SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Journal of Experimental Agriculture**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_AJEA_14641**
Title of the Manuscript:	**GENETIC VARIABILITY CHARACTERIZATION OF ALUPE NAPIER GRASS ACCESSIONS BASED ON SIMPLE SEQUENCE REPEAT MARKERS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Minor changes as suggested can be done by the author. Regarding discussion part only work done by Wanjala et al. (2013) has been mentioned but not discussed how your finding are at par with his findings and also add more to discussion part as genetic diversity on Napier grass has also been studied by other workers	Suggested changes have been effected in the document
Optional/General comments	The information on the genetic diversity of Napier glass can be used in breeding programs for the selection of better clones.	Suggested changes have been effected in the document