



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_11253
Title of the Manuscript:	The importance of Legumes in the Ethiopian Farming system and Overall Economy: Overview
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is informative and is needed in the literature. However, major revision is needed before doing so, as multiple grammatical and sentence structure problems exist. I would suggest that the authors obtain an objective editor proficient in English to correct the problems. Also, many redundancies abound. However, the major problem with this manuscript is the lack of references. Most of the statements made should or could be supported by a reference or even multiple references, especially in light of that this manuscript is meant to be a Review paper. Lastly, the paper could be strengthened by the use of more graphs, tables, plots, etc. For example, a pie graph could illustrate the types and production of the legumes grown in Ethiopia or a graph could be used showing how household incomes were affected by growing legumes over several years, etc. I do apologize for not having more specific comments, but somehow lost that draft when I saving the original. I do not have the time to go back and re-state every comment. However, that being said, I do look forward to an edited version of this manuscript due to its importance.	All the comments by this reviewer are very constructive and really upgraded the manuscript specially expressing time series data with graphs! you can see how the comments are incorporated in the manuscript edited and availed in your hand!
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Editor: I originally had this paper reviewed via several comments pointing out grammatical and sentence structure errors, etc., line by line for different sections. However, I now cannot find where the review was saved. As I do not have the time to put that much detail into a second review, I only added my general comments as the compulsory revisions.	
---	---	--