



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15291
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Alternative Housing Systems on Blood Profile of Egg-type Chickens in Humid Tropics
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	in the publication relates to an important problem. The authors analyze the health status of birds in different housing systems;	Yes. The need to investigate the health status of birds as a resukit of housing is very important especially in the tropics.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	work is correctly prepared subject matter of the publication is still valid in many countries it would be good to supplement in the discussion of new publications from other countries, eg .: Ognik K, Czech A., Nowakowicz-Dębek B., Wlazło Ł.: The health status of turkeys against the microclimatic conditions. Afr. J. Agric. Res., vol.8 (27), pp. 544-3551, 2013, DOI: 10.5897/AJAR2013.7169	Parameters of Turkey may be different from egg type chickens. I limited my reviews to chickens only for this work.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Written correct language.	