



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2014_AJEA_15862
Title of the Manuscript:	The influence of static strong magnetic field on the germination and growth of garden cress (<i>Lepidium sativum</i> , L.).
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Provide data on germination (% , rate, speed etc.). Data on other parameters of growth (plant height, shoot/root length and biomass). Improve digital data recording and interpretation. Define strong magnetic field particularly for garden cress. Rewrite review and manuscript.	I am not quite sure, but probably an important information from cover letter was not distributed and affiliations were hidden. This work was done by students from Students Research Society (under my supervision). Typically to young people, they presented non-standard thinking. Therefore procedures in this work are non-standard, as measurements and use of specific software designed for photography. In my opinion it is one of valuable aspects of this work, together with results, of course. The paper was redesigned as <u>short original article</u>.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Address comments in text.	All answers are attached to comments in attached text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As above.	