

Application of Phosphorus Fertilizer on Soybean {(*Glycine max* L. (Merril)) Inoculated with *Rhizobium* and its Economic Implication to Farmers

Author details: Ahiabor B. D. K1, Lamptey S 2*, Yeboah S3 and Vitus B2

1CSIR -Savanna Agricultural Research Institute

P. O. Box 52, Tamale, Ghana

2Department of Agronomy, University for Development Studies

P. O. Box TL 1882, Tamale, Ghana

3CSIR-Crops Research Institute

P. O. Box 3785, Kumasi, Ghana

Corresponding Author: E-mail: naalamp2009@yahoo.com

naalamp2009@yahoo.com

Abstract

The experiment was conducted during the 2012 farming season at the University for Development Studies, Nyankpala in the Northern Guinea savannah ecological zone. The objective of the study was to determine the influence of *Rhizobium* inoculants and phosphorus (P) at different application rates on yield and yield components of soybean and also determine the economically optimal rate of phosphorus fertilization for soybean production in the Guinea savanna ecology. Two levels of inoculation regimes (un-inoculated (-In) and inoculated (+In)) were combined with three application rates of phosphorus and a control (0 kg P/ha, 15 kg P/ha, 30 kg P/ha and 45 kg P/ha). The experiment was laid in a 2 x 4 factorial arranged in Randomized Complete Block design with three replications. Parameters measured were crop emergence, plant height, canopy spread, number and weight of nodules, number of pods and total grain yield. The results obtained indicated significant differences in all the parameters measured. Phosphorus application at 45 kg P/ha plus *Rhizobium* inoculants recorded significantly higher grain yield than the rest of the treatments. The economic analysis of the treatments also showed that combination of inoculation of soybean seeds with 45 kg P/ha was more profitable than the application of 45 kg P/ha without inoculation. The study recommends inoculation of soybean seeds with *Rhizobium* inoculants and the application of phosphorus fertilizer at 45 kg P/ha.

Keywords: Inoculation, phosphorus rates, Yaralegume, Grain yield

1. Introduction


38 Soybean (*Glycine max* L. (Merrill)) is one of the important oil grain legume crops in the
39 world. In the international world trade market, soybean is ranked number one in the world
40 among the major oil crops such as rapeseed, groundnut, cotton seed, sunflower, linseed,
41 sesame and safflower [1]. Soybean is the cheapest protein source as compared to other
42 protein sources such as egg, fish and meat. With an average protein content of 20% on dry
43 matter basis, soybean has the highest protein content of all field crops and is second only to
44 groundnut in terms of oil content among the food legumes [2] and [3]. The low availability of
45 phosphorus nutrition in soils has become the limiting factor for plant and root growth [4], [5]
46 and [6]. Phosphorus has a key role in the energy metabolism of all plant cells and particularly
47 in nitrogen fixation as an energy requiring process [7]. Soybean can restore soil fertility by
48 building up the soil nitrogen through biological nitrogen fixation. According to [8], the
49 efficiency of *Rhizobium* is influenced by the availability of phosphorus in the soil as it is
50 directly involved in growth. Phosphorus deficiency can limit nodulation by legumes however,
51 phosphorus fertilizer application can overcome the deficiency [9]. Availability of phosphorus
52 in the soil influences the efficiency of *Rhizobium* that fixes atmospheric nitrogen in
53 association with nodulating legumes as it is directly involved in biological nitrogen fixation
54 via legume-*Rhizobium* symbiosis. This is attracting considerable research attention world-
55 wide due to its economic viability for resource poor farmers and environmental friendliness
56 [10], [11] and [12]. Responses to inoculation in research experiments have shown that
57 inoculation is justified especially in soil deprive of legume cultivation for many years [13].
58 Inoculation of soybean with the appropriate rhizobia bacteria provides high numbers of viable
59 effective rhizobia to the rhizosphere to allow rapid colonization and nodulation [14] and [15].
60 Inoculation of soybean by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* significantly increased nodulation and
61 yield [16]. Nodules are strong sink of phosphorus reaching concentrations three fold higher
62 than in other organs [17]. Determining the fertilizer-output relationship can provide a means
63 to proper fertilizer management by selecting economically optimal rates of fertilization that
64 have direct implications on crop profitability. Optimum fertilizer rate is determined by the
65 farmer's preference of marginal net return. In Northern Ghana, where the largest production
66 of soybean occurs in the country, the average yield is about 2.5 tonnes/ha [18] as compared to
67 that of USA which is 4.6 tonnes/ha [19]. The low yields can be attributed to soils which are
68 inherently poor and deficient in organic matter and other vital nutrients such as phosphorus
69 and nitrogen. Available phosphorus is found to be as low as 6.0 mg/kg soil [20]. The
70 objective of this study was therefore to assess the effect of phosphorus at different application
71 rates and *Rhizobium* inoculants on the growth and yield of soybean and also determined the

72 economically optimal rate of phosphorus fertilization for soybean production in the Guinea
73 savanna ecology.

74 2. Materials and Methods

75 2.1 Site description

76 The experiment was conducted on the experimental field of the University for Development
77 Studies, Nyankpala (09°24'15.9''N; 01°00'12.1''W) in the Northern Guinea savannah
78 ecological zone during the 2012 cropping season. The study area has an Alfisol soil under the
79 United State Development Agency system of classification and a FAO system or savanna
80 Ochrosol, Ghana soil system. The soil is moderately drained sandy loam and brown, free
81 from concretions, very shallow with a hard pan underneath the top few centimetres,
82 developed from the Voltaian sandstone and classified as Nyankpala Series (Plinthic Acrisol).
83 The area experiences a unimodal annual rainfall of 1000 mm-1200 mm from April to
84 November. The temperature distribution is uniform with mean monthly minimum and
85 maximum values of 21⁰C and 34.1⁰C, respectively and a relative humidity of 53%-80% [21].



86

87 Figure1. Total rainfall and number of rainy days from July to September, 2012

88 2.2 Experimental design

89 A 2 x 4 factorial experiment consisting of two inoculation regimes and four application rates
90 of phosphorus fertilizer were laid out in a Randomized Complete Block design with three
91 replications. The P treatments were 0 kg P/ha, 15 kg P/ha, 30 kg P/ha and 45 kg P/ha from
92 Yaralegume fertilizer with or without inoculants. The complete treatment combination were

F₁V₀: un-inoculated soybean seeds at 0kgP/ha, F₁V₁: inoculated soybean seeds at 0kgP/ha,
F₂V₀: un-inoculated soybean seeds at 15kgP/ha, F₂V₁: inoculated soybean seeds at 15kgP/ha,
F₃V₀: un-inoculated soybean seeds at 30kgP/ha, F₃V₁: inoculated soybean seeds at 30kgP/ha,
F₄V₀: un-inoculated soybean seeds at 45kgP/ha and F₄V₁: inoculated soybean seeds at
45kgP/ha. Phosphorus was applied two weeks after sowing by burying it in a trench dug about
5 cm away from the plant. The plot size was 2.5 m x 2.5 m.

2.3 Seed Acquisition and Sowing

Improved soybean variety, Jenguma which was obtained from Savannah Agricultural
Research Centre, was used for the execution of the experiment. It is an improved soybean
variety with a very high shattering resistance and a maturity period of 115 days. It has a
potential yield of 2.0 t/ha and is very rich in protein and oil with a ratio of 2: 1. Jenguma has
a protein content of 0.57% (dry weight basis) and fat/oil content of 0.39% (dry weight basis).
For the inoculated treatments, the seeds of Jenguma were inoculated with commercial
Rhizobium inoculants, *Legumefix* at the rate of 1 kg seed per 5 g of inoculants [22] before
sowing. The soybean seeds were put in a plastic pail and moistened with ordinary tap water
and then stirred uniformly with a wooden spatula. The inoculants were added to the
moistened seed in the container and again stirred gently and uniformly until the seeds were
evenly coated. The seeds were then spread on a sheet of canvas material under a shade to air-
dry for at least one hour to allow the inoculants to adequately adhere to the surface of the
seeds. The sowing was done early in the morning to avoid exposing the inoculants to the
direct rays of the sun which might affect the quality of the inoculants. Sowing was done at
three seeds per hill at a distance of 50 cm between ridges and 10 cm within ridges. In order to
avoid contamination of the un-inoculated treatments with the inoculants that might get stuck
to the hand during sowing, the un-inoculated seeds were sown before the inoculated ones.
The plants were allowed to grow to maturity under rain-fed conditions while the necessary
management practices like weeding were observed.

2.4 Data collection

Data were measured on the following Crop Variables

2.4.1 Crop Establishment

Percent crop establishment was determined three weeks after sowing by counting the emerged plants in the four inner ridges and dividing the number by the expected number of plants (i.e. number of seeds sown per the four hills) multiplied by 100.

2.4.2 Plant Height

Plant heights were measured in the third, sixth and ninth weeks after planting (3 WAP, 6 WAP and 9 WAP). A meter rule was used to take the height of each of the eight plants randomly selected and tagged from each of the four inner ridges (two plants per ridge), respectively. Measurement of the selected plants were done from the base of the plant (ground level) to the top of the main stem. The readings from each plot were averaged and recorded.

2.4.3 Canopy Spread

Canopy spread of the eight plants that were selectively tagged for height measurements were also measured from the last leaf on one side of the plant to the last leaf on the other side of the same plant using a measuring tape. This measurement was done at the same times and intervals as plant heights were measured and averaged.

2.4.4 Shoot biomass and Nodulation

For the assessment of shoot biomass and nodulation, eight plants which had been tagged for plant height measurements were sampled at full pod stage (R4 stage) by gently pulling them out of the ground after carefully loosening the soil around the plants with a cutlass before pulling them out gently with the hand making sure to collect the few nodules that got detached from the roots in the process. The shoots from each plot were then separated from the root system, bulked and weighed on a digital scale after which they were air-dried for one day followed by oven-drying at 80°C for 48 hours (to a constant weight) and the weights recorded. The nodules were detached from the roots, counted, weighed and then oven-dried at 70°C for 48 hours and the weights recorded.

2.4.5 Number of Pods and Grain Yield

At harvest, all the pods from the plants of the two innermost ridges of each plot were harvested manually and counted after which they were sun-dried in the glass house for 24hours followed by oven- drying at 80°C for 48hours and weighed on a digital scale. The

dried pods were threshed and winnowed to separate the grains from the husk and the former weighed to obtain the grain yield.

2.4.6 Economic Analysis

Partial budget analyses for all the treatments were also carried out.

2.5 Data analysis

Data were subjected to a two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) using the computer statistical package Genstat (2008 Edition) and treatment means compared using the least significance difference (LSD) at 5% probability level.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Percent crop establishment

Crop establishment was seen to be significantly better in inoculated plots than in uninoculated plots and this was observed irrespective of inoculation regime though the effects due to higher P application rates were more pronounced in inoculated treatments (Table 2). There was therefore an interaction effect between P fertilizer application rate and *Rhizobium* inoculation resulting in the highest percent crop establishment being observed in treatments with joint applications of 45 kg P/ha and *Rhizobium* inoculants. This observation confirms findings by [23] who intimated that increased plant establishment from seeds appears to be a result of improved soil productivity due to bacterial activity and available nutrients. Increases in whole plant growth in response to phosphorus supply have been reported in soybean [24], cowpea [25] and groundnut [26]. With *Rhizobium* inoculation showing a better crop establishment than without inoculation in the 0 kg P/ha treatment, the improved establishment could be attributed to rhizobial activity and perhaps also to a vigorous germination and growth of the seeds used.

Table 1: Effect of phosphorus fertilizer application at different rates and *Rhizobium* inoculant on plant establishment

Treatment	Mean

0 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	48.0b
0 kgP/ha + Inoculant	58.0b
15 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	53.2b
15 kgP/ha + Inoculant	70.2b
30 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	61.2b
30 kgP/ha + Inoculant	80.2ab
45 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	67.8b
45 kgP/ha + Inoculant	97.0ab
LSD (5%)	27.41
CV%	27.8

3.2 Plant height

The application of phosphorus fertilizer and *Rhizobium* inoculants impacted on the growth of soybean when compared to the non-phosphorus and non-*Rhizobium* treatments (Table 2). As expected, the plants grew taller with time within a fertilizer application regime, especially when inoculated (Table 2). Irrespective of growth stage, plants fertilized with 45 kg P/ha and inoculated grew tallest (51.8 cm). The current study confirms other research findings by [27] and [28] that increased levels of phosphorus significantly increased plant height. Also, [29] observed that *Rhizobium* inoculation increased plant height. [30] reported incidences of increased plant height due to interactions between phosphorus fertilizer application and *Rhizobium* inoculants whereas [31] observed that over-supply of phosphorus resulted in decreases in plant growth, nodulation and acetylene reduction in soybean.

Table 2: Effect of phosphorus fertilizer application at different rates and *Rhizobium* inoculant on plant height (cm)

Treatment	3WAP	6WAP	9WAP
0 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	9.39b	14.73b	30.18b
0 kgP/ha + Inoculant	12.38b	26.49ab	39.68ab
15 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	12.42b	18.64b	35.20ab

15 kgP/ha + Inoculant	15.18ab	28.97ab	43.87ab
30 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	15.70ab	22.96ab	39.69ab
30 kgP/ha + Inoculant	20.71ab	30.55ab	47.97a
45 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	20.11ab	27.49ab	44.69ab
45 kgP/ha + Inoculant	24.37a	32.88ab	51.80a
LSD (5%)	3.127	3.395	2.781
CV%	13.1	9.1	4.5

202

203 3.3 Canopy spread

204 Phosphorus application rate, *Rhizobium* inoculation and their interaction significantly
205 affected canopy spread of soybean plants (Table 3). Phosphorus application rate of 45 kg
206 P/ha with *Rhizobium* inoculation recorded the highest canopy spread at 3, 6 and 9 WAP
207 compared to the spreads at 15 kg P/ha and 30 kg P/ha 9 WAP. The above results are in line
208 with the findings of [32] that application of phosphorus significantly increased most of the
209 soybean growth parameters.

210

211 Table 3: Effect of phosphorus fertilizer application at different rates and *Rhizobium* inoculant
212 on canopy spread


Treatment	3WAP	6WAP	9WAP
0 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	9.12b	18.53b	26.23
0 kgP/ha + Inoculant	12.68ab	29.57a	37.28a
15 kgP/ha + un-inoculant	12.12b	24.39ab	31.50ab
15 P ₂ O ₅ kg/ha + Inoculant	15.73ab	34.20ab	43.99ab
30 kgP/ha +un-inoculant	16.34ab	32.51ab	39.58ab
30 kgP/ha + Inoculant	19.44ab	39.65ab	49.25a
45 kgP/ha +un-inoculant	20.43ab	39.96ab	45.08ab
45 kgP/ha + Inoculant	24.12a	45.84a	56.58a

LSD (5%)	3.12	3.78	4.27
CV(%)	13.1	7.8	7.1

213

214 3.4 Shoot biomass

215 Shoot biomass per plot was significantly influenced by *Rhizobium* inoculations and
 216 increasing fertilizer rates (Figure 2). The highest shoot biomass was obtained in inoculated
 217 plants fertilized with 45 kg P/ha whilst plants that received no P application with or without
 218 inoculation produced the lowest shoots biomass. Across the P application rates, inoculation
 219 increased soybean shoot dry weight by a significant average of 30% over the uninoculated
 220 plants (Figure 2). Shoot biomass increased with increasing application of phosphorus +
 221 *Rhizobium* inoculants. Phosphorus at 45 kg P/ha + *Rhizobium* inoculant recorded the highest
 222 shoot biomass per plot as compared to 15 kg P/ha + *Rhizobium* inoculants which recorded the
 223 least with regards to phosphorus and *Rhizobium* inoculants. With the sole fertilizer
 224 treatments, shoot biomass increased with increasing phosphorus rates with 45 kg P/ha
 225 recording the highest. At low phosphorus application, the straws yield was lower than
 226 treatments that received the highest amount. In an earlier work, the authors reported of
 227 similar increases in shoot biomass of soybean as a result of increased rates of P from different
 228 fertilizer sources [33]. The significant increase in shoot biomass due to increased P
 229 application rates in the current study also agrees with [34] who reported of a 20.7% increase
 230 in biomass yield due to phosphorus application.



231

Figure 2: Influence of *Rhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer application rate on shoot dry biomass of soybean at full pod stage. *Bars represent standard errors*

3.5 Nodulation

Nodule number was significantly higher in inoculated plants and increased P application rates (Figure 3). Nodule formation in inoculated plants increased by 28%, 39% and 56% by applying 15, 30 and 45 kg P/ha, respectively compared to nodulation in the unfertilized plants. Inoculation effect was greatest (80%) in the unfertilized treatments compared to 64%, 32% and 30% increases in the 15, 30 and 45 kg P/ha treatments, respectively (Figure 3). This suggests that *Rhizobium* inoculation influenced nodulation more positively than P fertilizer. [35] demonstrated from pot studies that nodulation and N₂ fixation of promiscuous soybean may be increased by inoculation with effective *Bradyrhizobia*. [36] attributed the increase in nodulation to the competitive ability of *Bradyrhizobium* used, a result which was confirmed by [37].




Figure 3: Influence of *Rhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer application rate on number of nodules per plant at full pod stage of soybean. *Bars represent standard errors*

3.6 Nodule biomass

Nodule biomass production was enhanced significantly by inoculating soybean with *Rhizobium* inoculants whether the crop was fertilized with phosphorus or not (Figure 4). The effect of inoculation was, however, greater in the presence of P and also when higher rates of

P were applied but the highest percent increase (105%) in biomass due to inoculation occurred with P rate of 30 kg/ha. The other increases were 43%, 78% and 88% for 0 kg P, 15 kg P and 45 kg P per hectare, respectively. Figure 4 reveals that in un-inoculated plants the highest biomass of 1.6 g/plant was obtained at 45 kg P/ha application rate but a co-application of inoculants and only 15 kg P/ha was able to produce the same nodulation effect. This means that with respect to nodule biomass (which is an index of biological N fixation if all nodules are active), application of 250 g of inoculants (inoculation rate used in this study was equivalent to 250 g inoculants/ha) could make savings equivalent to 30 kg P (i. e. 381.7 kg YaraLégume) in this study. The positive effect of *Rhizobium* inoculation on nodulation has been confirmed by [38] who recorded a significant increase in nodulation by native soil *Rhizobium* population in single inoculations conducted on a legume. Phosphorus is also known to initiate nodules formation, increase the number of nodule primordial and is essential for the development and functioning of formed nodules [39] and [40]. Several researches have reported that the supply of phosphorus plays important roles in the establishment, growth and function of nodules [32], [41] and [42]. Both figures 3 and 4 show that the interactive application of phosphorus and *Rhizobium* inoculants had a greater impact on nodulation than either treatment alone.




Figure 4: Influence of *Rhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer application rate on nodule biomass of soybean at full pod stage. Bars represent standard errors

3.7 Pod production

The number and weight of pods produced by soybean in response to applications with P fertilizer and *Rhizobium inoculants* are shown in Table 4. *Rhizobium* inoculation remarkably increased both pod number and pod weight but whereas the increases due to inoculation were observed at all the P application rates in the former (Table 4), there was no effect of inoculation on pod weight at 15 kg P/ha rate. Also, whether plants were inoculated or not, pod production generally increased with increasing rates of P application which agrees with [43] and [28] who reported high numbers of pods per plant in response to high doses of phosphorus. [44] reported that a mixed inoculation improved the productivity of soybean when compared to the control treatment. Increased numbers of pods per plant in response to inoculation were also reported by [45] and [29]. The increases in pod weight at the different increasing rates of P plus *Rhizobium* inoculation may have been due to enhanced stimulation of pod filling in such treatments as confirmed by [29] when they reported of significant high numbers of seeds per pod when 100 kg P was applied in contrast to minimum numbers of seeds where no P was applied

Table 4: Effect of phosphorus fertilizer application at different rates and *Rhizobium* inoculant on number of pod

Treatment.	Mean
------------	------

0kgP/ha + un-inoculant	24.0b	292
0kgP/ha + Inoculant	41.8a	293
15P ₂ O ₅ kg/ha + un-inoculant	32.8b	294
15kgP/ha + Inoculant	43.8a	295
30kgP/ha + un-inoculant	42.2a	296
30kgP/ha + Inoculant	62.2a	297
45kgP/ha + un-inoculant	54.8a	298
45kgP/ha + Inoculant	71.8a	299
LSD (5%)	13.24	300
CV%	19.0	

301

302 3.8 Pod weight

303 There were significant difference ($p < 0.05$) among varied phosphorus rate, *Rhizobium*
304 inoculants and the interaction between phosphorus rates and *Rhizobium* inoculants on pod
305 weight (Table 5). Different rates of phosphorus fertilizer plus *Rhizobium* inoculant stimulated
306 pod filling and thereby improved the pod weight as the phosphorus level progressively
307 increased. Phosphorus at 45 kg P ha⁻¹ + *Rhizobium* inoculant recorded the highest pod weight
308 as compared to phosphorus at 15 kg P/ha which recorded the least. The current study agrees
309 with the findings of [46] and [29] who also significantly recorded the highest number of
310 seeds per pod produced when 100 kg P ha⁻¹ was applied and minimum number was recorded
311 where no phosphorus was applied. Similar results were obtained by [47] who reported of
312 significant effects of phosphorus rates on harvest index of soybeans.

313

314

315 Table 5: Effect of phosphorus fertilizer application at different rates and *Rhizobium* inoculant
316 on pod weight

Treatment	Mean
0kgP/ha + un-inoculant	6.15b
0kgP/ha + Inoculant	7.93b
15kgP/ha + un-inoculant	10.40ab
15kgP/ha + Inoculant	10.34ab
30kgP/ha + un-inoculant	10.62ab
30kgP/ha + Inoculant	13.53ab
45kg/ha + un-inoculant	14.53ab
45kgP/ha + Inoculant	18.50a
LSD (5%)	3.001
CV (%)	17.7

317

318 3.9 Total grain yield

319 Similar to pod production, grain yield of soybean was significantly influenced by varied
320 phosphorus rates, *Rhizobium* inoculation (Figure 4). The grain yield increased significantly at
321 every higher rate of P application from 0 to 45 kg/ha with or without inoculation. Phosphorus
322 application at 45 kg P/ha plus *Rhizobium* inoculant recorded the highest grain yield of 1955
323 kg/ha compared to 897 kg grain per hectare in the unfertilized inoculated treatment which
324 constitutes a yield increase of 118% (Figure 4). However, though inoculation enhanced grain
325 yield at every P application rate, within the P application regimes *Rhizobium* inoculation
326 caused the highest percent grain yield increase (25%) at 15 kg P/ha. Also, these results are in
327 agreement with [48] who concluded that phosphorus and inoculation induced a pronounced
328 effect on grain yield. [45] reported significant increases in grain yield with *Rhizobium*
329 inoculation.




Figure 5: Influence of *Rhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer application rates on grain yield of soybean. Bars represent standard errors

3.10 Economic analysis

Information on costs and benefits of treatments is a prerequisite for adoption of technical innovation by farmers [49]. The studies assess the economic benefits of the treatments to help develop recommendation from the agronomic data. This enhances selection of the right combination of resources by farmers in the study area. The results in this study indicated that the inoculated treatments resulted in higher net benefits than the uninoculated treatments for all P fertilizer treatments (Table 6). The implications are that farmers will be better off inoculating soybeans seeds before planting as it will improve incomes of farmers through increased grain yields. The partial budget analysis showed that the application of 45 kg P/ha for both inoculated and uninoculated treatments yielded higher net benefits than all the treatments. The control (no fertilizer) treatment also produced the lowest net benefit for both the inoculated and un-inoculated treatments. This implies that farmers would be better off inoculating their soybean in combination with application of 45 kg P/ha as these increase soybean yields and thus increase farmers' income.

Table 6: Partial budget for inoculated and un-inoculated soybean seeds under four different treatments

ITEM	TREATMENT							
	Inoculated				Un-inoculated			
	15 kg P/ha	30 kg P/ha	45kg P/ha	0 kg P/ha	15 kg P/ha	30 kg P/ha	45kg P/ha	0 kg P/ha
Average yield (kg/ha)	1230	1640	1955	897	984	1350	1580	738
Adjusted yield (kg/ha) ¹	1107	1476	1759	807	885	1215	1422	664
Gross field benefit (C) ²	2656	3542	4222	1937	2125	2916	3412	1594
Cost of Yaralegume (C/ha)	16	33	48	0	16	33	48	0
Cost of inoculation (C/ha)	15	15	15	15	0	0	0	0
Total cost that vary (C)	31	48	63	15	16	33	48	0
Net benefit (C)	2625	3494	4159	1922	2109	2883	3364	1594

¹ Average yield adjusted 10% downwards; Farm gate price of soybean as at December 2012= GH

¢2.4 per kg; Price of 50 kg of Yaralegume as at December, 2012 = GH ¢50.00 and price of inoculants

= GH ¢15.00/ha.

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

Growth and development parameters of the soybean measured increased with increasing rates of phosphorus fertilizer coupled with *Rhizobium* inoculation. Generally, plants that received phosphorus fertilizer performed better than plants that were not fertilized as well as than plants that received inoculation only. However, the positive effects of P fertilizer application on growth and grain yield of soybean in this study were significantly boosted in the presence of *Rhizobium* inoculants. The growth and grain yield enhancements observed in this investigation may be attributed to an increased symbiotic relationship of rhizobia (bacteria) with the roots of leguminous crops. This reflected in increased nodulation resulting in the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen into the roots of soybean which was favored by increased P nutrition. From the results of the economic analysis conducted, this study therefore

recommends that farmers inoculate their soybean seeds with *Rhizobium* and apply phosphorus fertilizer at 45 kg P/ha to maximize their grain yield and their farm income.

References

1. Chung G, Singh RJ. Broadening the Genetic Base of Soybean: A Multidisciplinary Approach Critical Rev. in Pl. Sci. 2008; 27: 295–341pp.
2. Frankel FN. Soybean oil flavour stability. In: Erikson, d.r., pryde, E. H., Brekke, O. L., Mont, T. L., Falk, R. A. (Eds), Handbook of soy oil processing and Utilization American Soybean Association, St. Louis. 1980; 229-244 pp.
3. Singh RR, Rachie KO. Introduction to soybeans. In: soybean for the tropics: Research, production and Utilization. Sing, S. R., Rachie, K. O. and Dashiell, K. (eds), Chichester, John Wiley and Sons Publishing Ltd: (1987). 15-20pp.
4. Okada K, Kondo M, Ando H, Kakuda KI. Phosphorus Application Affects Root Length Distribution and Water Uptake of Upland Rice in a Column Experiment,” Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2004; 50:257-261pp.
5. Zafar M, Abbasi M, Rahim N, Khaliq A, Shaheen A, Jamil M, Shahid M, Influence of Integrated Phosphorus Supply and Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria on Growth, Nodulation, Yield and Nutrient Uptake in *Phaseolus vulgaris*,” African Journal of Biotechnology. 2011; 10 (74), 16793-16807pp.
6. Othman WMW, Lie T, Mannetje L, Wassink G. Low Level Phosphorus Supply Affecting Nodulation, N₂ Fixation and Growth of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp),” Plant and Soil. 1991;135 (1) 67-74pp.
7. Dilworth MJ. Dinitrogen fixation, Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 1974; 25:181-214pp
8. Fatima Z, Zia M, Chaudhary MF. Interactive effect of *Rhizobium* strains and P on soybean yield, nitrogen fixation and soil fertility. Pak J. Bot. 2007;39:255-264pp.

- 393 9. Langyintuo AS, Lowenberg-D Boer JK, Faye M, Lambart D, IbroMoussa B. Cowpea
394 supply and demand in West and Central Africa I Field Crops Research. 1996; 82: 215-
395 231pp.
- 396 10. Mahamood J., Abayomi YA., Aduloju MO. Comparative growth and grain yield
397 responses of soybean genotypes to phosphorous fertilizer application. Department of
398 Agronomy, University of Ilorin, P. M. B. 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria. African Journal of
399 Biotechnology. 2008;8(6):1030-1036pp.
- 400 11. Awuku KA. Agriculture and Environmental Studies. Rebl. Evans Brothers Ltd.
401 London. 1991; 344pp.
- 402 12. Richard JD, Gerub LJ, Anlgren HL. Crop protection, 5th end. Prentice-Hall Inc. Engle
403 wood cliffs New Jersey. 1984; pp.252-279
- 404 13. Thao TY. Need for and benefits of soybean inoculation of the South Vietnam.
405 Proceedings of National Conference of Soybean, VASI-CSIRO (Vietnam-Australia),
406 Hanoi. 2001; 255p.
- 407 14. Deaker R, Roughley RJ, Kennedy IR. (2004). Legume seed inoculation
408 technology: a review. Soil Biol & Biochem. 2004; 36: 1275-1288pp
- 409 15. Lemus R. Forage News. 2012; 5(9): 3
- 410 16. Okereke GU, Onochie CC. (1996). Screening of native and foreign Bradyrhizobium
411 japonicum strains for N₂-fixation in soybean. World Journal of Microbiology and
412 Biotechnology. 1996;12: 639–641pp.
- 413 17. Vadez V, Lasso J.H, Beck DP, Drevon JJ. Variability of N₂-fixation in common bean
414 (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under P deficiency is related to P use efficiency. Euphytica.
415 1999; 106: 231-242pp.
- 416 18. Awuku KA. Agriculture and Environmental Studies. Rebl. Evans Brothers Ltd.
417 London. 1991; 344pp.

- 418 19. Richard JD, Gerub LJ, Anlgren H. Crop protection, 5th end. Prentice-Hall Inc. Engle
419 wood cliffs New Jersey. 1984; 252-279pp.
- 420 20. Issaka RN, Senayah JK, Adjei EO. Soil Resources of Saboba- Cheriponi District. An
421 assessment for Agricultural production West Africa journal of Applied Ecology.
422 2004; 5: 114pp.
- 423 21. Savannah Agricultural Research Institute. Annual report 2008.
- 424 22. Lamptey S, Ahiabor BDK, Yeboah S and Osei D. Effect of Rhizobium Inoculants
425 and Reproductive Growth Stages on Shoot Biomass and Grain Yield of Soybean
426 (*Glycine max* (L.) Merril). Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014; 6 (5): 44-54pp.
- 427 23. Gunarto L. *Rhizosphere* microbes: their roles and potential. J. Penelitian Dan
428 Pengembangan Pertanian. 2000;19: 39–48pp.
- 429 24. Kwari JD. Soil fertility status in some communities of southern Borno. Final report to
430 Prosab Project, Maiduguri, Nigeria. 2005; 21pp.
- 431 25. Uzoma AO, Osunde AO, Bala A Effect of phosphorus and *Rhizobium* inoculation on
432 the yield and yield components of cowpea breeding lines in Minna. 2006; In
433 Proceedings of 31st Annual Conference of Soil Science Society of Nigeria Zaria.
- 434 26. Rebafka FP, Ndunguru BJ, Marchner H. Single superphosphate depresses
435 molybdenum uptake and limits yield response to phosphorus in groundnut (*Arachis*
436 *hypogaeal*) grown on an acid. 2003;4: 55p.
- 437 27. Tomar SS, Singh R, Singh PS. Response of phosphorus, sulphur and *Rhizobium*
438 inoculation on growth, yield and quality of soybean. Prog. Agric. 2004;4 (1): 72-
439 73pp.

28. Rani BP. Response of soybean to nitrogen and phosphorus application in the black soils of Krishna-Godavari zone of Andhra Pradesh. *Annals Agric. Res.* 1999;20(3): 367-368pp
29. Hernandez M, Cuevas F. The effect of inoculating with *Arbuscular mycorrhiza* and *Radyrhizobium* strains on soybean (*Glycine max (l.) Merrill*) crop development cultivos-tropicales. 2003; 24 (2): 19-21pp.
30. Menaria BL, Singh RK, Nagar P, Singh P. Effect of nutrients and microbial inoculants on growth and yield of soybean (*Glycine max L.*) .*J. Soils and Crops.* 2003;13(1): 14-17pp.
31. Tsveitkova GE, Georgiev GI. Effect of phosphorus nutrition on the nodulation, nitrogen fixation and nutrient use efficiency of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* soybean (*Glycine max L. merrill*) symbiosis. *Bulgarian Journal of Plant Physiology.* 2003;331-335pp.
32. Abbasi MK, Majeed A, Sadiq A, Khan SR. Application of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and phosphorus fertilization improved growth, yield and nodulation of soybean in the sub-humid hilly region of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan. *Plant Prod. Sci.* 2008; 58: 368–376pp.
33. Lamptey S, Ahiabor BDK, Yeboah S, Asamoah C. Response of soybean (*Glycine max*) to Rhizobial inoculation and phosphorus application. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences.* 2014;2(1):72-77.
34. Asia M, Safder A, Shahzad SI. Effect of Phosphorus and potassium on yield and nitrogen fixation by Mash bean. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 2005; 21(4): 667-670pp
35. Kumaga FK, Etu-Bonde K. Response of two promiscuous soybean genotypes to *Bradyrhizobial* inoculation in two Ghanaian soils. *J. Ghana Sci. Assoc.* 2002;vol.2: 99–104pp

36. Okereke GU, Onochie CC, Onukwo, AU , Onyeagba E, Ekejindu, GO. Response of introduced Bradyrhizobium strains infecting a promiscuous soybean Cultivar. World J. Microbiol. Biotech. 2000;16: 43–8
37. Fening JO, Danso SKA. Variation in symbiotic effectiveness of cowpea *Bradyrhizobia* indigenous to Ghanaian soils. Appl. Soil Ecol. 2002; 21: 23–9pp.
38. Elkoca E, Turan M, Donmez MF. Effects of Single, dual and triple Inoculations with *Bacillus subtilis*, *Bacillus megaterium* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum* Bv. *Phaseolus* nodulation, nutrient uptake, yield and yield parameters of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. cv. ‘Elkoca-05’). J. Plant Nutr. 2010; 33: 2104–2119pp
39. Waluyo SH, Lie TA, Mannetje L. Effect of phosphate on nodule primordia of soybean (*Glycine max*) in acid soils in rhizotron experiments. Ind. J. Agric. Sci. 2004;5: 27-44pp
40. Tagoe SO, Horiuchi T, Matsui T. Effects of carbonized and dried chicken manures on the growth, yield, and N content of soybean. Plant Soil. 2008; 306: 211-220pp.
41. FAO. Legume inoculants and their use. 1984; A pocket manual jointly prepared by NIFTAL project, USA.
42. Shu-Jie MS, Yun-Fa, Xiao-Zeng H, An M. Nodule Formation and development in soybeans (*Glycine max* L.) in response to phosphorus supply in solution culture. Pedosphere. 2007;17: 36–43pp
43. Mohan KK, Rao LM. Effect of phosphorus and molybdenum on growth, nitrogen fixation and yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). Angra. J. Research. 1997;25(4): 77-80pp.
44. Konde, VB, Deshmukh RB. Response of chickpea varieties to Rhizobium and VAM inoculation. J. Maharashtra Agri. Univ. 1996; 21: 304–6 (Field Crop Abstracts, 51(1): 426; 1999)

45. Sable S, Sontakey PY, Tagade R, Deotale RD, Manapure P. Effect of *Rhizobium* and molybdenum on quality aspects, yield and yield contributing characters of soybean. J. Soils Crops. 1998;8 (2): 157-159pp.
46. Patel RS, Patel ZG. Effect of farmyard manure, nitrogen, phosphorus and *Rhizobium* inoculation on the growth and yield of gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Ann. Agri. Res. 1991;12: 200–02.
47. Malik M F A, Qureshi AS, Ashraf M, Ghafoor A. Genetic variability of the main yield related characters in soybean. Intl. J. Agric. and Biol. 2006; 8(6): 815-819pp.
48. Stefanescu M, Palanciuc V. Efficiency of bacterial inoculation and mineral nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization in rainfed soybean. Roma. Agric. Res. 2000; 13-14: 75-83pp.
49. Das A, Biswas M, Mandal N. An economic analysis of stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana* Bert.) cultivation through stem cutting and tissue culture propagule in India Trends Agric. Econ. 2010; 3: 216-222