



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15611
Title of the Manuscript:	VERTICAL DISTRIBUTION OF AVAILABLE NUTRIENTS IN SOME SOILS OF MID CENTRAL TABLE LAND IN AGRO CLIMATIC ZONE OF ODISHA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Vertical distribution of some available nutrient in some soils appeared novel because it shows the gradual nutrient graduation according to how they occurred in the profiles sampled. It also guides planters to know the approximate depths for plants to obtain their nutrients, "everything being equal". However, the following points are to be noted: A. SDI template was not used B. Title: Country was not included, e.g. Odisha, south-western..... C. Abstract should be written in the following order; 1. Brief title of the work 2. Date of the experiment 3. Location 4. Experimental design 5. Brief results and discussion D. Introduction Many references in your introductory section were old, this section should have been done to show : 1. What has been done on the work 2. What left undone and 3. What you want to address or the gap to be filled 4. Aim(s) of the work E. Materials and Methods This section needed more explanation in term of	SDI template is used Country is included Abstract has been improved Introduction has been improved Materials and methods has been improved.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	plot layout, sampling of plots taking of soil samples, laboratory preparation of samples and soil analysis. Separate this section into physical and chemical laboratory analysis. Use more recent USDA and FAO references. Plates are to be used in place of figures 1 and 2. Method/formula used to convert to kg/ha should be stated and explain for future reproduction. Morphological determination should be mentioned. F. Result and Discussion Results should be presented and be discussed for better comprehension and this should be done under each table. G. References: This should be done according to SDI format: References should be bracket [] as they appeared in the text.	Result and discussion has been improved References have been changed as per SDI format.
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Sentences should be examined and corrected by the editorial office. Send to the author the standard SDI format.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		