



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">American Journal of Experimental Agriculture</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_14814
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mycelia growth and sporulation of <i>Phytophthora colocasiae</i> isolates under selected conditions</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>I did not understand why auther compared the isolates obtained two different tao cultuvars? Temparature and media which are suitable for phytophthora spp. Are known this study was done to prove the known results it dose not add any new data to present data about this fungus.</p> <p>Light dencity may effect the sporulation but light and dark condition dose not give enough information about light effects on sporulation.</p>	<p>I do not understand what reviewer is writing here. It seems there is problem of language. From what I may try to understand we are trying to look at the best growth media for our isolate and also the optimal growth temperature for the isolate</p> <p>Here also we are looking at light and dark conditions on the growth parameters</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Language should be improved.	That has been done significantly and all changes indicated in yellow.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		