upland)	Nerica 1 (lowland)	Nerica 2 (lowland)	LSD (0.05)
Root dry weight (g)	13.0	3.02	5.81	5.17	0.40
Total number of roots	400.1	352.3	208.9	209.3	15.21
Total root length (cm)	1680.9	1030.2	1079.8	1064.8	43.24
Shoot dry weight (g)	14.00	3.49	6.58	6.08	0.54
Total plant fresh biomass (g)	108.53	100.0	100.12	106.30	4.60
Plant height (cm)	127.9	106.9	88.8	70.2	11.9
Stem girth (cm)	0.5	0.42	0.27	0.28	0.02
No of leaves@ 50% flowering	15.43	14.95	10.48	11.10	1.42
Leaf area / plant (cm ²)	112.54	78.45	57.79	55.60	24.62
Length of flag leaf (cm)	40.74	35.36	30.36	31.74	2.74
Width of flag leaf (cm)	2.17	1.76	1.58	1.55	0.29
No of tillers/plant	4.40	3.93	3.75	3.78	0.21
Heading date (50% flowering)	100	73	70	71	----
No of spikes / panicle	13.4	11.6	12.4	10.7	1.55
No of spikelets / panicle	155.60	110.10	94.5	93.8	28.70
Panicle weight / plant (g)	275.4	233.7	268.2	236.8	23.4
Seed weight / panicle (g)	9.21	6.10	5.17	4.99	0.36
Seed yield (t/ha)	4.61	3.05	2.59	2.50	0.43
100 seed weight / plant (g)	3.50	3.22	3.22	3.09	0.30
Harvest index (HI)	8.6	9.1	9.3	8.8	1.17

619

620

621

622 Table 3. Growth and yield of the upland and lowland rice varieties for December and January
 623 sowing dates

Sowing dates	Root biomass (g)	Shoot biomass (g)	Leaf area (cm ²)	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers per stand	Panicle weight (g)	100 seed weight (g)	Seed weight per plant (g)	Harvest index
December sowing date									
Upland varieties	2.1	2.8	68	79	3.8	223.3	3.3	2.9	6.95
Lowland varieties	1.3	1.7	42	76	2.6	195.4	3.4	2.0	7.40
LSD (0.05)	0.42	0.46	7.6	4.1	0.54	21.7	0.43	0.46	0.35
January sowing date									
Upland varieties	9.0	9.5	95.3	118.2	4.2	215.6	3.3	7.6	8.75
Lowland varieties	5.5	6.8	58.2	79.7	3.8	189.7	3.2	5.1	8.21
LSD (0.05)	2.7	2.3	17.7	22.6	0.33	14.8	0.31	1.63	0.71

624

625

626

627

628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647

Table 4. Growth and yield of rice for December (first) and January (second) sowing dates

Sowing	Shoot biomass (g)	Plant height (cm)	Leaf area (cm2)	Panicle weight (g)	Seed weight per panicle (g)	Number of seeds per panicle	100 seed weight (g)	Seed yield (t/ha)	Harvest index	Total water applied (mm)	Seasonal sum of soil moisture storage (mm)	Water use efficie- ncy (mm/Kg)
First	79.30	76.84	51.9	0.15	2.47	10.8	3.32	1.24	6.7	40	186	0.031
Second	103.74	103.42	76.1	0.26	6.37	11.4	3.26	3.19	8.9	60	223	0.053
LSD (0.05)	9.31	12.42	9.7	0.05	0.8	0.04	0.03	0.67	1.43	----	----	0.003

648

649

650 Table 5. Association of some weather factors with growth and yield characters of rice during its
 651 growth.

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

Parameters	Regression equations	R ²
<i>Seed weight and CWSI: First</i>	$y = -13.28x + 6.4$	0.94
Second	$y = -3.93\ln(x) + 1.31$	0.92
<i>100-seed weight and CWSI: First</i>	$y = -37.9x + 21.6$	0.95
Second	$y = 3.754x^{-0.72}$	0.81
<i>Seed weight and vpd: First</i>	$y = -4.251x + 6.4$	0.94
Second	$y = 1403.5e^{-0.02x}$	0.64
<i>100-seed weight and vpd: First</i>	$y = 5182.x^{-5.36}$	0.92
Second	$y = -21.08e^{0.412x}$	0.40
<i>Panicle weight and vpd First</i>	$y = 123.7e^{-1.93x}$	0.90
Second	$y = 21.08e^{0.412x}$	0.57
<i>Leaf area and Thermal time:First</i>	$y = 123.7e^{-1.93x}$	0.90
Second	$y = -2353\ln(x) + 179$	0.73
<i>Seed weight and T minimum: Rainy</i>	$y = 0.103x^2 - 29.14x + 1472$	0.53
Second	$y = 855.8e^{-0.22x}$	0.64
<i>Panicle weight and T minimum: Rainy</i>	$y = -110\ln(x) + 347.8$	0.40
Second	$y = 0.01x^2 - 2.23x + 150.7$	0.71




Fig. 1a. Some meteorological variables at site of experiment






Fig. 1b. Pattern of Eo, vpd & Temperature during rice growth (rainfed crop)

681
682



683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691



692
693
694

695
696




Fig. 2b. Pattern of soil moisture depletion during rice growth (Rainfed Expt)

697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708





Fig. 3a. Pattern of soil moisture contents during rice growth (irrigation Expt)

709
710
711
712

713



714