



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_12412
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prospects of Brown Cane Sugar–Cattle Integration for Rural Economic Development in South Sulawesi, Indonesia
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I believe that the manuscript contains all essential parts of a scientific paper. It is within the scope of the journal and it also contains good information about the subject investigated. However, in my opinion the manuscript was not properly written. It contains too many errors . I suggest authors can submit the text to native English speaker and resubmit.	Thank you very much for the error types. The error type due the different version of MS word soft ware. I will sent by MS word 1997-2003. I honestly realized the English is poor, therefore I expect to the journal to correct the manuscript in to perfect English. English is not our mother tongue and the native speaker is not easy to find in our country. I do agree, the editor could make arrangement to fulfil the minimum requirement of the journal
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		