SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_12126**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Change of the Microorganisms Quantity in Irrigative Grey-Brown and Grey-Meadow Soils under Vegetable Cultures**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The paper is well and good representing difference between two type of soil. The tables are full of data but no statistical interventions are there. No significant difference between the treatments comes out from the tables.** **Author must place statistical analysis of his presenting data in the tables.** **Based on the statistical analysis and significance level conclusion may create.** **Name of the statistical method which may be used must place in the methods.**	Considered remarks of reviewer
Minor REVISION comments	The Scheme and methods of the paper should be elaborately discussed	
Optional/General comments		