



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15816
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Endometritis and its associated risk factors in Dairy Cattle of Central Uganda
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors should provide more comparative information in regard to CE and SCE.... In some cases the number of animals tested is too small and make difficult to draw useful recommendations/conclusions.. Thus, (more) references (possibly) from the respective country or/and region, could support the findings provided under this study...	This was the first study of its kind being conducted in the region thus such information doesn't exist to the best of our knowledge. Regarding the sample size, it is seemingly small, but we believe it is significant enough to provide baseline information on the current status and for future studies and projects. We worked 34 dairy farms that had over 1200 heads of cattle but inclusion criteria was for those in the postpartum period. Thus 140 heads.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Spacing between rows should follow instruction/ guidelines for authors (some mistakes are presented, i.e: Results...)	Changes effected Thank you for the invaluable contributions
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		